

## Samanway 3rd March 2024

### Qualitative Analysis - Positive

- You delivered a clear introduction of yourself, Eira Singhal, and stated the role you're applying for as a data scientist at Amazon.
- You showcased your expertise in ML and data science fields by mentioning the projects you've completed, ranging from computer vision to NLP to time series analysis.
- You highlighted your strong coding background and familiarity with tools like NumPy, Pandas, Scikit-learn, PyTorch, and TensorFlow, which is essential for a data scientist role.

### Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement

- Eira Singhal, you could improve by providing more specific examples of how your projects demonstrate your expertise in ML and data science, rather than just listing the types of projects you've completed.
- You mentioned your final year project, but it would be helpful to elaborate on your role in the project, the challenges you faced, and how you overcame them.
- It's not relevant to mention your fear of clowns in a professional interview, Eira Singhal, as it doesn't relate to your qualifications or fit for the role.
- You could strengthen your answer to the question about where you see yourself in 5-10 years by providing more specific career goals, rather than just stating that you want to be happy and content.
- Eira Singhal, it would be beneficial to prepare more thoughtful responses to common interview questions, such as 'What are your strengths and weaknesses?' and 'Where do you see yourself in 5-10 years?' to demonstrate your self-awareness and career aspirations.

### Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Items to look out for	5 point scale
1.	Did the Speaker Speak with Confidence ?	The speaker did not consistently speak with confidence throughout the video resume.
2.	Was the content interesting and as per the guidelines provided?	No
3.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, and personality traits?	Satisfactory
4.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	Good
5.	How are you different from others?	Poor
6.	What value do you bring to the role?	Poor
7.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body, and Conclusion?	The speech lacked a clear structure, with the opening and body being somewhat coherent, but the conclusion was abrupt and lacked a summary of key points.
8.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the student's speech demonstrate a good depth? Did they cite sources of research properly?	The quality of research for the topic was limited, and the student's speech did not demonstrate a good depth of knowledge, with no sources of research being cited properly, and the focus being on personal projects rather than industry trends or research.
9.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you consider them for the job/opportunity?	I was not convinced with the overall speech on the topic, as it lacked coherence, depth, and persuasive power, and based on this video resume, I would not consider them for the job opportunity at Amazon as a data scientist.