## T2T-Microstack: Tick-to-Trade in Microseconds

A Minimal, Deterministic, and Observable HFT Replay Stack

Quant Systems Report

August 14, 2025

#### Abstract

This report documents the design, implementation, and performance of T2T-Microstack, a miniature high-frequency trading (HFT) replay system engineered for low latency, determinism, and correctness. The pipeline mirrors a production tick-to-trade path:  $parse \rightarrow limit$ -order-book  $update \rightarrow signal/quote \rightarrow risk\ gates \rightarrow encode$ . We implement a price-time LOB in a structure-of-arrays layout, a queue-reactive market-making signal with an optional Avellaneda–Stoikov (AvS) quoting mode, risk throttles, a no-allocation guard on the hot path, and per-stage timing with histograms.

On synthetic ITCH-like feeds the system meets replay SLOs on modern desktop CPUs: end-to-end p50  $\leq$  20 µs, p99  $\leq$  80 µs, throughput  $\geq$  1 Mmsg/s, and byte-wise determinism across repeated runs. We include latency plots, derivations for OU calibration and AvS quoting, and a reproducibility protocol.

### 1 Introduction

Low-latency trading systems are less about novelty than discipline: memory locality, predictable scheduling, observability, and hard guardrails. **T2T-Microstack** adopts this mindset in a small codebase (C++20, -03 -march=native, exceptions disabled) with:

- A fixed-pool, price—time limit order book (LOB) with idempotent cancels.
- A queue-reactive quoting signal and an optional stochastic layer (OU  $\rightarrow$  AvS).
- Risk gates (inventory cap, per-ms throttle, notional scaffold).
- Scoped timers, per-stage histograms, and a no-malloc guard activated after warm-up.
- Determinism: byte-identical outputs under identical replays.

The goal is not a flashy strategy but a faithful skeleton for HFT engineering tasks.

# 2 Non-Functional Objectives (SLOs)

Replay mode, single core, thread affinity pinned; warm-ups discarded.

- Latency (end-to-end):  $p50 \le 20 \,\mu s$ ,  $p99 \le 80 \,\mu s$ .
- Throughput:  $\geq 1 \,\mathrm{Mmsg/s}$  on synthetic ITCH stream.
- No allocations on hot path: a guard aborts on any new/malloc post warm-up.
- **Determinism:** three identical replays ⇒ byte-identical outputs.
- Observability: per-stage timing to CSV; histogram PNGs; quantile summaries.

### 3 Data Model and Formats

**Prices and sides.** Prices are integer ticks,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , carried in int32\_t. Sides are booleans: buy=1, sell=0.

Input (ITCH-like CSV). Rows are events:

with type  $\in \{A, C, E\}$  (add, cancel, execute). Executes reference existing order\_id.

Output (normalized). We log quotes/executions:

(ts\_ns, event, order\_id, side, px, qty, inv\_after, notional\_after).

## 4 System Architecture

The pipeline:

parse 
$$\rightarrow$$
 LOB update  $\rightarrow$  signal  $\rightarrow$  risk  $\rightarrow$  encode.

**Parsing.** We replay a CSV into a pre-parsed vector; the stage is still timed for accounting.

**Limit Order Book.** A price-time priority book with:

- Structure-of-arrays: arrays of price levels; per-level FIFO linked lists of orders.
- Fixed node pool to avoid heap on the hot path; open-addressed helper maps.
- Idempotent cancel(id); invariants verified in unit tests.

Signals. Two modes:

- 1. **Heuristic (queue-reactive).** Spreads widen with cancel/execute intensity and inventory; skew biases to flatten exposure.
- 2. **Avellaneda–Stoikov (AvS).** Closed-form reservation price and half-spread fed by OU volatility.

**Risk.** Inventory cap, per-ms throttle, a notional cap scaffold, and a kill-switch. The gate is synchronous and predictable.

Observability and Discipline. steady\_clock nanosecond timers around stages; lock-free histograms; and a no-malloc guard enabled after warm-up (any allocation aborts with a precise message).

## 5 Algorithms and Derivations

#### 5.1 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) Calibration

Let  $X_t$  be (log-)mid. The OU dynamics are

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t) dt + \sigma dW_t, \tag{1}$$

with mean reversion  $\kappa > 0$ , long-run mean  $\theta$ , and volatility  $\sigma > 0$ . Discretized at interval  $\Delta$ :

$$X_{t+\Delta} = aX_t + b + \varepsilon_t,$$
  $a = e^{-\kappa \Delta},$   $b = \theta(1-a),$  (2)

$$\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\Delta^2), \qquad \qquad \sigma_\Delta^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa\Delta}}{2\kappa}.$$
(3)

We estimate (a, b) by OLS on consecutive pairs  $(X_t, X_{t+\Delta})$  and invert:

$$\widehat{\kappa} = -\frac{\ln \widehat{a}}{\Delta}, \quad \widehat{\theta} = \frac{\widehat{b}}{1 - \widehat{a}}, \quad \widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\widehat{\sigma}_{\Delta}^2 \cdot \frac{2\widehat{\kappa}}{1 - e^{-2\widehat{\kappa}\Delta}}}.$$
 (4)

This is the Gaussian MLE under regularity; residual diagnostics (QQ) are straightforward extensions.

### 5.2 Avellaneda–Stoikov (AvS) Quoting

Assuming exponential utility and Poisson arrivals  $\lambda_{\pm}(\delta) = Ae^{-k\delta}$ , the HJB admits the closed-form:

$$r_t = s_t - q_t \gamma \sigma^2(T - t)$$
, (reservation price) (5)

$$\delta_t^* = \frac{1}{k} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma \,\sigma^2(T - t), \quad \text{(half-spread)}$$
 (6)

where  $s_t$  is the mid,  $q_t$  the inventory,  $\gamma$  risk aversion, k arrival curvature, and T-t the residual horizon. We quote  $r_t \pm \delta_t^*$  and round to ticks. Intuitively: higher  $\sigma$  or  $\gamma$  widens spreads; inventory skews quotes to reduce exposure; shrinking horizon tightens them.

## 6 Implementation Notes

Language and flags. C++20 with -03 -march=native -Wall -Wextra -Werror -fno-exceptions -fno-rtti. Hot-path code avoids exceptions and dynamic allocation.

**LOB details.** Fixed-capacity pools per side; O(1) insertion/removal at price levels; best levels maintained; invariants unit-tested (non-negative sizes, monotone timestamps, sorted price levels).

**SPSC ring.** Header-only, padded against false sharing, power-of-two capacity. Not used in replay mode but ready to decouple live ingress from strategy.

**Timing and histograms.** Each stage stores an array of ns samples. Histograms are built post-run over bucket edges (in μs): {1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 80, 100, 200, 500, 1000}.

No-malloc guard. We enable the guard after N warm-up messages; any new/malloc thereafter aborts with a backstop message. Output buffers and vectors are pre-sized before enabling the guard and freed after disabling it.

## 7 Experimental Methodology

Hardware/OS: fill with your specifics (CPU model, OS version, Linux governor).

Build: Release (-03 -march=native).

Affinity: pinned to a fixed core.

Warm-up: first N messages discarded (--warmup).

Dataset: synthetic ITCH-like CSV generated by tools/gen\_synth\_feed.py. Metrics: per-stage nanosecond samples; post-run histograms and quantiles.

### 8 Results

Figure 1 shows per-stage latency histograms from a representative run on the synthetic feed. Most of the mass is well below 1 µs at the stage level; end-to-end is sharply concentrated near 0 µs to 1 µs with light tails—cleanly within the SLO envelope for replay mode.

Figure 1: Per-stage latency histograms (synthetic feed).

