

COLOURED MODEL STRUCTURE USING INTERVAL OBJECTS

PETER BONVENTRE, LUÍS ALEXANDRE PEREIRA

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Assumptions	1
2. Equivariant operads	2
2.1. Operads of equivariant operads	2
3. Model structures on colour-fixed equivariant operads	3
4. Model category for all colours	4
4.1. Generating (Trivial) Cofibrations and Local Fibrations	4
4.2. Trivial cofibrations are weak equivalences	6
4.3. 2-out-of-3	7
4.4. Model structure	10
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Assumptions. For our main result, we will need the following assumptions on our enriching category \mathcal{V} :

- (1) \mathcal{V} cofibrantly generated monoidal model category;
- (2) $G\mathcal{V}$ and $\text{Cat}^G(\mathcal{V})$ have cellular fixed point functors for all finite groups G ;
- (3) STUFF SO THAT COLOUR-FIXED MODEL STRUCTURES EXIST (including $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$, $\text{Op}(\mathcal{V})$ have model structures)¹
- (4) $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ has a generating set of intervals;
- (5) \mathcal{V} is right proper
- (6) The class of weak equivalences in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ (to be defined later) is closed under transfinite compositions.

Most of the results will not need all of these assumptions.

Let I and J be the sets of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations of \mathcal{V} .

Notation 1.1. We fix a (random) total ordering on the elements of G and of every Σ_n .

Stuff.

Date: February 2, 2018.

¹This will likely include at least the following: \mathcal{V} admits a symmetric monoidal fibrant replacement functor which commutes with H -fixed points: $R(X)^H = R(X^H)$.

2. EQUIVARIANT OPERADS

Stuff. Not used here.

other paper

2.1. Operads of equivariant operads. Given a G -set \mathfrak{C} , we define a colored operad $\mathbf{Op}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ whose algebras are G -operads with colors \mathfrak{C} .

The colors of $\mathbf{Op}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are pairs (C, \mathfrak{c}) with C a corolla, and $\mathfrak{c} : E(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ a set map.

Morphisms are generated by two types of maps:

- (1) $(T, \mathfrak{c}, \sigma, \{\phi_i\}, \tau) \in \mathbf{Op}_{\mathfrak{C}}((C_1, \mathfrak{c}_1), \dots, (C_n, \mathfrak{c}_n); (C_0, \mathfrak{c}_0))$, where
 - T is a tree with n vertices;
 - $\mathfrak{c} : E(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a set map with $\mathfrak{c}(L(T)) = \mathfrak{c}_0(L(C_0))$ and $\mathfrak{c}(r) = \mathfrak{c}_0(r)$;
 - $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow V(T)$ a bijection such that, if $C[\sigma(i)]$ is the corolla in T connected to the vertex $\sigma(i)$, we have isomorphisms of colored corollas $\phi_i : (C[\sigma(i)], \mathfrak{c}|_{C[\sigma(i)]}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (C_i, \mathfrak{c}_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$;
 - $\tau : L(C_0) \rightarrow L(T)$ a bijection such that $\mathfrak{c}\tau = \mathfrak{c}_0$;
- (2) $g \in \mathbf{Op}_{\mathfrak{C}}((C, \mathfrak{c}); (C, g\mathfrak{c}))$

modulo the relation $(T, \mathfrak{c}, \sigma, \{\phi_i\}, \tau) = (T, \mathfrak{c}\pi^{-1}, \pi\sigma, \{\pi\phi_i\}, \pi\tau)$ for all $\pi \in \text{Aut}(T)$.

Composition is defined as follows:

- (1) $[T, \mathfrak{c}, \sigma, \{\phi_i\}, \tau] \circ_j [S, \mathfrak{d}, s, \{\psi\}, t] = [T \circ_{\sigma(j)} S, \mathfrak{c}', \sigma', \{\phi_i\} \sqcup \{\psi_{\sigma' i}\}, \tau']$ where, if T has n vertices and S has m vertices, we have defined

$$\mathfrak{c}'(e) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{c}(e) & e \in T \\ \mathfrak{d}(e) & e \in S \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma'(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i) & i < j \\ s(i-j) + j & j \leq i < j+m \\ \sigma(i-m) + m & i \geq j+m \end{cases}$$

and τ' synthesizes τ and t (if necessary) to retain the identification information on the leaves;

