

Influenza Mortality and Vulnerability Analysis : Interim Report

1. Project Overview

Motivation:

The United States experiences an annual influenza season, increasing the demand for hospital staffing. Vulnerable populations, such as the elderly and young children, require additional care, placing a strain on hospitals and clinics. This project aims to help a medical staffing agency effectively plan for the upcoming influenza season.

Objective:

To determine when and how many staff members should be sent to each state to manage seasonal influenza demands.

Scope:

This project covers staffing needs for hospitals across all 50 U.S. states during influenza season.

Success Factors:

- Effective staffing with minimal instances of understaffing (<90%) or overstaffing (>110%).
- Full utilization of available staffing resources without additional hires.

2. Research Hypothesis

Hypothesis Statement:

States with larger vulnerable populations will experience higher influenza-related mortality rates, requiring increased medical staffing.

3. Data Overview

Dataset 1: Influenza Deaths by Geography

- **Source:** [CDC](#)
- **Key Variables:** State, year, number of deaths.
- **Purpose:** Highlights historical trends in influenza-related fatalities to identify states with greater mortality risks.
- **Summary:**
 - Mean deaths per state: **34.38**

- Standard deviation: **37.13**
- States with highest death totals: **California, New York, Texas.**

Dataset 2: Population Data by Age and Gender

- **Source:** [U.S. Census Bureau](#)
- **Key Variables:** State, age group (<5 years, >65 years), gender, population count.
- **Purpose:** Provides insights into vulnerable populations by geography to inform staffing prioritization.
- **Summary:**
 - Mean population per state: **59,540,484.05**
 - Standard deviation: **220,140,503.70**
 - States with largest vulnerable populations: **Texas, Georgia, Missouri.**

Integrated Data:

- **Description:** Combines CDC Influenza Mortality data and Census Population data for 2009–2017.
- **Normalization:**
 - Death rates per 100 population were normalized for comparability across states and age groups.
 - Age groups were reconciled to align CDC and Census data formats.
- **Key Insights:**
 - Senior Citizens (65+) exhibit higher normalized mortality rates than Adults (<65).
 - Smaller states often show disproportionately high normalized mortality rates, highlighting healthcare disparities.

4. Data Limitations

1. Influenza Deaths by Geography (CDC Data):

- **Historical Data:**
Data covers 2009–2017 and may not reflect the dynamics of more recent influenza seasons, including any changes in patterns due to COVID-19 or other factors.
- **Reporting Standards:**
Differences in state reporting methods (e.g., variations in how deaths are attributed to influenza) could lead to inconsistencies in the dataset.

2. Population Data by Age and Gender (US Census Data):

- **Estimates:**
Census population data relies on projections, which may not perfectly reflect actual populations. Variability in estimation accuracy between states may also impact analysis.
- **Granularity:**
Data is limited to age and gender categories, without details about other health conditions, healthcare access, or socioeconomic factors, which may impact vulnerability.

3. Integrated Data Challenges:

- **Missing Data:**
Imputation was performed for missing entries (e.g. Washington D.C. 2011), introducing potential uncertainty in mortality estimates.
- **Age Alignment:**
Reconciliation of mismatched age groups between datasets (for instance combining “Under 1 year” and “1–4 years” into “Under 5 years”) introduces approximations that may affect accuracy in age-specific analysis.

5. Descriptive Analysis

Core Metrics:

- **Influenza Deaths:**
 - Mean deaths per state: **34.38**
 - Standard deviation: **37.13**
 - States with highest death totals: **California, New York, Texas.**
- **Vulnerable Populations:**
 - Mean population per state: **59,540,484.05**
 - Standard deviation: **220,140,503.70**
 - States with largest vulnerable populations: **Texas, Georgia, Missouri.**

Correlation Analysis:

- A **strong positive correlation** exists between the size of the elderly population in a state and influenza-related deaths.
- **Key Insight:** States with larger elderly populations require more targeted staffing and resources to mitigate mortality risks.

