



# $C^*$ -Algebras of Discrete Groups

**Daniel Dunmore**

**Supervised by Arnaud Brothier**

**University of New South Wales**

# Outline

---

The topics we would like to talk about today are related to the  $C^*$ -algebras of discrete groups and the theory of amenability. In particular, we would like to introduce

- the definition of a (discrete) group  $C^*$ -algebra;
- the classical characterisation of amenability;
- the connection between amenability and group  $C^*$ -algebras;
- alternative characterisations of amenability.

# Motivation

---

Applications of group  $C^*$ -algebras:

- representation theory
- topology

Applications of amenability:

- ergodic theory

# Unitary Representations [Gelfand-Rykov 43]

---

A *unitary representation* is a homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$ .

*Trivial representation:*  $1_G : g \mapsto \text{id}_H$ .

*Left regular representation:* unitary rep  $\lambda$  taking  $g$  to  $\lambda_g$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_g : \ell^2(G) &\rightarrow \ell^2(G) \\ \xi &\mapsto g \cdot \xi.\end{aligned}$$

## Group $C^*$ -Algebras [Murray-von Neumann 36-43; Gelfand-Rykov 43; Segal 47]

---

First define the *group algebra*,  $\mathbb{C}G$ : elements are  $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ , for finitely many non-zero  $a_g \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Unitary reps of  $G$  extend bijectively to unital reps of  $\mathbb{C}G$ .

Two most significant group  $C^*$ -algebras:

- *Reduced*,  $C_r^*(G)$ : norm completion wrt  $\|\cdot\|_r := \|\lambda(\cdot)\|$ .
- *Universal*,  $C^*(G)$ :  $\|\cdot\|_U := \sup \{\|\pi(\cdot)\| : \text{unitary rep } \pi\}$ .

Hierarchy:  $G \subset \mathbb{C}G \subseteq C_r^*(G) \subseteq C^*(G)$ .

The reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra is easy, while the universal one can be quite unfriendly. Can we study  $C^*(G)$  by means of  $C_r^*(G)$ ?

## Amenability [von Neumann 29]

---

A discrete group  $G$  is amenable if it admits a  $G$ -invariant mean; a linear functional  $m : \ell^\infty(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

- $m(1) = 1$ ;
- $m(f) \geq 0$  for all  $f \geq 0$ ;
- $m(g \cdot f) = m(f)$ .

Amenability is inherited by subgroups and preserved under group homomorphisms.

$G$ -invariant mean for finite group (it really is just a mean):

$$m : f \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g)$$

More examples: Abelian groups, infinite dihedral group, etc.

Non-examples: braid groups, free groups, etc.



## Amenability via Weak Containment [Hulanicki-Reiter 66]

Let  $G$  be a discrete group. Then the following are equivalent:

- $G$  is amenable;
- $\|\lambda(a)\| \geq \|1_G(a)\|$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}G$ ;
- $\|\lambda(a)\| \geq \|\pi(a)\|$ ,  $\forall$  unitary reps  $\pi$  of  $G$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}G$ .

Immediate corollary:  $G$  is amenable iff  $\|\cdot\|_r = \|\cdot\|_u$ . That is, amenability is equivalent to saying that  $C^*(G) = C_r^*(G)$ !

## Banach-Tarski Paradox

---

Banach-Tarski paradox: a 2-sphere  $S^2$  can be decomposed into a finite number of disjoint subsets, which can be rearranged by rotation only (actions of  $SO(3)$ ) to form two exact copies of  $S^2$ .

A discrete group admits such a paradoxical decomposition iff it is not amenable. [Neumann 29]

Do we observe this paradox on the circle?

This concludes the presentation. I hope you found this brief look into the theory of group  $C^*$ -algebras as enjoyable and insightful as I have.

Thank you very much for your attention!

AMSI **VACATION** RESEARCH  
SCHOLARSHIPS 2021–22

*Get a taste  
for Research  
this Summer*