- (2) $g \circ h = gh$;
- (3) composition between g and $[T]$ is free modulo

$$[T, \mathfrak{c}, \sigma, \{\phi_i\}, \tau] \circ (g, \dots, g) = g \circ [T, g\mathfrak{c}, \sigma, \{\phi_i\}, \tau].$$

3. MODEL STRUCTURES ON COLOUR-FIXED EQUIVARIANT OPERADS

OTHER PAPER

Proposition 3.1 ([Ste16, 2.6]). Assume \mathcal{V} is cofibrantly generated model category such that \mathcal{V}^G has cellular fixed points for all finite groups G , and let \mathcal{F} be any family of subgroups of G . Then \mathcal{V}^G has the \mathcal{F} -model structure, a cofibrantly generated model structure where weak equivalences and fibrations are defined by $(-)^H$ for all $H \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, the generating (trivial) cofibrations are given by $I_{\mathcal{F}} = \{G/H \cdot i \mid i \in I, H \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $J_{\mathcal{F}} = \{G/H \otimes j \mid j \in J, H \in \mathcal{F}\}$ respectively.

Now, let G be a finite group, and suppose we have an indexing family $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of families of subgroups of $\{G \times \Sigma_n\}$ (c.f. [BH15]).

Let \mathfrak{C} be a G -set, of colors, and $\text{Seq}(\mathfrak{C})$ be the set of signatures in \mathfrak{C} , defined by $\{(a_1, \dots, a_n; a) \in \mathfrak{C}^n \times \mathfrak{C} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each n , the set of signatures of length $n+1$ have an action by $G \times \Sigma_n$, where G acts on all components, and Σ_n acts on all but the last one.

Definition 3.2. Let $\text{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V})$ be the category of \mathfrak{C} -colored \mathcal{V} -operads.

For each G -set \mathfrak{C} , we have a monoidal free-forgetful adjunction as below;

$$f_{gt} : \text{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V}) \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathcal{V}^{\text{Sym}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}^{B_{\mathfrak{C} \times n+1}(G \times \Sigma_n)} \xrightarrow{\quad} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\xi \in \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}} \mathcal{V}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)} : \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{C}}$$

Given a signature ξ of length $n+1$, define \mathcal{F}_{ξ} to be the family of subgroups $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_n$ such that $\Lambda \leq \text{Stab}(\xi)$.

Corollary 3.3. For any \mathfrak{C} -signature ξ and family \mathcal{F}_n , $\mathcal{V}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ has the \mathcal{F}_{ξ} -model structure.

Definition 3.4. Suppose \mathcal{V} has and fix a G -set \mathfrak{C} . Given $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ a collection of families \mathcal{F}_n of graph subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_n$, and a \mathfrak{C} -signature $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}^{n+1}$, let \mathcal{F}_{ξ} denote those subgroups in \mathcal{F}_n which live in $\text{Stab}(\xi)$.

Then the \mathcal{F} -model structure on the category $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{F}}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V})$, denoted $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{F}}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V})$, is the model structure, if it exists, transferred from the free-forgetful adjunction above, where $\mathcal{V}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ is endowed with the \mathcal{F}_{ξ} -model structure.

Proposition 3.5. If \mathcal{V} has **GOOD PROPERTIES** (at least cellular, and maybe $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_{\xi}}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ has a symmetric monoidal fibrant replacement functor which commutes with fixed points), then this model structure exists.

Proof. Other paper. □

Remark 3.6. FROM HERE ON AFTER, WE ASSUME THAT $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\text{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V})$ have the induced model structures.

Definition 3.7. Let $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ denote the category of G -objects in $\text{Op}(\mathcal{V})$. Equivalently, this is the category of \mathcal{V} -operads with any G -set of colors, where a map $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is defined by a pair (F_0, F) with $F_0 : \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$ a map of colours and $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F^* \mathcal{P}$ a map of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ -coloured operads, where $F^* P(\xi) = P(F_0(\xi))$. Also, **Grothendieck**.

