

CLASSIFICATION OF FUSION CATEGORIES

1. PROLOGUE

What are fusion categories? What are near-groups, Haagerup–Izumi categories and quadratic categories? What is modular data? What are $6j$ symbols? What is the even part of a subfactor?

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category over \mathbb{k} with representatives $\{X_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ of isomorphism classes of simple objects, and choose bases for each multiplicity space $H_{i,j}^l := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_l, X_i \otimes X_j)$. The (*quantum*) *6j-symbols* of \mathcal{C} are the matrix blocks

$$\Phi_{i_1, i_2, i_3}^{i_4} : \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} (H_{j, i_3}^{i_4} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H_{i_1, i_2}^j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l \in \Gamma} (H_{i_1, l}^{i_4} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H_{i_2, i_3}^l)$$

of the change-of-basis matrices $\Phi_{i_1, i_2, i_3}^{i_4} := \bigoplus_{i_4 \in \Gamma} \Phi_{i_1, i_2, i_3}^{i_4}$, and are given by

$$\Phi_{i_1, i_2, i_3}^{i_4} := v^{-1}(X_{i_4}, X_{i_1}, X_{i_2} \otimes X_{i_3}) \circ (\mathfrak{J}(\alpha_{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}}))_{X_{i_4}} \circ u(X_{i_4}, X_{i_1} \otimes X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u(U, V, W) &: \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, X_j \otimes W) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, V)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V \otimes W), \\ v(U, V, W) &: \bigoplus_{l \in \Gamma} (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V \otimes X_l) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_l, W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V \otimes W) \end{aligned}$$

are the maps defined by

$$u(U, V, W) := \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} u_j(U, V, W) \quad \text{and} \quad v(U, V, W) := \bigoplus_{l \in \Gamma} v_l(U, V, W),$$

with

$$[u_j(U, V, W)](f \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g) := (g \otimes \text{id}_W) \circ f \quad \text{and} \quad [v_l(U, V, W)](f' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g') := (\text{id}_V \otimes g') \circ f'$$

for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, X_j \otimes W)$, $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, V)$, $f' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(U, V \otimes X_l)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_l, W)$. Recall now the Yoneda embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_*(X) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X), \\ \mathfrak{J}_*(f : X \rightarrow Y) &:= (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the Yoneda embedding of a component $\alpha_{X,Y,Z} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$ of the associativity natural isomorphism α , we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{J}_*(\alpha_{X,Y,Z}) = (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X \otimes (Y \otimes Z))).$$

Thus we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$[\mathfrak{J}_*(\alpha_{X,Y,Z})](W) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, X \otimes (Y \otimes Z));$$

that is, an invertible matrix. In other words, the associativity is given by matrices indexed by X, Y, Z, W . But why do we call these 6j symbols? Well, we can simplify our picture further. Suppose we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, (X_1 \otimes X_2) \otimes X_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_1 \otimes (X_2 \otimes X_3)).$$

Let X_5 and X_6 be simple summands of $(X_1 \otimes X_2)$ and $(X_2 \otimes X_3)$, respectively. Then we can determine our isomorphism by determining the matrices of the form

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_5 \otimes X_3) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_1 \otimes X_6)$$

for all such X_5 and X_6 . These matrices are exactly the 6j symbols, where the six simple objects $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6$ play the role of the eponymous “six j’s”. This is also where u and v come in; given $f \in H_{5,3}^4$ and $g \in H_{1,2}^5$, we have $[u_5(X_4, X_1 \otimes X_2, X_3)](f \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g) : X_4 \rightarrow (X_1 \otimes X_2) \otimes X_3$, and similarly $[v_6(X_4, X_1, X_2 \otimes X_3)](f' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g') : X_4 \rightarrow X_1 \otimes (X_2 \otimes X_3)$ for $f' \in H_{1,6}^4$ and $g' \in H_{2,3}^6$.

Note that these matrices are indeed parameterized by all “six j ’s”, as there could be many invertible matrices that give us a maps of the aforementioned form. We need X_2 in order to use the pentagon diagram for the associativity constraint and hence determine the specific invertible matrix corresponding to the associator. Moreover, X_2 tells us how the blocks

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_5 \otimes X_3) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_1 \otimes X_6)$$

fit together into the block diagonal matrix

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, (X_1 \otimes X_2) \otimes X_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_4, X_1 \otimes (X_2 \otimes X_3)).$$

Example 1.1. ($\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$). Consider $\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$, a category with two isomorphism classes of simple objects – $[\mathbb{1}]$ and $[X]$ – satisfying the fusion rule

$$X \otimes X \cong \mathbb{1}.$$

Let’s start by computing the change-of-basis matrix $\Phi_{X,X,X}$. This matrix can be written in block diagonal form as

$$\Phi_{X,X,X} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{X,X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_{X,X,X}^X \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, observe that

$$\Phi_{X,X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (X \otimes X) \otimes X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes (X \otimes X));$$

but $(X \otimes X) \otimes X \cong X \cong X \otimes (X \otimes X)$, so $\Phi_{X,X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}$ is just the isomorphism of 0-dimensional vector spaces; that is, $\Phi_{X,X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} = 0$. Let’s now compute $\Phi_{X,X,X}^X$. We shall start by choosing bases for the multiplicity spaces $H_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}$, $H_{\mathbb{1},X}^X$ and $H_{X,\mathbb{1}}^X$. These spaces are all 1-dimensional, so we will choose basis elements $\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}$, λ_X^{-1} and ρ_X^{-1} , respectively. Here we have a canonical choice of basis elements for $H_{\mathbb{1},X}^X$ and $H_{X,\mathbb{1}}^X$; namely, the left and right unitors λ and ρ . This culminates in the basis elements

$$\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes X}^X := (\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_X) \circ \lambda_X^{-1} = [u_{\mathbb{1}}(X, X \otimes X, X)](\lambda_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}})$$

and

$$\iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes X)}^X := (\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}) \circ \rho_X^{-1} = [v_{\mathbb{1}}(X, X, X \otimes X)](\rho_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}})$$

for $\mathrm{Hom}(X, (X \otimes X) \otimes X)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(X, X \otimes (X \otimes X))$, respectively. These are once again both 1-dimensional spaces, so let’s define a constant $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{k}$ for which

$$\Phi_{X,X,X}^X(\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes X}^X) =: \mu_1 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes X)}^X.$$

In order to determine μ_1 , we need to use the pentagon equation. To this end, we first choose bases for the Hom-spaces

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \otimes X), \\ & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \otimes (X \otimes X)), \\ & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (X \otimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes X), \\ & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes X)), \\ & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (X \otimes X) \otimes \mathbb{1}), \\ & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes (X \otimes \mathbb{1})). \end{aligned}$$

We can write bases for these Hom-spaces in terms of the bases we chose previously. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_{(\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\lambda_X^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{\mathbb{1} \otimes (X \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} \otimes \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}) \circ \lambda_{\mathbb{1}}^{-1}, \\
\iota_{(X \otimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\rho_X^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{X \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\text{id}_X \otimes \lambda_X^{-1}) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes \mathbb{1}}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}) \circ \lambda_{\mathbb{1}}^{-1}, \\
\iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes \mathbb{1})}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\text{id}_X \otimes \rho_X^{-1}) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This culminates in the three new constants given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathbb{1},X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}(\iota_{(\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}}) &:= \mu_2 \cdot \iota_{\mathbb{1} \otimes (X \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\Phi_{X,\mathbb{1},X}^{\mathbb{1}}(\iota_{(X \otimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}}) &:= \mu_3 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\Phi_{X,X,\mathbb{1}}^{\mathbb{1}}(\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes \mathbb{1}}^{\mathbb{1}}) &:= \mu_4 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes \mathbb{1})}^{\mathbb{1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The final bases we need are for the Hom-spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, ((X \otimes X) \otimes X) \otimes X), \\
&\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (X \otimes (X \otimes X)) \otimes X), \\
&\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (X \otimes X) \otimes (X \otimes X)), \\
&\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes ((X \otimes X) \otimes X)), \\
&\text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes (X \otimes (X \otimes X))).
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same procedure as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_{((X \otimes X) \otimes X) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes X}^X \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} = ((\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} \otimes \text{id}_X) \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{(\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{(X \otimes (X \otimes X)) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes X)}^X \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} = ((\text{id}_X \otimes \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}) \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \iota_{(X \otimes \mathbb{1}) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes (X \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} \otimes (\text{id}_X \otimes \text{id}_X)) \circ \iota_{\mathbb{1} \otimes (X \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} = ((\text{id}_X \otimes \text{id}_X) \otimes \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}}) \circ \iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes \mathbb{1}}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{X \otimes ((X \otimes X) \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\text{id}_X \otimes \iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes X}^X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} = (\text{id}_X \otimes (\iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} \otimes \text{id}_X)) \circ \iota_{X \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}}, \\
\iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes (X \otimes X))}^{\mathbb{1}} &:= (\text{id}_X \otimes \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes X)}^X) \circ \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}} = (\text{id}_X \otimes (\text{id}_X \otimes \iota_{X,X}^{\mathbb{1}})) \circ \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes \mathbb{1})}^{\mathbb{1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The pentagon diagram thus gives us

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \iota_{((X \otimes X) \otimes X) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} & & \\
& \swarrow \alpha_{X,X,X} \otimes \text{id}_X & & \searrow \alpha_{X \otimes X, X, X} & \\
\mu_1 \cdot \iota_{(X \otimes (X \otimes X)) \otimes X}^{\mathbb{1}} & & & & \mu_2 \cdot \iota_{(X \otimes X) \otimes (X \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} \\
\downarrow \alpha_{X, X \otimes X, X} & & & & \downarrow \alpha_{X \otimes X, X, X} \\
\mu_1 \mu_3 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes ((X \otimes X) \otimes X)}^{\mathbb{1}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X,X,X} \otimes \text{id}_X} & \mu_1^2 \mu_3 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes (X \otimes X))}^{\mathbb{1}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mu_2 \mu_4 \cdot \iota_{X \otimes (X \otimes (X \otimes X))}^{\mathbb{1}}
\end{array}$$

2. THE CUNTZ ALGEBRA APPROACH OF IZUMI

Take \mathbf{Vec}_G to be skeletal. Consider an associativity constraint $a_{ghk} : ghk \dashv\dashv > ghk$. Since ghk is a simple object, $\mathrm{Hom}(ghk, ghk) \cong \mathbb{k}$, whence $a_{ghk} = \lambda_{ghk} \mathrm{id}_{ghk}$ for some $\lambda_{ghk} \in \mathbb{k}^\times$. Note that the pentagon diagram enforces certain conditions on our choice of λ_{ghk} ; in particular, if we look at this diagram, we'll see that $\lambda_{ghk} = \omega(g, h, k)$ for some 3-cocycle ω . By this, we mean a map $\omega : G \times G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ satisfying

$$\omega(x, y, zw)\omega(xy, z, w)\omega(y, z, w)^{-1}\omega(x, yz, w)^{-1}\omega(x, y, z)^{-1} = 1$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in G$. We will henceforth denote by \mathbf{Vec}_G^ω the category of G -graded vector spaces with associativity constraint $a_{ghk} = \omega(g, h, k) \mathrm{id}_{ghk}$, for all $g, h, k \in G$, and \mathbf{Vec}_G the category of G -graded vector spaces with trivial associativity.

Consider the category $\mathrm{End}(M)$, for M a hyperfinite type III factor. This category is strict, as $\rho \otimes \sigma := \rho \circ \sigma$ by definition. Every near-group category with group G contains some copy of \mathbf{Vec}_G^ω corresponding to the group-like part. Because every unitary near-group category is a subcategory of $\mathrm{End}(M)$ and is hence itself strict, we know that it will actually contain the “strictification” of some \mathbf{Vec}_G^ω . However, Izumi shows that if \mathcal{C} is any fusion category containing a simple object that is fixed under tensor products with invertibles (that is, there exists some simple object X such that $X \otimes g \cong X$ for all invertible g), then it contains a copy of \mathbf{Vec}_G , for G the group of isomorphism classes of invertible objects. He shows in addition that if the fusion category is also unitary, then $g \otimes X = X$ (but we may not necessarily have that $X \otimes g = X$). The upshot is that we almost know how objects are tensored, since the group-like part will have trivial associativity (that is, $g \otimes h = gh$). We just need to understand $X \otimes g$ and $X \otimes X$, as well as the morphisms.

In [Izu17], Izumi showed that every unitary near-group category \mathcal{C} with multiplicity m is equivalent to a subcategory of $\mathrm{End}(M)$, where M is the hyperfinite type III₁ factor. In particular, it is generated by a single irreducible endomorphism $\rho \in \mathrm{End}_0(M)$ satisfying the fusion rules

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho] \otimes [\rho] &= \bigoplus_{g \in G} [\alpha_g] \oplus [\rho]^{\oplus m}, \\ [\alpha_g] \otimes [\alpha_h] &= [\alpha_{gh}], \\ [\alpha_g] \otimes [\rho] &= [\rho] \otimes [\alpha_g] = [\rho], \end{aligned}$$

where the map $\alpha : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ induces an injective homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Out}(M)$.

The main result of [Izu17] is [Izu17, Theorem 4.9]. Essentially, there is a bijective correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of unitary near-group categories with finite group G and multiplicity parameter m and the set of equivalence classes of admissible tuples $(\mathcal{K}, j_1, j_2, V, U_{\mathcal{K}}, \chi, l)$ (see [Izu17, Definition 4.8]). Here \mathcal{K} is the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathrm{Hom}(\rho, \rho^2)$, j_1 and j_2 are two antilinear isometries of \mathcal{K} , V and $U_{\mathcal{K}}$ are unitary representations of G on \mathcal{K} , $\{\chi_g\}_{g \in G}$ are characters of G and l is a linear map from \mathcal{K} to the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ of bounded operators $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

By [Izu17, Theorem 9.1], the unitary near-group categories with finite Abelian group G and $m = |G|$ are completely classified tuples of the form $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, a, b, c)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bicharacter and where $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $b : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $c \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfy various conditions. When we say that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a bicharacter, we mean that

$$\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, yz \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \langle x, z \rangle$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. By non-degenerate, we mean that

$$\langle x, \cdot \rangle = \langle y, \cdot \rangle$$

if and only if $x = y$. This is equivalent to the map $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ given by $x \mapsto \langle x, \cdot \rangle$ being an isomorphism.

Definition 2.1. (Cuntz Algebra). *Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a set of isometries on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose moreover that these isometries satisfy the Cuntz relation*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n S_k S_k^* = 1.$$

The Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is the universal C^ -algebra $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$.*

Remark 2.2. Note that, as isometries, $S_i^* S_i = 1$. In particular, we must have that $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This follows from the fact that a sum of projections is itself a projection if and only if the projections in the sum are pairwise orthogonal. The Cuntz relation is essentially ensuring that the sum of the projections $S_i S_i^*$ is the trivial projection.

Example 2.3. (Fibonacci Category). Let's look at the Fibonacci category. This is the near-group with $G = \{0\}$ and $m = 1$. Our choice for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is obvious, and [Izu17, Lemma 7.1] tells us that

$$c^3 a(0) = \sqrt{n} = 1 \implies a(0) = c^{-3}.$$

Moreover, [Izu17, Theorem 9.1] tells us that b is defined by $b : 0 \mapsto -1/d$, where d corresponds to the dimension of our irreducible generator ρ . Let's determine c and d . Because b is equal to its own Fourier transform, [Izu17, Theorem 9.1] tells us that

