# Assign 2 HADL

### Deepshikha CS21BTECH11016

### 1 TODO

Why 1st layer less than 100?

# 2 Summary of SCALE-SIM

### 2.1 What it does

- It is a cycle-accurate simulator for DNN accelerators.
- It takes in the CNN architecture and the accelerator configuration as input and gives the performance metrics as output.
- It computes performance, on-chip and off-chip memory accesss, and interface bandwidth.
- It can implement both scale-up and scale-out instances.

#### 2.2 How it does

- SCALE-SIM generates a cycle-accurate trace of the accelerator execution ,genearting an output which contains SRAM writes.
- THE SRAM trace shows the data movement and computation in the accelerator.
- The requests to SRAM are the DRAM traces , which are used to estimate the interface bandwidth for given CNN.

## 3 Configs

### 3.1 Eyeriss

Array size: 12 x 14

### 3.2 Google

Array size:  $256 \times 256$ 

#### 3.3 Scale

Array size: 32 x 32

### 4 Running CNN architecture on SCALE-SIM

### 4.1 Varying the configs

#### 1. MobileNet

- (a) First layer:
  - i. The first conv layer has 224 x 224 x 3 size IFMAP.
  - ii. Scale config has  $32 \times 32$  array size, so all of it is utilised as 224/32 = 7.
  - iii. Google config has 256 x 256 array size , it accomodates 224 x 224 x 3 IFMAP , but the rest of the array is wasted and so
  - iv. Eyeriss config has  $12 \times 14$  array size , ao it cannot accomodate the entire IFMAP, so 224/12=18.66 and 224/14=16 , as 224 is not divisible by 12 , so rest of the array is wasted.

#### (b) Second Layer:

- i. This is a pooling layer
- ii. Pooling involves accessing data in a non-regular pattern, which may not fully exploit the regular data access patterns. Thats why, mapping efficiency for all pooling layers is lesser compared to conv layers.
- iii. Eyeriss gives better mapping efficiency than scale because of 112 is divisible by 14.
- (c) Remaining layers:
  - We see that for google config
    - When the number of channels are  $\xi$ = 256, mapping efficiency is 100 percent. This is due to the fact that the array size is 256 x 256.
    - As the size of IFMAP is reduced, the mapping efficiency is reduced.

#### 2. Resnet18

• We see it has no pooling layers, so the mapping efficiency always good.

3.

### Varying the architecture

- Everiss
  - We see that it gives beter efficiency for resnet18 than mobilenet. The difference is of filters.resnet18 in first layer has 7 x 7 filters while mobilenet has 3 x 3 filters, stride being same for both (2). Since more filter size means more data reuse/parallelism, resnet18 has better efficiency.