4. MODEL CATEGORY FOR ALL COLOURS

We have another free-forgetful adjunction $j^* : \text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V}) \leftrightarrow \text{Cat}^G(\mathcal{V}) : j_!$, and note that j^* commutes with taking H -fixed points for all $H \leq G$;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightleftharpoons[j^*]{j_!} & \text{Cat}^G(\mathcal{V}) \\ (-)^H \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^H \\ \text{Op}(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightleftharpoons[j^*]{j_!} & \text{Cat}(\mathcal{V}) \end{array}$$

Definition 4.1. let \mathbb{I} be the \mathcal{V} -category with objects $\{0, 1\}$ with $\mathbb{I}(0, 0) = \mathbb{I}(0, 1) = \mathbb{I}(1, 0) = \mathbb{I}(1, 1) = 1_{\mathcal{V}}$. A \mathcal{V} -interval is a cofibrant object in $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}_{\{0,1\}}$ (with the transferred model structure) weakly equivalent to \mathbb{I} . A set \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{V} -intervals is *generating* if all \mathcal{V} -intervals \mathbb{J} can be obtained as a retract of a trivial extension of an element in \mathcal{G} in $\mathcal{V}\text{Cat}_{\{0,1\}}$:

$$\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{K} \xrightleftharpoons[r]{i} \mathbb{J}$$

Definition 4.2. We recall, a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is

- (1) *path-lifting* if it has the right lifting property against all maps $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ where \mathbb{J} is the \mathcal{V} -category representing a single object (so \mathbb{J} has one object, and mapping object is the tensor unit $1_{\mathcal{V}}$ of \mathcal{V}), and \mathbb{H} is a \mathcal{V} -interval.
- (2) *essentially surjective* if for any object $d : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, there is an object $c : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ out of a \mathcal{V} -interval fitting in to the commuting diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{J} & \xrightarrow{\quad c \quad} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow i_0 & & \downarrow F \\ \mathbb{J} & \xrightarrow{\quad b \quad} & \mathcal{D} \\ \uparrow i_1 & & \\ \mathbb{J} & & \end{array}$$

Definition 4.3. We call a map $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$

- a *local fibration* (resp. *local weak equivalence*) if $F(\xi) : \mathcal{O}(\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F(\xi))$ is a fibration (resp. weak equivalence) in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})^{\times n+1}$ and all n ;
- a *local trivial fibration* if both a local fibration and a local weak equivalence;
- *essentially surjective* (resp. *path lifting*) if $j^* F^H$ is essentially surjective (resp. path lifting) in $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ for all $H \leq G$;
- a *fibration* if both path-lifting and a local fibration
- a *weak equivalence* if both essentially surjective and a local weak equivalence.

Moreover, foreshadowing, we call such a map a (*trivial*) *cofibration* if it has the left lifting property against all trivial fibrations (resp. fibrations).

4.1. Generating (Trivial) Cofibrations and Local Fibrations. We generalize and combine efforts from [CMI3, BM13, Cav14].

Fix a graph subgroup Γ of $G \times \Sigma_n$, and $X \in \mathcal{V}^\Gamma$. Define $C_\Gamma[X]$ to be the “free operad with stabilizer Γ generated by X ”. Specifically, this operad has colours $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma := G \cdot_\Gamma \underline{n+1}$. Now, letting ξ_0

denote the signature $([e, 1], [e, 2], \dots, [e, n]; [e, 0])$, we define

$$C_\Gamma[X](\xi) = \begin{cases} (g, \sigma)^* X & \xi = (g, \sigma) \cdot \xi_0 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $g \in G$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ are chosen to be the *minimal* elements in those groups with this property, and \emptyset is the initial object in \mathcal{V} .

It is straightforward that the operad $\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma[X]$ has the universal property

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})}(C_\Gamma[X], \mathcal{O}) = \prod_{\zeta \in (\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})^{\times n+1})^\Gamma} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}^\Gamma}(X, \mathcal{O}(\zeta)).$$

Define I_{loc} to be the set $\{C_\Gamma[i_\gamma]\}$ which runs over all graph subgroups Γ of $G \times \Sigma_n$ and generating cofibrations i_γ in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_n}^\Gamma$; similarly let J_{loc} denote the set $\{C_\Gamma[j_\gamma]\}$ with j_γ the generating trivial cofibrations.

The universal property makes the following immediate.

Corollary 4.4 (cf. [Cav14, §4.2], [CM13b, 1.16]). $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is a local (trivial) fibration IFF $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ has the right lifting property against J_{loc} (resp. I_{loc}).

Now, define $I_G := I_{loc} \cup \{\emptyset \rightarrow G/H \cdot \sqsupset\}_{H \leq G}$ and $J_G := J_{loc} \cup \{G/H \cdot (\sqsupset \rightarrow \mathbb{J})\}_{H \leq G, \mathbb{J} \in \mathbb{G}}$ where again \sqsupset is the initial \mathcal{V} -category (thought as an operad), and \mathbb{G} is a generating set of \mathcal{V} -intervals.