$$b(0) = ca(0)b(0) \implies a(0) = c^{-1}.$$

In order for $c^{-1} = c^{-3}$, we require $c = \pm 1$. Finally, [Izu17, Equation 9.5] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} b(0)b(0)b(0) &= b(0)b(0) \mp \frac{1}{d}, \\ \implies -\frac{1}{d^3} &= \frac{1}{d^2} \mp \frac{1}{d}, \\ \implies \pm d^2 - d - 1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This only has a real solution when $c = 1$, whence d is nothing but the golden ratio (as it cannot be negative in the unitary case - this alternative solution, known as the Galois dual, corresponds to a non-unitary near-group in this case and many others). This is exactly what we would expect, as d is the dimension of X (where $d^2 = 1 + d$ comes from the fusion rule $X^2 = \mathbb{1} \oplus X$).

Example 2.4. ($G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). Let's look at the case where $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $m = 2$. This near-group corresponds to the even part of the type A_4 subfactor. We know the dimension is

$$d_{\pm} := \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + 4n}}{2} = 1 \pm \sqrt{3}.$$

In the unitary setting, we of course ask that d be positive, and hence we choose $d = d_+$. The only possibility for a non-degenerate bicharacter is

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 1, \quad \langle 0, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, 0 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1, 1 \rangle = -1.$$

From [Izu17, Equation 7.8], it follows that

$$a(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a(1) = \pm i.$$

Meanwhile, [Izu17, Equation 9.4] tells us that

$$\overline{b(1)} = \pm i b(1) \implies \Re(b(1)) = \mp \Im(b(1)),$$

whence [Izu17, Equation 9.3] gives us

$$\Re(b(1))^2 + \Im(b(1))^2 = (b(1)\overline{b(1)})^2 = \frac{1}{2} \implies b(1) = \frac{1 - a(1)}{2}.$$

It then follows from evaluating [Izu17, Equation 9.1] with $g = 0$ and rearranging for c that

$$c = \frac{1 - \sqrt{3} + a(1)(1 + \sqrt{3})}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Note that we may choose either $a(1) = i$ or $a(1) = -i$; both of these lead to solutions. Moreover, in the non-unitary setting, we may take the Galois conjugate of d .

Example 2.5. ($G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). Let's determine the Haagerup–Izumi categories with $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$d_{\pm} := \frac{n \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 4}}{2},$$

where in this example $d := 1 + \sqrt{2}$. Izumi's classification involves a triplet $(\epsilon_h(g), \omega(g), A_{h,k}(g))$, where $\epsilon_h(g) \in \{-1, 1\}$, $\omega(g) \in \mathbb{T}$ and $A_{h,k}(g) \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy [Izu18, Equations 4.1–4.9]. Well, we know

$$\epsilon_0(0) = \epsilon_1(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_0(1) = \epsilon_0(1)\epsilon_0(1) \implies \epsilon_0(1) = 1.$$

By [Izu18, Equation 4.7],

$$A_{0,0}(g) = A_{0,0}(g)\omega(g),$$

which tells us that either $\omega(g) = 1$ or $A_{0,0}(g) = 0$ for each $g \in G$. Let's fix any $g \in G$ and consider the case when $A_{0,0}(g) = 0$. In this case, however, [Izu18, Equations 4.3 and 4.4] give us

$$A_{1,0}(g)\overline{A_{\delta_{g,0}-g,0}(g)} = 1 - \frac{|\omega(g)|}{d} \implies \frac{1}{d^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{d}.$$

This “equality” is nonsense; we must therefore have $\omega(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. Suppose now that $\epsilon_1(1) = 1$. Then [Izu18, Equation 4.7] gives us

$$A_{0,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,0}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{0,1}(1) = A_{1,1}(1) = A_{1,0}(1),$$

while [Izu18, Equation 4.8] gives us $A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(1)$. Now, [Izu18, Equations 4.4 and 4.6] tell us

