A coroot calculation

April 28, 2023

Abstract

An informal account of the proof of the lemmas is_root_system.coroot_symmetry_apply_eq and is_root_system.coroot_span_eq_top.

1 The coroot of the reflection of a root

Recall our definition of the (pre)symmetry associated to a pair:

Definition 1.1. Let k be a field of characteristic zero and V a vector space over k. Given a vector $x \in V$ and a linear form $f \in V^*$ the **pre-symmetry** associated to the pair (x, f) is the linear endomorphism of V:

$$s_{x,f}: y \mapsto y - f(y)x.$$

If the condition f(x) = 2 holds then $s_{x,f}$ is invertible, satisfies $s_{x,f}^{-1} = s_{x,f}$, and we call it a **symmetry**.

Recall the uniqueness lemma:

Lemma 1.2. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, V a vector space over k, and $\Phi \subseteq V$ a finite subset which spans V. Given a vector $x \in V$ and two linear forms $f, g \in V^*$ such that:

- f(x) = 2 and $s_{x,f}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$,
- g(x) = 2 and $s_{x,g}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$,

then f = g.

Recall the definition of a root system:

Definition 1.3. Let k be a field of characteristic zero, V and vector space over k, and $\Phi \subseteq V$. Then we say Φ is a **root system** in V over k if:

- Φ is finite,
- Φ spans V,
- for all $\alpha \in \Phi$, there exists $f \in V^*$ such that $f(\alpha) = 2$ and $s_{\alpha,f}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$,
- for all $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $f \in V^*$ such that $f(\alpha) = 2$ and $s_{\alpha,f}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$, we have $f(\Phi) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq k$.

We call the elements of $\alpha \in \Phi$ roots.

Recall the definition of the coroot and symmetry of a root:

Definition 1.4. Let Φ be a root system in V over k and let $\alpha \in \Phi$ be a root. We define the **coroot** $\alpha^* \in V^*$ to be the unique linear form such that:

- $\alpha^*(\alpha) = 2$,
- $s_{\alpha,\alpha^*}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$.

We emphasise that uniqueness follows from lemma 1.2. Furthermore we write:

$$s_{\alpha} = s_{\alpha,\alpha^*},$$

and speak of the **symmetry** of a root.

Now if α and β are two roots of some root system then $s_{\alpha}(\beta) \in \Phi$ is another root and thus has a coroot $(s_{\alpha}(\beta))^*$. In order to show that the set of coroots form a root system in V^* we need to calculate this coroot in terms of the coroots α^* and β^* . The following lemma gives the answer:

Lemma 1.5 (is_root_system.coroot_symmetry_apply_eq). Let Φ be a root system for V over k and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ be a roots, then:

$$(s_{\alpha}(\beta))^* = \beta^* - (\beta^*(\alpha))\alpha^*.$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = s_{\alpha}(\beta)$ and $g = \beta^* - (\beta^*(\alpha))\alpha^*$. By the uniqueness lemma 1.2 it is sufficient to show that:

- (i) $q(\gamma) = 2$,
- (ii) $s_{\gamma,g}(\Phi) \subseteq \Phi$.

We did the proof of (i) together on Wednesday: you just unfold all definitions, expand brackets, and use $\alpha^*(\alpha) = \beta^*(\beta) = 2$.

To prove (ii), since s_{α} and s_{β} both preserve Φ , it is sufficient to show that:

$$s_{\gamma,q} = s_{\alpha} \circ s_{\beta} \circ s_{\alpha}.$$

To prove this we just pick any vector $v \in V$ and unfold the left and right hand sides applied to v and observe that they are equal.

2 The span of the coroots

Definition 2.1. Let V a vector space over a field k, G a finite group, and:

$$\rho: G \to GL(k, V)$$

a group homomorphism (aka a representation of G on V). Given a bilinear form:

$$B: V \times V \to k$$

we define a new bilinear form B_{ρ} as follows:

$$B_{\rho}: V \times V \to k$$

$$(v, w) \mapsto \sum_{g} B(g \cdot v, g \cdot w)$$

$$(1)$$

where the notation $g \cdot v$ means $\rho(g)(v)$.

Lemma 2.2. In the notation of definition 2.1, the form B_{ρ} is G-invariant, i.e.,

$$B_{\rho}(g \cdot v, g \cdot w) = B_{\rho}(v, w),$$

for all v, w in V and g in G. Furthermore if k is an ordered field and B is symmetric and positive definite, then so is B_{ρ} .