6. Results and Insights

Statistical Hypothesis

- **Objective:** To determine if the normalized mortality rate for Senior Citizens (65+) is statistically higher than that for Adults (<65).
- **Hypotheses:**
 - H0(Null Hypothesis): Senior Citizens (65+) normalized mortality rate \leq Adults (<65) normalized mortality rate.
 - HA (Alternative Hypothesis): Senior Citizens (65+) normalized mortality rate $>$ Adults (<65) normalized mortality rate.

Statistical Test:

- **Test Type:** One-tailed t-test ($\alpha=0.05$).
- **Results:**
 - Mean (Adults): **0.343**
 - Mean (Senior Citizens): **5.035**
 - Variance (Adults): **3.622**
 - Variance (Senior Citizens): **1489.121**
 - T Statistic: **-2.602**
 - p-value: **0.0048**

Conclusion:

- **Reject H0:** Senior Citizens (65+) have statistically higher normalized mortality rates compared to Adults (<65).

Interpretation:

- **Key Insight:** Senior Citizens (65+) are at a significantly greater risk, underscoring the need for targeted interventions such as vaccination campaigns and resource prioritization.

7. Appendix Materials

1. Data Profiles

- **Dataset 1:** [Influenza Deaths by Geography \(CDC\):](#)
 - Time range: 2009–2017.
 - Key variables: State, year, deaths.
 - Summary: Highlights historical mortality trends to identify high-risk states.

- **Dataset 2: [Population Data by Age and Gender \(U.S. Census Bureau\)](#):**
 - Key variables: State, age group (<5, >65), population count.
 - Summary: Used to identify vulnerable populations by geography.

2. Statistical Analysis Outputs

- **Descriptive Statistics:**
 - Means and standard deviations for:
 - Influenza deaths by state (mean: 34.38, SD: 37.13).
 - Vulnerable populations (mean: 59,540,484.05, SD: 220,140,503.70).
- **Hypothesis Testing Summary:**
 - Hypotheses, t-statistic, critical value, p-value, and conclusion (Senior Citizens have higher normalized mortality rates than Adults).

3. Integration Process

- **Key Adjustments:**
 - Age groups were aligned between datasets (e.g., combining "Under 1 year" and "1–4 years" into "Under 5 years").
 - Missing data (e.g., Washington D.C. deaths in 2011) was imputed using averages from adjacent years.

4. References

- **Data Sources:**
 - [CDC Influenza Mortality Data](#).
 - [U.S. Census Bureau Population Data](#).

8. Remaining Analysis and Next Steps

Remaining Analyses

1. **Spatial Visualizations:**
 - Create heatmaps to illustrate:
 - Influenza mortality rates by state.
 - Normalized death rates per 100 population across regions.
2. **Temporal Trends Analysis:**
 - Analyze yearly trends in influenza-related mortality to identify peak seasons or anomalies (e.g. severe flu seasons like 2009 with H1N1).

- Compare normalized death rates over time to assess the effectiveness of interventions (e.g., vaccination campaigns).

3. Detailed Age Group Analysis:

- Further investigate mortality patterns within specific age groups (e.g. "85+ years" or Infants).
- Correlate age-specific normalized death rates with healthcare access or vaccination coverage.

4. Socioeconomic Correlations

- Explore socioeconomic variables (e.g., poverty rates, healthcare access) to explain variations in mortality rates between states.

Next Steps

1. Stakeholder Presentation:

- Develop a stakeholder-friendly presentation focusing on:
 - Key findings (for instance Senior Citizens have higher mortality rates).
 - Recommendations for resource allocation and targeted interventions.
 - Visual summaries of high-risk areas and trends.

2. Recommendations Development:

- Use the insights from analyses to develop actionable recommendations:
 - Increase vaccination drives for high-risk groups (Senior Citizens, specific states).
 - Allocate resources to states with high normalized death rates and vulnerable populations.
 - Propose funding for states with healthcare disparities.