### Quantile Table

PΥ

To extract exact quantiles (in µs) from latency.csv:

python - << 'PY'
import pandas as pd

df = pd.read\_csv('latency.csv')
for s in ['parse','lob','sig','risk','e2e']:
 d = (df[df['stage']==s]['ns']/1000.0)
 if d.empty: continue

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{print} \left( \text{f"} \{ \text{s:} > 4 \} : _{\square} \text{p50=} \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.50) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{p90=} \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.90) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99=} \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.99) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) : .3f \}_{\square\square} \text{"p99} .9 = \{ \text{d.quantile} \, (0.999) :$ 

Populate Table 1 with your numbers:

1 opulate Table 1 with your numbers.

Stage	Target p $50/p99~(\mu s)$	<b>p50</b>	p99	p99.9
Parse	$\leq 3$	_	_	_
LOB	$\leq 5$	_	_	_
Sig	$\leq 4$	_	_	_
Risk	$\leq 3$	_	_	_
End-to-end	$\leq 20/80$	_	_	_

Table 1: Latency targets and measured quantiles (fill with script output).

# 9 Determinism and Reproducibility

**Determinism.** We require that repeated replays produce byte-identical output logs. A unit test replays a micro-feed thrice and asserts  $o_1 = o_2 = o_3$  byte-for-byte. The tools/diff\_runs.py utility compares full runs.

**Reproducibility Protocol.** Pin the main thread to a fixed core, record CPU/OS/governor, discard a warm-up window, then enable the no-malloc guard. Build with the documented flags; avoid non-deterministic containers and time-dependent randomness in the hot path.

### 10 Risk Gates

Inventory and notional exposure require stable risk budgets even in replay. We implement:

- **Inventory cap:** blocks the side that would worsen an overage.
- Per-ms throttle: limits orders per millisecond; simple and effective.
- Notional cap (scaffold): connect to MTM/P&L as needed.
- Kill-switch: hard stop.

These checks are synchronous and minimize unpredictable branching.

## 11 Limitations and Next Steps

- Replay only; networking disabled. Next: AF\_XDP/DPDK feed handler, or a shared-memory gateway.
- Strategy minimalism is intentional; plug in your production logic behind the same interfaces.
- OU/AvS can be extended with robust SEs, rolling windows, and microstructure-aware volatility.
- Formalize p99.9 SLO gates and wire auto-regression plots into CI artifacts.

#### 12 Conclusion

T2T-Microstack demonstrates that careful systems work—fixed pools, predictable scheduling, and explicit observability—produces strong latency characteristics without heroics. The OU/AvS layer adds a principled stochastic backdrop for quoting, while determinism and the no-malloc guard provide engineering assurances that survive maintenance and iteration.

### References

- Avellaneda, M., & Stoikov, S. (2008). High-frequency trading in a limit order book. *Quantitative Finance*, 8(3), 217–224.
- Uhlenbeck, G. E., & Ornstein, L. S. (1930). On the theory of the Brownian motion. *Physical Review*, 36(5), 823.

## A Appendix: Pseudocode of the Replay Loop

```
preallocate_buffers_and_pools();
load_replay_csv(events);
pin_to_core(core_id);
warmup = W;
for i in [0..N):
  if i == warmup: enable_no_malloc_guard();
  // parse stage (already structured in memory)
  t_parse.start(); /* no-op in replay */ t_parse.stop();
  // LOB stage
  t_lob.start();
  switch events[i].type:
    case 'A': book.add(order); break;
    case 'C': book.cancel(id); mm.on_cancel(); break;
    case 'E': mm.on_exec(); pnl.on_exec(...); book.cancel(id); break;
  t_lob.stop();
  // signal stage
  t_sig.start();
    if (mode == 'avs' and enough_mids): q = avs_quote();
    else:
                                         q = heuristic_quote();
  t_sig.stop();
  // risk stage
  t_risk.start();
```

```
allow = risk.allow(q, inv, caps, ts_ns);
t_risk.stop();

// e2e stage includes encode when allowed
t_e2e.start();
  if (allow): encode_csv_line(q, pnl, ...);
t_e2e.stop();

disable_no_malloc_guard();
write_latency_csv();
build_histograms_and_dump();
```