CAV_4.8

Lemma 4.5 (cf. [Cav14, 4.8], [BM13, 2.3], [CM13b, 1.18]). A map F in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ is a trivial fibration IFF F is a local trivial fibration such that F^H is surjective on H -fixed colors for all $H \leq G$ IFF F has the right lifting property against I_G .

Proof. By definition, F is a trivial fibration IFF it is a local trivial fibration such that $j^* F^H$ is path-lifting and essentially surjective for all $H \leq G$. Thus, [Cav14, 4.8] immediately implies the first step. Moreover, right lifting against I_{loc} is identical to being a local trivial fibration, while lifting against $\emptyset \rightarrow G/H \otimes \sqsupset$ precisely say that F^H is surjective on colors; combining these observations yields the result. \square

Lemma 4.6 (cf. [CM13b, 1.20], [Cav14, §4.3]). F has right lifting against J_G IFF F is a fibration.

Proof. Again, lifting against J_{loc} is identical to being a local fibration, while lifting against $G/H \cdot (\sqsupset \rightarrow \mathbb{J})$ is equivalent to F^H lifting against $\sqsupset \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$, which is true exactly when it is path lifting by [Cav14]. \square

POINT_4_LEMMA

Lemma 4.7 (cf. [CM13b, 1.19]). $J_G\text{-cof} \subseteq I_G\text{-cof}$; that is, trivial cofibrations are cofibrations.

Proof. It suffices to show that if F has (right) lifting against I_G , it has lifting against J_G . Obviously, a local trivial fibration is a local fibration. On the other hand, by locality, any cofibration in $\text{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathcal{V})$ for any G -set \mathfrak{C} is a cofibration when considered in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$. Hence, since $G/H \cdot (\sqsupset \rightarrow \sqcup \sqsupset)$ is in $I_G\text{-cof}$, the composite

$$G/H \cdot \sqsupset \twoheadrightarrow G/H \cdot (\sqsupset \sqcup \sqsupset) \twoheadrightarrow G/H \cdot \mathbb{J}$$

is in $I_G\text{-cof}$. Thus $J_G \subseteq I_G\text{-cof}$, implies the result. \square

4.2. Trivial cofibrations are weak equivalences.

Lemma 4.8. *The transfinite composition of essentially surjective maps is essentially surjective.*

Proof. Since taking fixed points commutes with filtered colimits, they commute with transfinite composition, and hence by [Cav14, 4.17], we are done. \square

J-CELL_LEMMA

Lemma 4.9. [c.f. [Cav14, 4.20]] *If weak equivalences are closed under transfinite compositions, then relative J_G -cells are weak equivalences.*

may need cofibrant unit. take another look

Proof. Since local weak equivalences are closed under transfinite composition by assumption, and essentially surjective maps are closed under transfinite composition by the above lemma, it suffices to prove that the pushout of a map $j \in J_G$ is a weak equivalence. If $j \in J_{loc}$, then since colimits in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ are computed in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})$, and since by [Cav14] pushouts of this form can be computed fiberwise (that is, after shifting the operads into a single color), we are computing the pushout of a trivial cofibration in $\mathbf{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}_\xi}(\mathcal{V})$, where \mathfrak{C}_ξ is the G -set generated by the colors in the given signature ξ . By the existence of the transferred model structure, this is again a trivial cofibration. Hence, the pushout is a local weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ which is the identity on colors, and hence a weak equivalence itself.

Now, suppose j is the map $G/H \cdot (\sqsupset \rightarrow \mathbb{J})$ for \mathbb{J} a \mathcal{V} -interval. As in [Cav14], we can split this pushout into a composition of two pushouts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H \cdot \sqsupset & \longrightarrow & X \\ G/H \cdot \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi' \\ G/H \cdot \mathbb{J}_{\{0,0\}} & \longrightarrow & X' \\ G/H \cdot \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi' \\ G/H \cdot \mathbb{J} & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{J}_{\{0,0\}}$ is the full subcategory of \mathbb{J} spanned by the object O . It suffices to show both ψ' and ϕ' are local weak equivalences which are essentially surjective on fixed points.

Now, we know from [Cav14] that ψ is injective on colors and fully-faithful (that is, induces an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Op}^{\{0\}}(\mathcal{V})$), and hence $G/H \cdot \psi$ is also injective on colors and fully-faithful as a map in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})$. Since colimits are created non-equivariantly, by [Cav14, Prop B.22] and the remark thereafter, we conclude that ψ' is fully faithful in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})$, and hence is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Op}^{\mathfrak{C}(X')}(\mathcal{V})$. But ψ' is a G -map, and hence it is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}(X')}(\mathcal{V})$ as well, and hence is a local weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$.

Moreover, we observe that $\mathfrak{C}(Y) = \mathfrak{C}(X') \sqcup (G/H \times \{1\})$. Thus, if $x \in \mathfrak{C}(Y)^K$ for $K \leq G$ is in $\mathfrak{C}(X')$, we have essential surjectivity trivially:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqsupset & \xrightarrow{x} & (X')^K \\ & \searrow i_0 & \downarrow \psi' \\ & \mathbb{J} & \longrightarrow \sqsupset \\ & \nearrow i_1 & \searrow x \\ \sqsupset & \xrightarrow{x} & (Y)^K \end{array}$$

Lastly, if we consider any orbit of the new object $1 \in \mathfrak{C}(Y)$, there is an associated object $0 \in \mathfrak{C}(X')$ such that the essentially surjectivity diagram is the same as the pushout diagram for ψ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H \cdot \sqsupset & \xrightarrow{0} & X' \\ & \searrow G/H \cdot i_0 & \downarrow \psi' \\ & G/H \cdot \mathbb{J} & \\ G/H \cdot \sqsupset & \xrightarrow{1} & Y \\ & \nearrow G/H \cdot i_1 & \uparrow j \\ & & \end{array}$$

Hence ψ' is essentially surjective and a local weak equivalence, hence a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$.

Similarly, when considering ϕ' , [Cav14, 4.20] again says that pushouts of this form are created in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})_{\mathfrak{C}(X)}$ as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_!(G/H \cdot \sqsupset) & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ p_!(G/H \cdot \phi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi' \\ p_!(G/H \cdot \mathbb{J}_{\{0,0\}}) & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

In particular, this implies ϕ' is bijective on objects, and hence essentially surjective. Moreover, as ϕ is a trivial cofibration in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})$ by [Cav14], $p_!(G/H \cdot \phi)$ is a trivial cofibration in $\mathbf{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}(X)}(\mathcal{V})$. Thus ϕ' is a trivial cofibration in $\mathbf{Op}^{G, \mathfrak{C}(X)}(\mathcal{V})$, and thus is a local weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$.

Hence both ϕ' and ψ' are weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$, so the result is proved. \square

4.3. 2-out-of-3.

Definition 4.10. If \mathcal{V} has intervals, then in any \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{C} , we say that two arrows $f, g : \sqsupset \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, y)$ are *homotopic* if there exists a factorization of the form below, with \mathbb{J} a \mathcal{V} -interval.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \sqcup 1_{\mathcal{V}} & \xrightarrow{(f,g)} & \mathcal{C}(x, y) \\ & \searrow (id_0, id_0) & \nearrow \\ & \mathbb{J}(0, 0) & \end{array}$$

We recall some equivalence relations on objects in a \mathcal{V} -category [Cav14, BM13]:

Definition 4.11. Given \mathcal{C} in $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, we say a and b are

- *equivalent* if there exists a map $\gamma : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\gamma i_0 = a$, $\gamma i_1 = b$ for some \mathcal{V} -interval \mathbb{J} ;
- *virtually equivalent* if a and b are equivalent in some fibrant replacement \mathcal{C}_f of \mathcal{C} in $\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathcal{V})$;
- *homotopy equivalent* if a and b are isomorphic in the unenriched category $\pi_0 \mathcal{C}_f$ for some \mathcal{C}_f , where $\pi_0 : \mathbf{Cat}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ doesn't change the objects, but has $\pi_0 \mathcal{C}(x, y) = \mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{V})(1_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathfrak{C}(x, y))$ (equivalently, there exist maps $\alpha : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(a, b)$ and $\beta : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(b, a)$ such that $\beta\alpha$ and $\alpha\beta$ are homotopic² to the identity arrows $1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(a, a)$ and $1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_f(b, b)$, respectively.)

Equivariantly, we have the following:

Definition 4.12. Given $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}^G(\mathcal{V})$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, we say a and b are

²Recall that the factorizations in a model category give a notion of (left) homotopy \sim , with $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{V})(X, Y) = \mathcal{V}(QX, RY) / \sim$.

