

# CLASSIFICATION OF FUSION CATEGORIES

---

## 1. PROLOGUE

---

What are fusion categories? What are near-groups, Haagerup–Izumi categories and quadratic categories? What is modular data?

## 2. THE CUNTZ ALGEBRA APPROACH OF IZUMI

---

Take  $\mathbf{Vec}_G$  to be skeletal. Consider an associativity constraint  $a_{ghk} : ghk \dashv\dashv > ghk$ . Since  $ghk$  is a simple object,  $\mathrm{Hom}(ghk, ghk) \cong \mathbb{k}$ , whence  $a_{ghk} = \lambda_{ghk} \mathrm{id}_{ghk}$  for some  $\lambda_{ghk} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ . Note that the pentagon diagram enforces certain conditions on our choice of  $\lambda_{ghk}$ ; in particular, if we look at this diagram, we'll see that  $\lambda_{ghk} = \omega(g, h, k)$  for some 3-cocycle  $\omega$ . By this, we mean a map  $\omega : G \times G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$  satisfying

$$\omega(x, y, zw)\omega(xy, z, w)\omega(y, z, w)^{-1}\omega(x, yz, w)^{-1}\omega(x, y, z)^{-1} = 1$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in G$ . We will henceforth denote by  $\mathbf{Vec}_G^\omega$  the category of  $G$ -graded vector spaces with associativity constraint  $a_{ghk} = \omega(g, h, k) \mathrm{id}_{ghk}$ , for all  $g, h, k \in G$ , and  $\mathbf{Vec}_G$  the category of  $G$ -graded vector spaces with trivial associativity.

Consider the category  $\mathrm{End}(M)$ , for  $M$  a hyperfinite type III factor. This category is strict, as  $\rho \otimes \sigma := \rho \circ \sigma$  by definition. Every near-group category with group  $G$  contains some copy of  $\mathbf{Vec}_G^\omega$  corresponding to the group-like part. Because every unitary near-group category is a subcategory of  $\mathrm{End}(M)$  and is hence itself strict, we know that it will actually contain the “strictification” of some  $\mathbf{Vec}_G^\omega$ . However, Izumi shows that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is any fusion category containing a simple object that is fixed under tensor products with invertibles (that is, there exists some simple object  $X$  such that  $X \otimes g \cong X$  for all invertible  $g$ ), then it contains a copy of  $\mathbf{Vec}_G$ , for  $G$  the group of isomorphism classes of invertible objects. He shows in addition that if the fusion category is also unitary, then  $g \otimes X = X$  (but we may not necessarily have that  $X \otimes g = X$ ). The upshot is that we almost know how objects are tensored, since the group-like part will have trivial associativity (that is,  $g \otimes h = gh$ ). We just need to understand  $X \otimes g$  and  $X \otimes X$ , as well as the morphisms.

In [Izu17], Izumi showed that every unitary near-group category  $\mathcal{C}$  with multiplicity  $m$  is equivalent to a subcategory of  $\mathrm{End}(M)$ , where  $M$  is the hyperfinite type III<sub>1</sub> factor. In particular, it is generated by a single irreducible endomorphism  $\rho \in \mathrm{End}_0(M)$  satisfying the fusion rules

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho] \otimes [\rho] &= \bigoplus_{g \in G} [\alpha_g] \oplus [\rho]^{\oplus m}, \\ [\alpha_g] \otimes [\alpha_h] &= [\alpha_{gh}], \\ [\alpha_g] \otimes [\rho] &= [\rho] \otimes [\alpha_g] = [\rho], \end{aligned}$$

where the map  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(M)$  induces an injective homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\mathrm{Out}(M)$ .

The main result of [Izu17] is [Izu17, Theorem 4.9]. Essentially, there is a bijective correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of unitary near-group categories with finite group  $G$  and multiplicity parameter  $m$  and the set of equivalence classes of admissible tuples  $(\mathcal{K}, j_1, j_2, V, U_{\mathcal{K}}, \chi, l)$  (see [Izu17, Definition 4.8]). Here  $\mathcal{K}$  is the finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathrm{Hom}(\rho, \rho^2)$ ,  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  are two antilinear isometries of  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $V$  and  $U_{\mathcal{K}}$  are unitary representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\{\chi_g\}_{g \in G}$  are characters of  $G$  and  $l$  is a linear map from  $\mathcal{K}$  to the set  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K})$  of bounded operators  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ .

By [Izu17, Theorem 9.1], the unitary near-group categories with finite Abelian group  $G$  and  $m = |G|$  are completely classified tuples of the form  $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, a, b, c)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is a non-degenerate symmetric bicharacter and where  $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfy various conditions. When we say that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a bicharacter, we mean that

$$\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, yz \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \langle x, z \rangle$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . By non-degenerate, we mean that

$$\langle x, \cdot \rangle = \langle y, \cdot \rangle$$

if and only if  $x = y$ . This is equivalent to the map  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$  given by  $x \mapsto \langle x, \cdot \rangle$  being an isomorphism.

