Supplementary Material - Species differences in cue responses in woody plants of North America

Deirdre Loughnan¹ and E M Wolkovich¹ March 27, 2023




Figure 1: Estimated mean responses in budburst date of first bud to varying forcing, chilling, and photoperiod cues for 47 deciduous woody species across North America. Points represent mean budburst dates, while bars depict the 50% uncertainty interval. Negative responses represent advances budburst, while positive values represent delaying effects.




Figure 2: Species differences in cue estimate posterior distributions, comparing species differences across a) chilling, b) forcing, and c) photoperiod cues. The median cue response is illustrated by the black circle, while the 90% quantile interval is illustrated by the black line. The coloured distribution depicts the shape of the posterior density for all samples of a given species. Species are ordered alphabetically




Figure 3: Species differences were accounted for by including phylogenetic effects on the species intercept. The phylogeny was obtained by pruning the existing tree for flowering plants developed by Smith and Brown (2018)

Table 1: Mean budburst dates across all treatments from raw data for 47 species at our two western sites, E.C. Manning Park and Smithers B.C., and our two eastern sites, Harvard Forest

(HF) USA and St. Hippolyte (SH) Canada.

Species	Harvard Forest	St. Hippoltye	Manning Park	Smithers
Acer glabrum			36.00	39.00
Acer pensylvanicum	16.00	18.00		
Acer rubrum	22.00	25.00		
Acer saccharum	45.00	36.00		
Alnus incana			28.00	30.00
Alnus incana	33.00	25.00		
Alnus viridis			44.00	43.00
Amelanchier alnifolia			18.00	17.00
Aronia melanocarpa	14.00			
Betula alleghaniensis	20.00	21.00		
Betula lenta	30.00			
Betula papyrifera				30.00
Betula papyrifera	17.00	18.00		
Corylus cornuta	25.00	19.00		
Cornus stolonifera			14.00	16.00
Fagus grandifolia	42.00	43.00		
Fraxinus nigra	38.00	38.00		
Hamamelis virginiana	44.00			
Ilex mucronata	16.00	15.00		
Kalmia angustifolia	30.00	32.00		
Lonicera canadensis	17.00	16.00		
Lonicera involucrata			22.00	19.00
Lyonia ligustrina	31.00			
Menziesia ferruginea			43.00	46.00
Nyssa sylvatica	32.00			
Populus balsamifera			30.00	31.00
Populus grandidentata	33.00	31.00		
Populus tremuloides			46.00	35.00
Prunus pensylvanica	18.00	16.00		
Quercus alba	45.00			
Quercus rubra	36.00	34.00		
Quercus velutina	52.00			
Rhamnus frangula	32.00			
Rhododendron albiflorum			19.00	
Rhododendron prinophyllum	29.00			
Ribes lacustre			29.00	23.00
Rubus parviflorus			28.00	29.00
Sambucus racemosa			33.00	
Shepherdia canadensis			25.00	23.00
Sorbus scopulina			21.00	18.00
Spiraea alba	18.00	20.00		
Spiraea betulifolia			24.00	18.00
Spiraea pyramidata			26.00	22.00
Symphoricarpos albus			26.00	31.00
Vaccinium membranaceum			22.00	23.00
Vaccinium myrtilloides	13.00	17.00	, ,	- 00
Viburnum cassinoides	15.00	18.00		
Viburnum edule	_5.50	_0.30	19.00	8.00
Viburnum lantanoides	31.00	28.00	_0.30	2.00
	4	20.00		
	4			

Table 2: Chill units from our two western sites, E.C. Manning Park and Smithers B.C., and our two eastern sites, Harvard Forest (HF) USA and St. Hippolyte(SH) Canada.

two castern stees, that vard Polest (III) ostil and st. Imppolyte(SII) canada.						
Population	Chilling.treatment	Chilling.Hours	Utah.Model	Chill.Portions		
Harvard forest	Field chilling	892	814.50	56.62		
Harvard forest	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 4 degree C	2140	2062.50	94.06		
Harvard forest	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 1.5 degree C	2140	1702.50	91.17		
St. Hippoltye	Field chilling	682	599.50	44.63		
St. Hippoltye	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 4 degree C	1930	1847.50	82.06		
St. Hippoltye	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 1.5 degree C	1930	1487.50	79.18		
Smithers	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 4 degree C	1965	2016.00	74.67		
Smithers	Field chilling $+$ 70 d at 4 degree C	1317	1368.00	54.95		
Manning Park	Field chilling $+$ 30 d at 4 degree C	1861	2025.00	75.33		
Manning Park	Field chilling $+$ 70 d at 4 degree C	1213	1377.00	55.09		

Table 3: Proportion of samples with budburst per species

Table 5: Proportion of samples with budburst per species						
Species name	Proportion budburst	Plant type				
Acer glabrum	0.83	tree				
Alnus incana	1.00	shrub				
Alnus viridis	0.92	shrub				
Amelanchier alnifolia	0.99	shrub				
Betula papyrifera	1.00	tree				
Cornus stolonifera	0.99	shrub				
Lonicera involucrata	0.87	shrub				
Menziesia ferruginea	0.80	shrub				
Populus balsamifera	0.98	tree				
Populus tremuloides	0.90	tree				
Rhododendron albiflorum	1.00	shrub				
Ribes lacustre	0.82	shrub				
Rubus parviflorus	0.94	shrub				
Sambucus racemosa	0.95	shrub				
Shepherdia canadensis	1.00	shrub				
Sorbus scopulina	0.99	shrub				
Spiraea betulifolia	0.94	shrub				
Spiraea pyramidata	0.92	shrub				
Symphoricarpos albus	0.84	shrub				
Vaccinium membranaceum	0.90	shrub				
Viburnum edule	1.00	shrub				