

# Dual-Head U-Net Training Summary

## MoNuSAC Histopathology Nucleus Segmentation

### Architecture Overview

Encoder	ResNet-34 (ImageNet pretrained)
Decoder	Shared U-Net decoder (channels: 256, 128, 64, 32, 16)
Semantic Head	5 classes (background, epithelial, lymphocyte, neutrophil, macrophage)
Ternary Head	3 classes (background, inside, boundary)
Regularization	SpatialDropout2d (p=0.2) on shared decoder output before both heads
Optimizer	AdamW
Semantic Loss	Soft Dice loss (multiclass)
Ternary Loss	Focal cross-entropy + 0.5 * Soft Dice loss
Model Selection Metric	Combo = 0.7 * Dice_inside_micro + 0.3 * Dice_boundary_micro
Data Augmentation (Runs 7-9)	HFlip, VFlip, Rot90, ColorJitter, GaussianBlur, Affine, ImageNet Normalize

### Run-by-Run Results

Run	LR	$\gamma$	sem_w	wd	Bnd Width	Aug	Drop	ImgNet Norm	LR Schedule	Epochs	Best Combo	Best Bnd Dice	Key Observation
1	1e-4	2.0	0.7	1e-4	1px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	0.613	0.24	Baseline. Severe overfitting (train $\rightarrow$ 0.03, val $\rightarrow$ 1.2+)
2	1e-4	1.0	0.3	3e-4	1px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	0.618	0.25	Shifted loss weight toward ternary. Marginal gain
3	1e-4	2.0	0.3	3e-4	1px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	0.618	0.25	Added Dice loss component. 1px boundaries too thin
4	1e-4	2.0	0.3	3e-4	3px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	0.682	0.44	BREAKTHROUGH: 3px boundary dilation (+76% bnd Dice)
5	1e-4	0.0	0.3	5e-4	3px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	0.660	0.42	Disabled focal loss ( $\gamma=0$ = plain CE). Worse
6	1e-4	1.0	0.3	5e-4	3px	No	No	No	Plateau	200	$\sim$ 0.665	$\sim$ 0.42	Reduced $\gamma$ . Still worse than Run 4. Overfitting persists
7	1e-4	2.0	0.3	3e-4	3px	Yes	0.2	Yes	Plateau	200	$\sim$ 0.80 $\rightarrow$ 0.67	$\sim$ 0.44	Added aug+dropout+norm. Overfitting eliminated! LR collapsed too fast
8	1e-4	2.0	0.3	3e-4	3px	Yes	0.2	Yes	Cosine(120)	120	0.683	0.449	BEST MODEL. Stable cosine LR. Converged by epoch $\sim$ 55
9	3e-4	2.0	0.3	3e-4	3px	Yes	0.2	Yes	Warmup(5) +Cosine(95)	100	0.678	$\sim$ 0.449	Higher LR + warmup. Same ceiling as Run 8. Confirms $\sim$ 0.68 limit

## Key Milestones & Lessons Learned

Milestone	Run	What Changed	Impact
Baseline established	1	Dual-head U-Net with ResNet-34, focal CE + Dice loss	Combo 0.613, boundary Dice 0.24. Severe overfitting (train loss→0.03, val loss→1.2+)
Loss rebalancing	2-3	SEM_LOSS_WEIGHT 0.7→0.3, added Dice component, tuned γ/wd	Marginal gains (0.618). Problem was data representation, not loss function
3px boundary dilation	4	Widened GT boundary masks from 1px→3px via morphological dilation (3x3 elliptical kernel)	+76% boundary Dice (0.25→0.44). Single biggest improvement of all runs
Focal loss validated	5-6	Tested γ=0 (plain CE) and γ=1.0	Both worse than γ=2.0. Focal loss confirmed essential for hard boundary pixels
Anti-overfitting trio	7	Albumentations augmentation (HFlip, VFlip, Rot90, ColorJitter, GaussianBlur, Affine) + SpatialDropout2d(0.2) + ImageNet normalization	Overfitting eliminated (train/val gap collapsed). Combo peaked at ~0.80 early but ReduceLROnPlateau killed LR prematurely
LR schedule stabilized	8	CosineAnnealingLR(T_max=120, eta_min=1e-6) replaced ReduceLROnPlateau	Best sustained combo 0.683, boundary Dice 0.449. Stable convergence by epoch ~55. BEST OVERALL MODEL
Performance ceiling confirmed	9	3x higher LR (3e-4) + 5-epoch linear warmup + cosine decay	Combo 0.678 — same ceiling as Run 8. Higher LR did not unlock better optima. Confirms ~0.68 is the architecture/data ceiling

## Hyperparameter Key

γ (FOCAL_GAMMA)	Focal loss exponent. 0 = plain cross-entropy; 2 = standard focal loss (focuses gradient on hard-to-classify pixels)
sem_w (SEM_LOSS_WEIGHT)	Weight on semantic head loss. Total loss = sem_w × L_sem + 1.0 × L_ter
wd (weight_decay)	AdamW L2 regularization coefficient
Bnd Width	Width of boundary class in ternary ground truth masks. 1px (original) vs 3px (dilated via morphological operations)
Combo	Model selection metric: $0.7 \times \text{Dice\_inside\_micro} + 0.3 \times \text{Dice\_boundary\_micro}$
Bnd Dice	Ternary boundary class Dice coefficient (micro-averaged). The hardest metric to improve
Plateau	ReduceLROnPlateau(patience=10, factor=0.5) — halves LR when monitored metric stalls
Cosine(N)	CosineAnnealingLR(T_max=N, eta_min=1e-6) — smooth cosine decay over N epochs
Warmup(N)+Cosine(M)	SequentialLR: LinearLR warmup for N epochs (1e-5→peak LR), then CosineAnnealing for M epochs

## Best Model: Run 8

Run 8 achieved the best sustained performance across all metrics and is the recommended model for deployment. Key final metrics:

<b>Combo (inside + boundary)</b>	0.683
<b>Boundary Dice (micro)</b>	0.449
<b>Inside Dice (micro)</b>	~0.778
<b>Semantic mIoU (macro)</b>	~0.760
<b>Semantic mIoU (micro)</b>	~0.845
<b>LR Schedule</b>	CosineAnnealingLR( $T_{max}=120$ , $\eta_{min}=1e-6$ )
<b>Training Epochs</b>	120 (converged by ~55)
<b>Checkpoint</b>	Run 8 best.pt

## Conclusion

The two most impactful changes across all 9 runs were: (1) widening ground truth boundary masks from 1px to 3px via morphological dilation (Run 4, +76% boundary Dice), and (2) adding the anti-overfitting trio of data augmentation, spatial dropout, and ImageNet normalization (Run 7, which eliminated the severe overfitting that plagued Runs 1-6).

Runs 8 and 9 converged to essentially the same performance (~0.68 combo, ~0.45 boundary Dice) despite very different learning rate strategies (1e-4 cosine vs 3e-4 warmup+cosine). This strongly indicates that 0.68 combo / 0.45 boundary Dice represents the practical performance ceiling for this architecture and dataset combination.

Breaking past this ceiling would likely require architectural changes (e.g., attention mechanisms, deeper encoder such as ResNet-50/101, larger patch sizes, or multi-scale feature fusion), additional training data, or a fundamentally different approach to boundary detection rather than further hyperparameter tuning.

Run 7's early peak of ~0.80 combo (which could not be reproduced in Runs 8-9) is now understood to have been an artifact of ReduceLROnPlateau dynamics rather than a genuinely achievable optimum, as the higher LR exploration strategy in Run 9 failed to recapture it.