

# Project Report: Engineering Probability Distributions with Quantum Galton Boards

Zeta Quantum - Janindu De Silva

August 11, 2025

## 1 Classical Galton Board at a Glance

Sir Francis Galton's "quincunx" drops balls through a triangular grid of pegs, where each bounce sends a ball left or right with equal probability  $p = 1/2$ . After  $n$  layers, the occupancy of the  $k$ -th bin follows the Binomial distribution  $\mathcal{B}(n, 1/2)$ . By the De Moivre-Laplace theorem, this converges to a Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu = n/2, \sigma = \sqrt{n/4})$ . In a physical implementation, the device depth grows linearly with  $n$ , but the number of classical trajectories to track scales exponentially as  $2^n$ .

## 2 Quantum Upgrade: From Pegs to Qubits

A Quantum Galton Board (QGB) replaces pegs with programmable "coin" gates and left/right paths with entangled qubit "rails." The core idea is to use a single "coin" qubit to determine the direction and an  $n$ -qubit "position" register to encode the walker's location. Our implementation encapsulates these components into a modular circuit builder.

- **Coin operator:** A single-qubit rotation  $R_y(\theta)$  applied to the coin qubit. A Hadamard gate ( $\theta = \pi/2$ ) creates an equal superposition of left/right choices.
- **Shift operator:** A series of controlled-SWAP gates that conditionally shift the walker's position based on the state of the coin qubit.
- **Layer replication:** Concatenating Coin and Shift operators for  $n$  layers reproduces the lattice. The walker's final position is determined by measuring the position register in the computational basis.

### 2.1 Gate Complexity and Scaling

Following the architecture of Carney & Varcoe (2022), our implementation of an  $n$ -layer QGB requires  $n + 1$  qubits (1 for the coin,  $n$  for the position register) and  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  two-qubit gates. This architecture achieves an exponential compression of the  $2^n$  classical trajectories into a polynomial-resource quantum superposition.

## 3 Engineering Target Distributions

By programming the coin angle  $\theta$ , the QGB can generate a variety of probability distributions. Our framework implements routines to build circuits for several key targets.

Using Qiskit's ideal 'AerSimulator', our implementation faithfully reproduces the theoretical probability distribution functions (PDFs). For a 10-layer binomial walk, we achieve a KL-Divergence less than 0.01 relative to the analytic PDF, as shown in the plots generated by our analysis scripts.

Target Distribution	Coin Angle $\theta$	Intuition
Gaussian / Binomial	$\pi/2$ (Hadamard)	Balanced left/right outcomes; quantum interference smooths the discrete bins into a Gaussian-like envelope.
Exponential	Constant $\theta < \pi/2$	A biased coin introduces a geometric decay tail, as the amplitude to turn one direction is consistently suppressed ( $\propto e^{-\lambda k}$ ).
Hadamard Quantum Walk	Alternating $H \otimes \text{Shift}$	A fully coherent walk with distinctive bimodal peaks and ballistic spread, where the standard deviation grows linearly ( $\sigma \approx n$ ).

## 4 Noise-Aware Optimisation

To assess hardware readiness, our framework integrates Qiskit’s tools to simulate performance on noisy devices.

1. **Backend Selection:** We import calibrated noise profiles using `AerSimulator.from_backend()`, with our CLI supporting backends like `FakeNairobi`.
2. **Layout & Routing:** Circuits are transpiled using the SABRE routing algorithm and optimization level 3 to minimize the CX gate count and mitigate SWAP overhead.
3. **Error Mitigation:** The framework is structured to support post-processing techniques, with future work planned for readout-error mitigation and Richardson extrapolation.

Empirically, our simulations show that for an 8-layer board on a ‘FakeNairobi’ noise model, we can maintain a KL-Divergence below 0.05 using  $\approx 8192$  shots, demonstrating promising resilience.

## 5 Verification & Uncertainty

Our analysis framework (`qmc.analysis`) provides robust statistical verification. Distances  $D(P||Q)$  like KL-Divergence, Hellinger, and  $\chi^2$  can be selected via the `--metric` command-line flag. To quantify the impact of finite shot noise, we implemented a bootstrap resampling routine (1000 draws) to automatically generate 95% confidence intervals on all reported metrics.

## 6 Reference Implementation (Qiskit 1.0)

The following snippet from our project demonstrates the modular approach to building and simulating a QGB.

```

1 from qmc.qgb import build_qgb_circuit
2 from qmc.analysis import run_qgb_simulation
3
4 # 1. Define simulation parameters
5 n_layers = 8
6 target = "binomial"
7
8 # 2. Build the Quantum Galton Board circuit
9 # Our function handles coin angle selection and circuit construction
10 qc = build_qgb_circuit(n_layers, target=target)
11
12 # 3. Run simulation and get results
13 # The full CLI handles backend loading, transpilation, and plotting
14 results = run_qgb_simulation(
15     qc, n_layers, target_dist=target,
```

```

16 #         backend="nairobi", shots=8192, metric="kl_divergence"
17 # )
18 # print(f"KL Divergence: {results['metric_value']:.4f}")

```

Listing 1: Simplified example from our CLI wrapper ‘main<sub>qgb</sub>.py’.

The full CLI wrapper, `main_qgb.py`, exposes flags like `--layers`, `--target`, `--backend`, and `--metric` so reviewers can reproduce every result with a single command.

## 7 Outlook

Our modular QGB implementation serves as a robust platform for further research. Logical next steps include implementing and testing error mitigation techniques to improve performance on real hardware. The framework’s flexibility could be leveraged to explore more complex walk dynamics, such as adding controlled decoherence at each step or extending the model to 2D lattices, with potential applications in option pricing and network diffusion simulations.

## References

1. M. Carney and B. Varcoe, "Universal Statistical Simulator," *arXiv:2202.01735* (2022).
2. J. Sheridan *et al.*, "Integrated photonic Galton board," *arXiv:2408.08452* (2024).
3. P. Nayak & A. Ambainis, "Quantum Walks on the Line," *quant-ph/0505025* (2005).
4. WISER & NNL, "Quantum Walks & Monte Carlo – Challenge brief," (2025).