

| SDP user guide | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|------|------|-----------|
| | Missing | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
| Clarity of the Installation Instructions (3 marks) | | | | | |
| Are all processes described comprehensively (i.e. how to install code, the requirements and dependencies)? | | | | | |
| Is there an appropriate level of technical language and explanation ("1st year CS student")? | | | | | |
| Comprehensiveness of the Usage Information (6 marks) | | | | | |
| Is the usage information clearly structured? | | | | | |
| Is there an overview of the robot's construction and components? | | | | | |
| Are all physical interactions with the robot clear, e.g. how to turn it on, swap batteries, etc.? | | | | | |
| Is there an overview of the software structure? | | | | | |
| Are all software interactions clear, e.g. how to carry out calibration, operate the robot, etc.? | | | | | |
| Are all the functional capacities of the robot and its expected behaviour in different situations explained? | | | | | |
| Are good usability decisions in the design of the robot and software apparent? | | | | | |
| Troubleshooting Guide (2 marks) | | | | | |
| Are all key potential problems identified? | | | | | |
| Are useful solutions presented? | | | | | |
| Quality of the Report/Presentation (4 marks) | | | | | |
| Good overall organisation, easy to find specific information | | | | | |
| General appearance (consideration of page limit, good use of diagrams and tables, nice layout, suitable use of appendices) | | | | | |
| Correct spelling and grammar, good expression, readability | | | | | |
| Adequate citation of background material/sources if relevant | | | | | |

General comments:

Final Mark: