

PDF 3.X – Driver Library Change (Root Cause & Resolution)

1. Purpose

This document records the **driver library change** made during Phase 3 of the project.

Its purpose is to:

- document the observed problem
- describe the investigation and root cause assessment
- justify the final technical decision

This document is intentionally separate from the architectural PDFs (3.1-3.4) to preserve architectural stability while capturing real-world integration learning.

2. Context

During Phase 3, the MCU software was integrated with the MCP2515 CAN controller on the Arduino UNO Q platform.

An initial driver library was selected based on:

- common usage in Arduino CAN tutorials
- familiarity within the embedded community

At this stage, no assumptions were made about platform-specific behavior.

3. Initial Driver Selection

The first MCP2515 driver library used was a widely adopted Arduino MCP2515 library.

The selection was reasonable because:

- it is well-documented
- it is commonly used on AVR- and ESP-based platforms
- it provides a simple, high-level API

No architectural dependency on this specific library was introduced in Phase 3.1.

4. Observed Failure Mode

During integration on the Arduino UNO Q platform, the following behavior was observed:

- SPI communication with the MCP2515 could be verified directly
- Register-level reads returned valid values
- CAN controller reset and low-level access succeeded

However:

- The library's CAN initialization routine consistently **blocked**
- No error code or timeout was returned
- The system never progressed to normal operation

This behavior prevented CAN reception entirely.

5. Investigation and Isolation

A structured debugging approach was applied:

1. **Hardware validation**
2. Wiring and power were verified
3. CAN transceiver and bus were confirmed operational
4. **SPI verification**
5. Direct SPI register reads returned expected values
6. MCP2515 status registers behaved correctly
7. **Software isolation**
8. The failure was isolated to the library initialization path
9. The block occurred consistently at the CAN initialization call

This eliminated hardware and SPI transport as root causes.

6. Root Cause Assessment

The failure was attributed to **library behavior on the UNO Q toolchain**.

Likely contributing factors include: - blocking wait loops without timeouts - assumptions about timing or interrupt behavior - limited testing on newer MCU platforms

Such issues are common when combining: - newer hardware platforms - legacy or platform-specific libraries

7. Resolution Strategy

Rather than modifying the failing library, the following strategy was adopted:

- treat the driver as a replaceable component
- select an alternative MCP2515 driver with clearer behavior
- preserve the existing MCU architecture

This approach minimized risk and avoided introducing forked or patched libraries.

8. Final Driver Selection

The driver was replaced with the [Autowp MCP2515 library](#).

This library demonstrated: - reliable initialization on Arduino UNO Q - non-blocking receive semantics - clear separation between “no message” and error conditions

No changes were required to: - hardware wiring - CAN bus configuration - higher-level MCU architecture

9. Validation After Change

Following the library change:

- CAN initialization completed successfully
- Frames were received consistently
- Timing behavior matched transmit periodicity
- Buffer counters confirmed loss-free operation under nominal load

This validated both the driver choice and the architectural abstraction.

10. Lessons Learned

Key lessons from this change:

- Driver libraries are **system-level dependencies**, not trivial details
 - Architectural abstraction reduces integration risk
 - Blocking behavior without timeouts is unacceptable in real-time paths
 - Documenting failures provides valuable learning for others
-

11. Impact on Project Documentation

This driver change: - does **not** invalidate PDFs 3.1–3.4 - is fully contained within the driver layer - reinforces the correctness of the original architectural decisions

12. Conclusion

The driver library change represents a controlled engineering decision based on evidence, not preference.

By preserving architectural boundaries and documenting the rationale, the project reflects realistic embedded system development rather than idealized examples.

13. Next Phase

Proceed to **Phase 4 – Inter-Processor Communication**.