

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
Computing and Mathematical Sciences

**CDS 110**

Eric Mazumdar  
Fall 2024

**Problem Set #8**

Issued: 19 Nov 2024  
Due: 26 Nov 2024

**Problem 1.** (30 points) Consider a normalized inverted pendulum with a rate sensor described by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u = Ax + Bu, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = Cx.$$

- (a) Is this system reachable? Is it observable?
- (b) Design a controller based on state feedback and an observer such that the matrices  $A - BK$  and  $A - LC$  have the characteristic polynomials  $s^2 + a_1s + a_2$  and  $s^2 + b_1s + b_2$  with all coefficients positive.
- (c) Construct a state space representation of the full controller (estimator + state feedback) that takes  $r$  and  $y$  as inputs and outputs  $u$ .
- (d) Show that the open loop controller (with inputs  $r$  and  $y$  set to zero) has an eigenvalue in the right half plane.

**Problem 2.** (40 points) The lateral dynamics of a planar vectored thrust aircraft described by

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{x} &= F_1 \cos \theta - F_2 \sin \theta - c\dot{x} \\ m\ddot{y} &= F_1 \sin \theta + F_2 \cos \theta - mg - c\dot{y} \\ J\ddot{\theta} &= rF_1 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

can be obtained by considering the motion described by the states  $z = (x, \theta, \dot{x}, \dot{\theta})$ . Assume that we can only measure the position of the aircraft  $x$ , corrupted by white noise with intensity  $R_w = 10^{-4}$ . For the following problem, please refer to the accompanying skeleton notebook.

- (a) Construct an estimator for these dynamics by setting the eigenvalues of the observer into a *Butterworth pattern*<sup>1</sup> with  $\lambda_{bw} = -3.83 \pm 9.24i, -9.24 \pm 3.83i$ . Show the response of the estimator starting from  $\hat{z}(0) = (0.2, 0, 0, 0)$ , assuming that the system remains at the origin but with noisy measurement of the  $x$  position.
- (b) Construct an optimal estimator for the system assuming that the system is subject to white noise disturbances  $v$  with intensity  $R_v = 0.1$  applied at the system input. Compute the response of the estimator as in part (a) and compare the initial condition response to that obtained in part (a).

---

<sup>1</sup>The Butterworth estimator is the "maximally flat" filter - i.e., it is designed to have the maximally sharp rolloff, so that desirable frequencies are minimally impacted by the filter.

(c) Design a state-feedback LQR controller of the form  $u = -K\hat{x} + k_f r$ . For each of the estimators above, combine them with the controller and plot the step response of the closed loop system in the presence of noisy measurements.

**Problem 3.** (30 points)

Consider measuring the velocity of an object moving in one dimension. The object is subject to random accelerations, and the goal is to measure the velocity  $x$  from noisy measurements. The system and measurement equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= w \\ y &= x + v \\ w &\sim (0, Q) \\ v &\sim (0, R)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

(a) Show that the continuous time covariance update equation

$$\dot{P} = -PC^T R^{-1} CP + AP + PA^T + Q\tag{3}$$

reduces to

$$\dot{P} = -\frac{P^2}{R} + Q\tag{4}$$

(b) Show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P = \sqrt{QR}$ . Give intuition to this result.

(c) Show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K = \sqrt{Q/R}$ , where  $K$  is the Kalman gain. How does  $K$  change as a function of the process and measurement noise? Why does this make sense?