**Example 2.1.** (Fibonacci Category). Let's look at the Fibonacci category. This is the near-group with  $G = \{0\}$  and  $m = 1$ . Our choice for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is obvious, and [Izu17, Lemma 7.1] tells us that

$$c^3 a(0) = \sqrt{n} = 1 \implies a(0) = c^{-3}.$$

Moreover, [Izu17, Theorem 9.1] tells us that  $b$  is defined by  $b : 0 \mapsto -1/d$ , where  $d$  corresponds to the dimension of our irreducible generator  $\rho$ . Let's determine  $c$  and  $d$ . Because  $b$  is equal to its own Fourier transform, [Izu17, Theorem 9.1] tells us that

$$b(0) = ca(0)b(0) \implies a(0) = c^{-1}.$$

In order for  $c^{-1} = c^{-3}$ , we require  $c = \pm 1$ . Finally, [Izu17, Equation 9.5] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} b(0)b(0)b(0) &= b(0)b(0) \mp \frac{1}{d}, \\ \implies -\frac{1}{d^3} &= \frac{1}{d^2} \mp \frac{1}{d}, \\ \implies \pm d^2 - d - 1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This only has a real solution when  $c = 1$ , whence  $d$  is nothing but the golden ratio (as it cannot be negative in the unitary case - this alternative solution, known as the Galois dual, corresponds to a non-unitary near-group in this case and many others). This is exactly what we would expect, as  $d$  is the dimension of  $X$  (where  $d^2 = 1 + d$  comes from the fusion rule  $X^2 = \mathbb{1} \oplus X$ ).

**Example 2.2.** ( $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ). Let's look at the case where  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $m = 2$ . This near-group corresponds to the even part of the type  $A_4$  subfactor. We know the dimension is

$$d_{\pm} := \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + 4n}}{2} = 1 \pm \sqrt{3}.$$

In the unitary setting, we of course ask that  $d$  be positive, and hence we choose  $d = d_+$ . The only possibility for a non-degenerate bicharacter is

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 1, \quad \langle 0, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, 0 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1, 1 \rangle = -1.$$

From [Izu17, Equation 7.8], it follows that

$$a(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a(1) = \pm i.$$

Meanwhile, [Izu17, Equation 9.4] tells us that

$$\overline{b(1)} = \pm i b(1) \implies \Re(b(1)) = \mp \Im(b(1)),$$

whence [Izu17, Equation 9.3] gives us

$$\Re(b(1))^2 + \Im(b(1))^2 = (b(1)\overline{b(1)})^2 = \frac{1}{2} \implies b(1) = \frac{1 - a(1)}{2}.$$

It then follows from evaluating [Izu17, Equation 9.1] with  $g = 0$  and rearranging for  $c$  that

$$c = \frac{1 - \sqrt{3} + a(1)(1 + \sqrt{3})}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Note that we may choose either  $a(1) = i$  or  $a(1) = -i$ ; both of these lead to solutions. Moreover, in the non-unitary setting, we may take the Galois conjugate of  $d$ .

**Example 2.3.** ( $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ). Let's determine the Haagerup–Izumi categories with  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let

$$d_{\pm} := \frac{n \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 4}}{2},$$

where in this example  $d := 1 + \sqrt{2}$ . Izumi's classification involves a triplet  $(\epsilon_h(g), \omega(g), A_{h,k}(g))$ , where  $\epsilon_h(g) \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\omega(g) \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $A_{h,k}(g) \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy [Izu18, Equations 4.1–4.9]. Well, we know

$$\epsilon_0(0) = \epsilon_1(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_0(1) = \epsilon_0(1)\epsilon_0(1) \implies \epsilon_0(1) = 1.$$

By [Izu18, Equation 4.7],

$$A_{0,0}(g) = A_{0,0}(g)\omega(g),$$

which tells us that either  $\omega(g) = 1$  or  $A_{0,0}(g) = 0$  for each  $g \in G$ . Let's fix any  $g \in G$  and consider the case when  $A_{0,0}(g) = 0$ . In this case, however, [Izu18, Equations 4.3 and 4.4] give us

$$A_{1,0}(g)\overline{A_{\delta_{g,0}-g,0}(g)} = 1 - \frac{|\omega(g)|}{d} \implies \frac{1}{d^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{d}.$$

This “equality” is nonsense; we must therefore have  $\omega(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . Suppose now that  $\epsilon_1(1) = 1$ . Then [Izu18, Equation 4.7] gives us

$$A_{0,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,0}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{0,1}(1) = A_{1,1}(1) = A_{1,0}(1),$$

while [Izu18, Equation 4.8] gives us  $A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(1)$ . Now, [Izu18, Equations 4.4 and 4.6] tell us

$$A_{0,1}(0)A_{1,1}(1) + A_{1,1}(0)A_{1,0}(1) = 0.$$

Thus  $A_{0,1}(g) = A_{1,1}(g) = A_{1,0}(g) = 0$  and hence  $A_{0,0}(g) = -1/d$  by [Izu18, Equation 4.3]. However, in this case we cannot satisfy [Izu18, Equation 4.9]. Suppose instead that  $\epsilon_1(1) = -1$ . With this new 2-cocycle, [Izu18, Equation 4.7] now gives us