- *equivalent* if $\text{Stab}_G(a) = \text{Stab}_G(b) =: H$ and are equivalent in \mathcal{C}^H ;
- *virtually equivalent* if they are equivalent in some fibrant replacement \mathcal{C}_f of \mathcal{C} in $\text{Cat}^{G, \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathcal{V})$;
- *homotopy equivalent* if $\text{Stab}_G(a) = \text{Stab}_G(b) =: H$ and they are homotopy equivalent in \mathcal{C}^H .

For an operad $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, we say a and b are *equivalent* (resp. *virtually equivalent*, *homotopy equivalent*) if they are so in $j^*\mathcal{O}$.

The following three lemmas follow directly from the proofs of their non-equivariant counterparts:

Lemma 4.13 (cf. Cav14 [Cav14, 4.10]). *Equivalence and virtual equivalence define equivalence relations on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$.* \square

Lemma 4.14 (cf. Cav14 [Cav14, 4.13], BM13 [BM13, 2.11]). *Virtually equivalent colors are homotopy equivalent.*

Lemma 4.15 (cf. Cav14 [Cav14, 4.12], BM13 [BM13, 2.10]). *If \mathcal{V} is right proper, then all virtual equivalent colors are equivalent.*

Lemma 4.16 (cf. Cav14 [Cav14, 4.11], BM13 [BM13, 2.9]). *Any local weak equivalence $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ reflects virtual weak equivalences.*

Proof. As in the non-equivariant case, F being a local weak equivalence implies we have a local trivial fibration $F' : \mathcal{O}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f$. Thus, for $\text{Stab}(a) = \text{Stab}(b) =: H$, any virtual equivalence $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f^H$ between colors $F'(a) = F(a)$ and $F'(b) = F(b)$ lifts to one $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f^H$ between a and b (in particular, the source colors a and b have stabilizer at least H , and since their images have stabilizer exactly H , so do they). \square

4.3.1. *Equivalences between levels.* We would like to generalize Cav14 [Cav14, 4.14 and 4.15], which state that $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\xi')$ are equivalent in \mathcal{V} if ξ and ξ' are related by a string of weak equivalences of colors, as this would imply that weak equivalences satisfy the 2-out-of-3 property. However, the relevant notion of weak equivalence on colours for this paper lives in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}^{\text{Stab}(\sigma)}$ as opposed to \mathcal{V} , and moreover the colors can be interchanged via the action of G . Thus, we will need to be flexible and change an entire orbit worth of colors in order to create the desired homotopy equivalence.

Proposition 4.17 (c.f. Cav14 [Cav14, 4.14]). *Given $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ with colors \mathfrak{C} , $\xi = (c_1, \dots, c_n; c)$ a signature in \mathfrak{C} , and $K = \text{Stab}(c)$. Moreover, suppose that c_1 and d_1 are homotopy equivalent. Then there exists a zig-zag of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ between $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\theta)$, where $\theta = (d_1, \dots, d_n; c)$, with the colors d_i defined as follows:*

Let $\lambda \subseteq \underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ denote the set of all i such that $c_i = k_i \cdot c_1$ for some $k_i \in K$; if $i \notin \lambda$, let k_i denote the identity element of G . Further, for all $i \in \underline{n}$, define

$$d_i = \begin{cases} k_i \cdot d_1 & i \in \lambda \\ c_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, any functor $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ induces a functorial zig-zag of weak equivalences between $\mathcal{P}(F(\xi))$ and $\mathcal{P}(F(\theta))$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume \mathcal{O} is fibrant, as the fibrant replacement weak equivalences can always be added to any zig-zag.

Denote the stabilizer of c_1 (and hence d_1) by H , and so we have maps $\alpha : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^H(c_1, d_1)$ and $\beta : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^H(d_1, c_1)$ realizing their homotopy equivalence. For each $i \in \underline{n}$, define

$$H_i = \begin{cases} k_i H k_i^{-1} & i \in \lambda \\ H & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad \alpha_i = \begin{cases} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_f^H(c_1; d_1) \xrightarrow{k_i} \mathcal{O}_f^H(c_i; d_i) & i \in \lambda \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \xrightarrow{id} \mathcal{O}_f^H(c_i; d_i) & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and β_i similarly. Note that all of these — d_i , H_i , α_i , and β_i — are independent of the choice of $k_i \in k_i H$. Further, $\text{Stab}(c_i) = \text{Stab}(d_i)$, and the pair (α_i, β_i) realizes a homotopy equivalence between c_i and d_i .

