QQ邮箱 - 打印邮件



## [quickjs-devel] Re: An issue about arguments

发件人: Fabrice Bellard<fabrice@bellard.org> 时 间: 2019年12月21日(星期六)凌晨4:39

收件人: quickjs-devel<quickjs-devel@freelists.org>

This is another point where there is no consensus among the various JS engines, so it is not really a bug. To be more precise:

- ES2019 tells that the engine should throw an exception as does QuickJS.
- SpiderMonkey returns null.
- V8 returns undefined.

The same problem is present for (function(){}).caller, but it is even worse as the TC39 test262 testsuite has contradictory tests.

QuickJS has a workaround for the "caller" field i.e. it returns undefined in sloppy mode and throws in strict mode. In order to be more consistent, I will add the same workaround for the "argument" field and disable the corresponding test262 test (built-ins/Function/prototype/restricted-property-arguments.js).

Best regards,

Fabrice.

```
On 12/20/19 3:21 AM, 熊猫 wrote:
> # Version: quickjs-2019-10-27
> # Testcase:
> var NISLFuzzingFunc = function(){
    var c = function(){};
>
     print(c.arguments);
>
> };
> NISLFuzzingFunc();
> # Command:
> quickjs-2019-10-27/qjs testcase.js
>
> # Output:
> TypeError: invalid property access
> # Expected output:
```

> undefined

>

- > # Description:
- > "arguments" is restricted in strict mode not non-strict mode, so it
- > should not throw any exceptions.

>

- > Best Regards,
- > Livy