$$A_{0,1}(0)A_{1,1}(1) + A_{1,1}(0)A_{1,0}(1) = 0.$$

Thus $A_{0,1}(g) = A_{1,1}(g) = A_{1,0}(g) = 0$ and hence $A_{0,0}(g) = -1/d$ by [Izu18, Equation 4.3]. However, in this case we cannot satisfy [Izu18, Equation 4.9]. Suppose instead that $\epsilon_1(1) = -1$. With this new 2-cocycle, [Izu18, Equation 4.7] now gives us

$$A_{0,1}(0) = A_{1,1}(0) = A_{1,0}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{0,1}(1) = -A_{1,1}(1) = A_{1,0}(1),$$

while [Izu18, Equation 4.8] gives us $A_{1,1}(1) = -A_{1,1}(0)$. We then see by [Izu18, Equation 4.4] that

$$A_{0,1}(0)A_{1,0}(0) + A_{1,1}(0)A_{1,1}(0) = 1 \implies A_{1,0}(0) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \pm \frac{1}{d-1},$$

and by [Izu18, Equation 4.9] that

$$A_{0,0}(0)A_{1,0}(0)^2 = A_{1,0}(0)^2 + A_{1,0}(0)^3 \implies A_{0,0}(0) = 1 + A_{1,0}(0) = \frac{d-1 \pm 1}{d-1}.$$

Finally, [Izu18, Equation 4.3] allows us to deduce

$$A_{1,0}(0) = -\frac{1}{d-1},$$

whence

$$A(0) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d-2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A(1) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d-2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This category is nothing but the even part of the type A_7 subfactor.

Remark 2.6. Suppose that $|G|$ is odd. Then [Izu18, Equation 4.1] tells us that $\epsilon_h(g) = 1$, while [Izu18, Equation 4.2] tells us that $\omega(g)$ does not depend on g . Moreover, $A_{h,k}(g)$ cannot depend on g by [Izu18, Equation 4.5], and either $\omega = 1$ or $A_{0,0} = 0$ by [Izu18, Equation 4.7]. In this case, [Izu18, Equations 4.1–4.9] reduce to the following four equations.

$$A_{h,k} = A_{-k,h-k}\omega = A_{k-h,-h}\bar{\omega},$$

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{h,0} = -\frac{\bar{\omega}}{d_{\pm}},$$

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{h-g,k} A_{k,h-g'} = \delta_{g,g'} - \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{d_{\pm}},$$

$$\sum_{l \in G} A_{x+y,l} A_{-x,l+p} A_{-y,l+q} = A_{p+x,q+x+y} A_{q+y,p+x+y} - \frac{\delta_{x,0}\delta_{y,0}}{d_{\pm}}.$$

The first three equations above are precisely [EG17, Equations 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9]! In particular, to see that our third equation is equivalent to [EG17, Equation 4.9], we simply make the change of variables $\hat{g} := g' - g$ and $\hat{h} := h - g'$, whence we obtain

$$\sum_{h \in G} A_{\hat{h}+\hat{g},k} A_{k,\hat{h}} = \delta_{\hat{g},0} - \frac{\delta_{k,0}}{d_{\pm}}.$$

Similarly, using our first equation while making the change of variables $\hat{l} := l - x - y$, $\hat{p} := p + x + y$, $\hat{q} := q + x + y$, $\hat{x} := -x$ and $\hat{y} := -y$, our fourth equation becomes

$$\bar{\omega} \sum_{\hat{l} \in G} A_{\hat{l},\hat{x}+\hat{y}} A_{\hat{x},\hat{l}+\hat{p}} A_{\hat{y},\hat{l}+\hat{q}} = A_{\hat{y}+\hat{p},\hat{q}} A_{\hat{x}+\hat{q},\hat{p}} - \frac{\delta_{\hat{x},0}\delta_{\hat{y},0}}{d_{\pm}},$$

showing that it is equivalent to [EG17, Equation 4.11].

3. THE LEAVITT ALGEBRA APPROACH OF EVANS–GANNON

The important result is [EG17, Theorem 2].