We firstly claim that $\text{Stab}_{G \times \Sigma_n}(\xi) = \text{Stab}_{G \times \Sigma_n}(\theta)$. To that end, suppose $(k, \pi) \in \text{Stab}_{G \times \Sigma_n}(\xi)$, so that $k \cdot c_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = c_i$ for all i . Thus π must act on λ and $\underline{n} \setminus \lambda$ independently, so for $i \in \lambda$ we have $k \cdot c_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = c_i$, or $kk_{\pi^{-1}(i)} \cdot c_1 = k_i \cdot c_1$, and so $k_i^{-1}kk_{\pi^{-1}(i)} =: h_i \in H$. Hence

$$k \cdot d_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = kk_{\pi^{-1}(i)} \cdot d_1 = k_i h_i \cdot d_1 = k_i \cdot d_1 = d_i,$$

as desired. On the other hand, if $i \notin \lambda$, then $k \cdot d_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = k \cdot c_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = c_i = d_i$. The reverse direction is analogous.

Now, let $\hat{\otimes}\beta_i$ and $\hat{\otimes}\alpha_i$ be the composites

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\otimes}\beta_i : \mathcal{O}(\xi) &\cong \mathcal{O}(\xi) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{V}}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \hat{\otimes}_i \beta_i} \mathcal{O}(\xi) \otimes \hat{\otimes}_i \mathcal{O}^{H_i}(d_i; c_i) \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathcal{O}(\theta) \\ \hat{\otimes}\alpha_i : \mathcal{O}(\theta) &\cong \mathcal{O}(\theta) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{V}}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \hat{\otimes}_i \alpha_i} \mathcal{O}(\theta) \otimes \hat{\otimes}_i \mathcal{O}^{H_i}(c_i; d_i) \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathcal{O}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We secondly claim that the maps $\hat{\otimes}\alpha_i$ and $\hat{\otimes}\beta_i$ descend to Λ fixed points for any subgroup $\Lambda \leq \text{Stab}(\xi) = \text{Stab}(\theta)$. Indeed, since the composition structure maps of \mathcal{O} are natural in G and Σ , it suffices to show that $\hat{\otimes}\beta_i$ is preserved by $(k, \pi) \in \text{Stab}(\xi)$. But we observe this directly:

$$(k, \pi).(\hat{\otimes}\beta_i) = \hat{\otimes}k\hat{\otimes}\beta_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = \hat{\otimes}kk_{\pi^{-1}(i)}\hat{\otimes}\beta = \hat{\otimes}k_i h_i \hat{\otimes}\beta = \hat{\otimes}k_i \hat{\otimes}\beta = \hat{\otimes}\beta_i.$$

The result for $\hat{\otimes}\alpha_i$ is analogous.

Since all α_i and β_i are homotopy equivalences, this second claim implies that the composites $\hat{\otimes}\beta_i$ and $\hat{\otimes}\alpha_i$ induce isomorphisms in the homotopy category of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$, and hence these are weak equivalences between $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\theta)$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$, as desired.

The moreover follows exactly as in [Cav14]. \square

CAV_4.14_REM

Remark 4.18. The above proof also works to show an analogous result when given colors c_j and d_j that are homotopy equivalent. Furthermore, if we are given c and d homotopy equivalent, we may just take $\theta = (c_1, \dots, c_n; d)$, and the same result holds (as $K \times \Sigma_n$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}^K(d; c)$).

CAV_4.15_PROP

Proposition 4.19 (c.f. [Cav14, 4.15]). *The class of weak equivalences in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ satisfies the 2-out-of-3 condition.*

Proof. Essential surjectivity holds in all cases since it reduces to checking multiple instances of the non-equivariant case, where it holds via [Cav14, 4.15]. Now let $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{Q}$ be a composition of maps in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$. If F and L are weak equivalences, the composite is obviously a local weak equivalence: $\mathcal{O}(\xi)^\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{P}(F(\xi))^\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{Q}(LF(\xi))^\Gamma$. If L and FL are weak equivalences, then F is by 2-out-of-3 in each $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$.

Lastly, suppose F and LF are weak equivalences. Given a signature $\theta = (d_1, \dots, d_n; d)$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P})$, let $K = \text{Stab}(d)$. Now, let $\Lambda = \lambda_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \lambda_r$ denote the partition of \underline{n} where $i < j$ are in the same

class iff there exists $k_{i,j} \in K$ such that $d_j = k_{i,j} \cdot d_i$. Define $R \subseteq \underline{n}$ to be the subset of minimal representatives in each class, and H_r the stabilizer in G of c_r .