$$A_{0,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,0}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{0,1}(1) = -A_{1,1}(1) = A_{1,0}(1),$$

while [Izu18, Equation 4.8] gives us  $A_{1,1}(1) = -A_{1,1}(0)$ . We then see by [Izu18, Equation 4.4] that

$$A_{1,0}(0) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \pm \frac{1}{d-1},$$

and

$$A_{0,0}(0)A_{1,0}(0)^2 = A_{1,0}(0)^2 + A_{1,0}(0)^3 \implies A_{0,0}(0) = 1 + A_{1,0}(0) = \frac{d-1 \pm 1}{d-1}$$

by [Izu18, Equation 4.9]. Finally, [Izu18, Equation 4.3] allows us to deduce that

$$A_{1,0}(0) = -\frac{1}{d-1},$$

whence

$$A(0) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d-2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A(1) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d-2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 2.4.** Suppose that  $|G|$  is odd. Then [Izu18, Equation 4.1] tells us that  $\epsilon_h(g) = 1$ , while [Izu18, Equation 4.2] tells us that  $\omega(g)$  does not depend on  $g$ . Moreover,  $A_{h,k}(g)$  cannot depend on  $g$  by [Izu18, Equation 4.5], and either  $\omega = 1$  or  $A_{0,0} = 0$  by [Izu18, Equation 4.7]. In this case, [Izu18, Equations 4.1–4.9] reduce to the following four equations.

$$A_{h,k} = A_{-k,h-k}\omega = A_{k-h,-h}\bar{\omega},$$

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{h,0} = -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{d_{\pm}},$$

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{h-g,k} A_{k,h-g'} = \delta_{g,g'} - \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{d_{\pm}},$$

$$\sum_{l \in G} A_{x+y,l} A_{-x,l+p} A_{-y,l+q} = A_{p+x,q+x+y} A_{q+y,p+x+y} - \frac{\delta_{x,0}\delta_{y,0}}{d_{\pm}}.$$

The first three equations above are precisely [EG17, Equations 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9]! In particular, to see that our third equation is equivalent to [EG17, Equation 4.9], we simply make the change of variables  $\hat{g} := g' - g$  and  $\hat{h} := h - g'$ , whence we obtain

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{\hat{h}+\hat{g},k} A_{k,\hat{h}} = \delta_{\hat{g},0} - \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{d_{\pm}}.$$

Similarly, using our first equation while making the change of variables  $\hat{l} := l - x - y$ ,  $\hat{p} := p + x + y$ ,  $\hat{q} := q + x + y$ ,  $\hat{x} := -x$  and  $\hat{y} := -y$ , our fourth equation becomes

$$\bar{\omega} \sum_{\hat{l} \in G} A_{\hat{l},\hat{x}+\hat{y}} A_{\hat{x},\hat{l}+\hat{p}} A_{\hat{y},\hat{l}+\hat{q}} = A_{\hat{y}+\hat{p},\hat{q}} A_{\hat{x}+\hat{q},\hat{p}} - \frac{\delta_{\hat{x},0}\delta_{\hat{y},0}}{d_{\pm}},$$

showing that it is equivalent to [EG17, Equation 4.11].

### 3. THE LEAVITT ALGEBRA APPROACH OF EVANS–GANNON

---

The important result is [EG17, Theorem 2].

**Example 3.1.** (Yang–Lee Category). Let  $G = \{0\}$ . Then [EG17, Equation 4.7] demands that

$$A_{0,0} = \omega A_{0,0} = \bar{\omega} A_{0,0} \implies \omega = 1,$$

whence [EG17, Equation 4.8] tells us that

$$A_{0,0} = -\frac{1}{d_{\pm}}.$$

The rest of [EG17, Equations 4.7–4.10] are satisfied by these choices. Hence by [EG17, Theorem 2], we have two fusion categories for  $G = \{0\}$ ; a unitary one with  $\pm = +$  (the Fibonacci category) and a non-unitary one with  $\pm = -$  (the Yang–Lee category).

**Example 3.2.** ( $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ). In [EG17], the classification was only given for  $|G|$  odd. Mimicking [Izu18], however, we can extend it to the case when  $|G|$  is even. Equations

To illustrate this, suppose we let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .



## REFERENCES

---

- [EG17] Evans, D. E. and Gannon, T., *Non-unitary fusion categories and their doubles via endomorphisms*, Adv. Math. 310 (2017), pp. 1–43.
- [Izu17] Izumi, M., *A Cuntz algebra approach to the classification of near-group categories*, Proceedings of the 2014 Maui and 2015 Qinhuangdao Conferences in Honour of Vaughan F. R. Jones’ 60th Birthday, vol. 46, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ. Australian National University, Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Canberra, 2017, pp. 222–343.
- [Izu18] Izumi, M., *The classification of  $3^n$  subfactors and related fusion categories*, Quantum Topol. 9 (2018), pp. 473–562.