| Name: | | Date: | Start time: | End Time: |
|-------|---|--------------------|---|--------------|
| 1. Ir | nterpreted the c | question (10pts) | | |
| | a/2 points | : Asked meaning | gful clarifying questions | |
| | b/2 points | : Identified input | s and outputs | |
| | c/2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain | | | |
| | d/4 points | : Identified corre | ct data structure, and alg | gorithm |
| | e. Notes: | | | |
| 2. S | olved the techr | nical problem (1 | 2pts) | |
| | a/4 points | : Presents esser | itial pseudocode solution | 1 |
| | b/3 points | : Code was synt | actically correct | |
| | c/3 points | : Code was idior | natically correct | |
| | d/2 points | : Solution was th | ne best possible option | |
| | e. Notes: | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. A | nalyzed the pro | posed solution | (6pts) | |
| | a/2 points | ։ Stepped throu | gh their solution | |
| | b/2 points | : Big O time and | space are analyzed | |
| | c/2 points | : Explain an app | roach to testing | |
| | d. Notes: | | | |
| | | 66 1 | (10) | |
| 4. C | | effectively throu | • | |
| | • | | r thought process | |
| | • | : Used correct te | 0, | |
| | • | | vailable effectively | |
| | • | | nfident (not listening to s | , |
| | e/1 point: | Was not under-o | confident (unsure of know | vn algorithm |
| | f/1 point: | Whiteboard was | readable (penmanship a | ınd spacing) |
| | g. Notes: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | /40 Total ı | points | | |