Definition 3.1. (Leavitt Algebra). *Let $X := (x_{ij})$ and $Y := (y_{ij})$ be $m \times n$ and $n \times m$ matrices of symbols, respectively. The Leavitt K -algebra of type (m, n) is the free associative unital K -algebra*

$$\mathcal{L}_K(m, n) := \frac{K[x_{ij}, y_{ij}]}{\langle XY = I_m, YX = I_n \rangle}.$$

In other words, it is the universal K -algebra with generators

$$\{x_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \sqcup \{y_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

and Leavitt–Cuntz relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^m y_{ik}x_{kj} = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik}y_{kj} = \delta_{i,j},$$

for all suitable i, j .

Consider the Leavitt \mathbb{C} -algebra of type $(1, n)$, which we shall henceforth denote by $\mathcal{L}_n := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, n)$. We have that $\mathcal{O}_n = C^*(\mathcal{L}_n)$, where $x_i = S_i$ and $y_i = S_i^*$. Let's think about what this means precisely. The Leavitt–Cuntz relations for $m = 1$ become

$$y_i x_j = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k = 1.$$

We may endow \mathcal{L}_n with the structure of a $*$ -algebra by defining a conjugate homogeneous antihomomorphism that sends $x_i \mapsto y_i$ and $y_i \mapsto x_i$. We further define

$$\|a\| := \sup\{p(a) : p \text{ is a } C^*\text{-seminorm on } \mathcal{L}_n\}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{L}_n$, where a C^* -seminorm is just a seminorm for which $p(a^*a) = p(a)^2$ and $p(ab) \leq p(a)p(b)$. Note that $0 \leq \|a\| \leq 1$, as $1 = \|y_i x_i\| = \|x_i^2\|$ and hence $\|x_i\| = \|y_i\| = 1$ for all i . The condition $p(ab) \leq p(a)p(b)$ ensures that $\mathcal{I} := \{a \in \mathcal{L}_n : \|a\| = 0\}$ is an ideal in \mathcal{L}_n . Our C^* -seminorm then descends to a C^* -norm on the quotient $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{I}$. The completion of $\mathcal{L}_n/\mathcal{I}$ with respect to this C^* -norm is known as the universal C^* -algebra of \mathcal{L}_n , denoted by $C^*(\mathcal{L}_n)$. This is precisely \mathcal{O}_n by definition. We may therefore view \mathcal{L}_n as the polynomial part of \mathcal{O}_n .

Example 3.2. (Yang–Lee Category). Let $G = \{0\}$. Then [EG17, Equation 4.7] demands that

$$A_{0,0} = \omega A_{0,0} = \bar{\omega} A_{0,0} \implies \omega = 1,$$

whence [EG17, Equation 4.8] tells us that

$$A_{0,0} = -\frac{1}{d_{\pm}}.$$

The rest of [EG17, Equations 4.7–4.10] are satisfied by these choices. Hence by [EG17, Theorem 2], we have two fusion categories for $G = \{0\}$; a unitary one with $\pm = +$ (the Fibonacci category) and a non-unitary one with $\pm = -$ (the Yang–Lee category).

Example 3.3. ($G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). The equations we must satisfy for $|G|$ even are given in [Izu18] (is this true?). Adapting our argument from Example 2.5, we see that there is exactly one non-unitary Haagerup–Izumi category with $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This corresponds to $\epsilon_h(g) = (-1)^{gh}$, $\omega(g) = 1$,

$$A(0) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A(1) = \frac{1}{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} d & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REFERENCES

- [EG17] Evans, D. E. and Gannon, T., *Non-unitary fusion categories and their doubles via endomorphisms*, Adv. Math. 310 (2017), pp. 1–43.
- [Izu17] Izumi, M., *A Cuntz algebra approach to the classification of near-group categories*, Proceedings of the 2014 Maui and 2015 Qinhuangdao Conferences in Honour of Vaughan F. R. Jones' 60th Birthday, vol. 46, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ. Australian National University, Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Canberra, 2017, pp. 222–343.
- [Izu18] Izumi, M., *The classification of 3^n subfactors and related fusion categories*, Quantum Topol. 9 (2018), pp. 473–562.