Context Extraction

INPUT - One SAT order in pdf format

OUTPUT -

1. Extraction of < REGULATION ,LEGAL_DOC > : count of occurrence

{('clause 36', 'listing agreement'): 23, ('television limited', 'sebi on'): 12, ('clause 41', 'listing agreement'): 6, ('regulation 13', 'pit regulations'): 5, ('regulation 12', 'insider trading'): 4, ('section 23a', 'listing agreement'): 3, ('regulation 16', 'securities and exchange board of india'): 2, ('clause 36', 'sebi on'): 2, ('clause 6', 'listing agreement'): 2, ('section 27', 'general clauses act, 1897'): 2, ('section 23e', 'listing agreement'): 1, ('section 23a', 'securities contracts'): 1, ('section 15a', 'scra for violation'): 1, ('regulation 12', 'sebi (prohibition'): 1, ('section 23e', 'insider trading'): 1, ('regulation 13', 'listing agreement'): 1, ('section 139', 'income tax act, 1961'): 1, ('section 143', 'it act'): 1, ('regulations', 'securities and exchange board of india'): 1, ('clause 41 m s new delhi television limited', 'listing agreement'): 1, ('television limited', 'listing agreement'): 1, ('regulation 12 regulations', 'insider trading'): 1, ('regulation 12', 'listing agreement'): 1, ('regulation 2', 'insider trading'): 1, ('clause 2.1', 'insider trading'): 1, ('clause 3.2', 'insider trading'): 1, ('regulation 12', 'sebi regulations'): 1, ('clause 27', 'general clauses act, 1897'): 1}

2. Extraction of pre & post context for all the occurrences (context_len = 2)

('clause 41', 'listing agreement')

Pre Context

The contention that the information was supplied belatedly is misconceived and an afterthought.

No such stand was taken before SEBI and the appellant cannot be allowed to change its stand at this stage.

No such stand was taken before SEBI and the appellant cannot be allowed to change its stand at this stage.

Further, the financial statements, which includes this information about the tax demand, filed on May 08, 2014 under Clause 41 of the Listing Agreement, does not amount to compliance of Clause 36 of the Listing Agreement.

Post Context

Based on the aforesaid disclosure the Stock Exchange asked for necessary clarification, based on which the appellant disclosed the information to National Stock Exchange of India Limited vide letter dated May 26, 2014 and to BSE Limited vide letter dated May 29, 2014. Clause 41 and Clause 36 of the Listing Agreement operate in different circumstances and in different areas.

Financial statements under Clause 41 are required to be disclosed sixty days after the end of the financial year whereas material events are required to be constantly disclosed "immediately" as and when the event occurs during the financial year.

Financial statements under Clause 41 are required to be disclosed sixty days after the end of the financial year whereas material events are required to be constantly disclosed "immediately" as and when the event occurs during the financial year.

Further, when an action under the Listing Agreement is required to be done in a particular manner and in a particular way, then the same is required to be done in that way and not in any other manner.

Assuming that information was provided, we are of the opinion there had been an inordinate delay in disseminating the information.

The assessment order was passed on February 21, 2014.

Assuming that information was provided, we are of the opinion there had been an inordinate delay in disseminating the information.

The assessment order was passed on February 21, 2014.

Assuming that information was provided, we are of the opinion there had been an inordinate delay in disseminating the information.

The assessment order was passed on February 21, 2014.