CS216 Assignment4

Description of the subtree disassembly trick proposed by Tarjan

匡亮 (12111012)

 $\mathrm{May}\ 1,\ 2023$

Abstract

This is my report of CS216 Assignment4, including a description of the subtree disassembly trick proposed by Tarjan:

- 1. Its core idea and critical procedures.
- $2. \ \,$ Running time and space complexity analysis.
- 3. Its pseudocode.
- 4. How it speeds up SPFA.

Contents

1	Core idea and critical procedures of Tarjan's trick	3
2	Running time and space complexity analysis	3
3	Pseudocode	4
4	How it speeds up SPFA	4

1 Core idea and critical procedures of Tarjan's trick

For convenience, in the whole report we assume the terminus vertex t is reachable from every vertex in G, and our algorithm will immediately terminate if we find a negative ring (though some vertices cannot reach it, which means they still have a valid non-infinite minimum distance to the terminus vertex). Under these conditions, Tarjan's trick can significantly speed up SPFA without change the algorithm a lot.

Here I don't repeat what we have learned in our courses (like how SPFA works) and begin from SPFA.

In SPFA, we maintain an array first for each vertex, and check whether there is a ring after n iterations to determine whether there is a negative ring. However, if we have found a ring during the iterations, we can immediately terminate the algorithm and report there is a negative ring. Therefore, the basic idea of this trick is try to detect whether there is a ring when modifying first with an acceptable extra cost.

Obviously, before we find a ring, $(v, first[v]), \forall v \neq t$ is a tree with root t. When we set $first[v] \leftarrow w$, we can do DFS in v's subtree and check whether w is in v's subtree, if so, we can terminate our algorithm. However, this DFS will cost an extra O(n) time, so we should expect it to do more for us. We can further observe that before the modification, the minimum distance of all vertices in v's subtree (call them x) is evaluated based on the minimum distance of v, which has been just modified. Therefore, the minimum distance of all of them are out of date. We can set them **dormant**, then they will not be used to update others' minimum distance before their own minimum distance are updated. At the same time we can remove them from the tree (set $first[x] \leftarrow null$), so that they will not be set dormant by other ancestors again. They will add themselves back to the tree automatically when their minimum distance are updated (because they will update first[x] at that time).

2 Running time and space complexity analysis

Based on SPFA, we just store one more tree with O(1) space per vertex, so the space complexity is still O(n).

Add/Remove a vertex to/from the tree can be easily done in O(1) time with linked-list. Adding only happens when first[v] are updated for some v, and removing happens no more than adding because vertices will not be removed twice, so the time complexity is still O(nm).

3 Pseudocode

```
Algorithm 1: SPFA Improved by Tarjan's Trick
   Data: G, t
 1 n = \text{number of nodes in } G;
 2 Array M[V];
 3 Initialize M[t] = 0 and M[v] = \infty for all other v \in V;
 4 Initialize first[t] = t and first[v] = null for all other v \in V;
 5 Initialize a tree with only root vertex t;
 \mathbf{6} \ foundNegativeRing = False;
 7 for i = 1, ..., n - 1 do
      for w \in V where w is not dormant do
          if M[w] has been updated in the previous iteration then
              for all edges (v, w) do
                 M[v] = \min(M[v], c_{vw} + W[w]);
11
                 if this change the value of M[v] then
                     first[v] = w;
13
                     for all vertex x in v's subtree do
14
                        if x == w then
15
                            foundNegativeRing = True;
16
                            End the algorithm;
17
18
                        Set x dormant;
19
                        Remove x from the tree;
20
21
                     Set v not dormant;
22
                     Add v to the tree as w's child;
23
                 end
24
             end
25
          end
26
      \mathbf{end}
27
      if no value changed in this iteration then
28
          End the algorithm;
29
30
      end
      /* If foundNegativeRing is true, then users should ignore the
          returned M, first.
31 end
   Result: foundNegativeRing, M, first
```

4 How it speeds up SPFA

If there is a negative ring, the algorithm will terminate in advance, so it is speeded up without a doubt. However, if there is no negative rings, this trick can still speed SPFA up.

References

[Jon Kleinberg / Éva $\mathrm{Tardos}(2005)]$ Algorithm Design (P.304 - 307)

 $[Stefan\ Lewandowski(2010)]\ Shortest\ Paths\ and\ Negative\ Cycle\ Detection\ in\ Graphs\\ with\ Negative\ Weights\ -\ I:\ The\ Bellman\-Ford\-Moore\ Algorithm\ Revisited\ https://d-nb.info/1014960916/34$