By the essential surjectivity of F , there exist $c_r \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\text{Stab}(c_r) = \text{Stab}(d_r)$ and $F(c_r)$ is equivalent, and hence homotopy equivalent, to d_r . Similarly, there exists $c \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\text{Stab}(c) = \text{Stab}(d)$ with $F(c)$ and d homotopy equivalent.

Now, we extend the set $\{c_r\}_{r \in R}$ to a signature $(c_1, \dots, c_n; c)$ by defining $c_j = k_{r,j} \cdot c_r$ (again, these are independent of the choice of $k_{r,j} \in k_{r,j}H_r$).

Consequently, $F(c_i)$ is homotopy equivalent to d_i via $k_{r,i}\gamma_r$, where γ_r realizes the homotopy equivalence between $F(c_r)$ and d_r for $i \in \lambda_r$. We have a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(c_1, \dots, c_n; c) & \xrightarrow{(1)} & \mathcal{P}(F(c_1), \dots, F(c_n); F(c)) \xrightarrow{(2)} \mathcal{Q}(LF(c_1), \dots, LF(c_n); LF(c)) \\ & & \downarrow (3) \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow (4) \\ & & \mathcal{P}(d_1, \dots, d_n; d) \xrightarrow{(5)} \mathcal{Q}(L(d_1), \dots, L(d_n); L(d)). \end{array}$$

(1) is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$ by assumption, (2) is a weak-equivalence by 2-out-of-3 in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$, and (3) and (4) are zig-zags of weak equivalences by iterating applications of [CAV_4.14](#) or [PROP. 14_REM](#), as each application only changes the colours in a particular partition class. As these zig-zags are functorial, the above diagram commutes. Thus (5) is a weak equivalence again by 2-out-of-3 in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{\text{Stab}(\xi)}$, and hence L is a local weak equivalence, as desired. \square

4.4. Model structure.

Theorem 4.20. *Suppose \mathcal{V} is a cofibrantly generated monoidal model category such that*

- (1) *the model structure has cellular fixed-point functors,*
- (2) *STUFF NEEDED SO THAT THE COLOUR-FIXED MODEL STRUCTURE EXISTS,*
- (3) *the unit is cofibrant ³,*
- (4) *the model structure is right proper ⁴,*
- (5) *there exists a set \mathbb{G} of generating \mathcal{V} -intervals ⁵, and*
- (6) *the class of weak equivalences in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ is closed under transfinite compositions.*

where else?

Then there exists a cofibrantly generated model structure on $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ with fibrations, weak equivalences, generating cofibrations, and generating trivial cofibrations as described above.

Proof. Since $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ is complete and cocomplete, it suffices to prove (following [Hov98](#) Theorem 2.1.19) that:

- (1) *the class of weak equivalences has the 2-out-of-3 property and is closed under retracts;*
- (2) *the domains of I_G (resp. J_G) are small relative to I_G -cell (resp. J_G -cell);*
- (3) *I_G -inj = $W \cap J_G$ -inj;*
- (4) *J_G -cell $\subseteq W \cap I_G$ -cof.*

(1) follows from [CAV_4.15_PROP](#) and the fact that if L is a retract of F , L^H is a retract of F^H . (2) follows since colimits in $\text{Op}^G(\mathcal{V})$ are created in $\text{Op}(\mathcal{V})$, and it holds non-equivariantly. (3) follows from [CAV_4.8](#). (4) follows from [POINT_4_LEMMA](#) and [J-CELL_LEMMA](#). \square

³Needed for [J-CELL_LEMMA](#)
[4.9 \(I think\)](#)

⁴Needed for [RIGHTPROPER_LEM](#)

⁵Needed for [CAV_4.8](#)
[4.5](#), others.

TWOOFTHREE_EQ

MODEL_THEOREM

REFERENCES

- BH15 [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers. *Adv. Math.*, 285:658–708, 2015.
- BM13 [BM13] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk. On the homotopy theory of enriched categories. *Q. J. Math.*, 64(3):805–846, 2013.
- Cav14 [Cav14] Giovanni Caviglia. A model structure for enriched coloured operads. arXiv preprint: 1401.6983, 2014.
- CM13b [CM13] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Ieke Moerdijk. Dendroidal sets and simplicial operads. *J. Topol.*, 6(3):705–756, 2013.
- Hov98 [Hov98] M. Hovey. Monoidal model categories. arXiv preprint: 9803002, 1998.
- Ste16 [Ste16] Marc Stephan. On equivariant homotopy theory for model categories. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 18(2):183–208, 2016.