



This work by [Rob Cleary](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License](#). Based on a work at [www.usethetools.net](http://www.usethetools.net). Permissions beyond the scope of this license may be available via [usethetoolsinstructor1@gmail.com](mailto:usethetoolsinstructor1@gmail.com)

### **Learning Outcomes:**

After doing this exercise, the learner...

1. has been confronted with common protected-access problem across packages
2. has had to recall detail about inheritance
3. has had to think deeply about import and package statements and inheritance in Java

### **Task:**

Copy and compile the codes below yourself and experiment briefly to discover what each scenario teaches (oh!, and ask questions).



## Scenario 1: Protected Access – Fiddle and PlainFiddle

Study the code below – a programmer is trying to create the class `ClassicFiddle` such that it inherits the `play()` method from the class `PlainFiddle`. The `PlainFiddle` class and the `ClassicFiddle` class are however in different packages and the programmer is having difficulty getting the code to compile. She has searched the internet and added a post to a forum where she got a tip/hint that she needs to use the `protected` keyword to get the code to compile. Use the tip/hint and fix the code below.

```
package plain;

class PlainFiddle{
    void play(){
        System.out.println(this+" play()");
    }
}
```

```
package classic;                                //different package

class ClassicFiddle {                          //anything missing here?
    void playSweetly(){
        play();                                //attempt access through inheritance
        System.out.println(this+" playSweetly()");
    }

    public static void main(String[] args){
        ClassicFiddle c = new ClassicFiddle();
        c.playSweetly();
    }
}
```

### Changes Required:

#### In class PlainFiddle.java:

1.

2.

#### In class ClassicFiddle.java

1.

2.

### Compile statements:

1.

2.

### Execute statement:

1.



## Scenario 2: More Complex Protected Access

Making a member of a class `protected` allows access to that member

1. by a class in the same package **OR**,
2. through inheritance (i.e. a class can refer to it as if it were its own member) – it does not allow access through a reference. Compile each of the following :

```
package plain;

public class PlainFiddle{
    //play(): protected(#)
    protected void play(){
        System.out.println("play(): "+this);
    }
}
```

```
package plain;                //same package

class SamePackageClass{

    public static void main(String[] args){
        PlainFiddle p = new PlainFiddle();
        p.play();             //works! package access
    }
}
```

```
package classic;              //different package
import plain.PlainFiddle;

class ClassicFiddle extends PlainFiddle{
    void playSweetly(){
        play();               //works! 'through inheritance'
        System.out.println(this+" playSweetly()");
    }
}
```

```
package classic;              //different package
import plain.PlainFiddle;

class ClassicFiddle extends PlainFiddle{
    void playSweetly(){
        PlainFiddle p = new PlainFiddle();
        p.play();             //won't work!
    }                          //not 'through inheritance'
}
```



## Access Modifiers – protected access

After trying the above – test the three options 1, 2 and 3 below and see if they work? In each case - reason as to why they do, or do not work.

```
...
void playSweetly(){           //change to call 'play();' as previously
    play();
    System.out.println(this+" playSweetly()");
}

public static void main(String[] args){
    /*1.
    ClassicFiddle c = new ClassicFiddle();
    c.playSweetly();
    /**/
    /*2.
    PlainFiddle p1 = new PlainFiddle();
    p1.play();           //does this work? why/why not?
    /**/
    /*3.
    PlainFiddle p2 = new ClassicFiddle();
    p2.play();           //does this work? why/why not?
    /**/
}
...
```



### Scenario 3: More Complex Protected Access

Analyse the following code until you can clearly explain to someone else why the call to `appleType.taste()` works or does not work.

```
package fruits;
public abstract class Fruit{
    protected abstract void taste();
}
```

```
package fruits.apples;
//import fruits.Fruit;
public class Apple extends fruits.Fruit{
    String type;

    public Apple(String aType)
    {
        type = aType;
    }
    public void taste(){
        System.out.println("yum");
    }
}
```

```
package drinks;
import fruits.Fruit;
import fruits.apples.Apple;
class Cider{

    Fruit appleType;

    Cider(){
        appleType = new Apple("coxes");
        appleType.taste(); //does this work?
    }
}
```