Proof. These are just calculations using formula (1).

Corollary 2.1. Let ρ be a representation of a finite group G on a finite-dimensional vector space V over an ordered field k. There exists a G-invariant symmetric positive definite bilinear form on V.

Proof. Pick any symmetric positive definite bilinear form¹ and apply lemma 2.2 to obtain an invariant form.

Corollary 2.2. Let Φ be a root system in a vector space V over an ordered field k and let:

$$\rho: W \to GL(k, V)$$

be the corresponding representation of the Weyl group. There exists a W-invariant symmetric positive definite bilinear form on V. Note that the map ρ is an inclusion map because W is a subgroup of GL(k, V).

¹E.g., choose a basis and define the form to be the dot product of coordinates.

Proof. This follows from lemma 2.1 because the Weyl group is finite.

Lemma 2.3 (is_root_system.coroot_span_eq_top). Let Φ be a root system in a vector space V over an ordered field k. The coroots span V^* .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for any v in V:

$$(\alpha^*(v) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi) \implies v = 0.$$
 (2)

(Since a non-zero v satisfying (2) would define a non-zero linear form vanishing on the span of the α^* .)

Thus let v be a vector satisfying the hypothesis of (2). Note that we have:

$$s_{\alpha}(v) = v \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi.$$

Using corollary 2.2 let B be a Weyl-group-invariant non-singular bilinear form on V. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$ and calculate:

$$B(v, \alpha) = B(s_{\alpha}(v), s_{\alpha}(\alpha))$$

= $B(v, -\alpha)$
= $-B(v, \alpha)$.

and so:

$$B(v,\alpha) = 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Phi$.

Since the roots span V and B is non-singular, we must have v=0 as required. \Box

3 Bilinear forms

Definition 3.1. A root system Φ in a vector space V over a field k, induces a bilinear form on V as follows:

$$B_{\Phi}: V \times V \to k,$$

 $(v, w) \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha^*(v) \alpha^*(w).$

Lemma 3.2. Let B_{Φ} be the bilinear form associated to a root system Φ in a vector space V over an ordered field k. Then B_{Φ} has the following properties:

(i) it is symmetric,

- (ii) it is positive definite,
- (iii) it is invariant under the group of symmetries of the root system,
- (iv) given $\alpha \in \Phi$:

$$\langle \alpha \rangle^{\perp} = \ker \alpha^*,$$

where $\langle \alpha \rangle^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of α wrt B_{Φ} .

Proof. Claim (i) is clear.

Claim (ii) follows because the coroots span V^* . More precisely, since they span, given any non-zero v in V, there must exist some $\beta \in \Phi$ such that:

$$\beta^*(v) \neq 0.$$

We then have:

$$0 < \beta^*(v)^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} (\alpha^*(v))^2$$

$$= B_{\Phi}(v, v)$$

as required.

For claim (iii), let $u:V\to V$ be a linear automorphism preserving Φ . Note that for any $\alpha\in\Phi$ we have the following generalisation of lemma 1.5 (this is essentially is_root_system.coroot_apply_of_mem_symmetries in the Lean code except with u^{-1} instead of u):

$$u^*(\alpha^*) = (u^{-1}(\alpha))^*,$$

where $u^*: V^* \to V^*$ is the transpose of $u: V \to V$. Given any v, w in V we thus calculate:

$$B_{\Phi}(u(v), u(w)) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha^*(u(v))\alpha^*(u(w))$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} (u^*(\alpha^*))(v)(u^*(\alpha^*))(w)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} (u^{-1}(\alpha))^*(v)(u^{-1}(\alpha))^*(w)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in u^{-1}(\Phi)} \alpha^*(v)\alpha^*(w)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha^*(v)\alpha^*(w)$$

$$= B_{\Phi}(v, w)$$

as required.

For claim (iv) note that by taking $u=s_{\alpha}$ in part (iii), for any v in V we have:

$$B_{\Phi}(\alpha, v) = B_{\Phi}(s_{\alpha}(\alpha), s_{\alpha}(v))$$

$$= B_{\Phi}(-\alpha, v - \alpha^{*}(v)\alpha)$$

$$= -B_{\Phi}(\alpha, v) + \alpha^{*}(v)B_{\Phi}(\alpha, \alpha)$$

And thus:

$$\alpha^*(v) = 2 \frac{B_{\Phi}(\alpha, v)}{B_{\Phi}(\alpha, \alpha)},$$

from which the claim follows.