CS3230 Tutorial 1

Deng Tianle (T15)

22 August 2025

Introduction

This is Tutorial Group 15 for CS3230.

My name is Deng Tianle:

- ► Year 3 Computer Science and Mathematics (DDP)
- First-time TA:)
- ▶ My JC: Raffles Institution (Y5-6)
- ▶ I was from Shenzhen, China

Admin

Everyone needs to present 3 times to obtain the 3% tutorial participation marks. (I believe that beyond the presentation, there is no obligation to attend tutorial. But of course, you are encouraged to attend).

We have 21 people

 \Longrightarrow 63 presentations

 \implies we should have around 6 presentations per class.

Remark

In later slides I use P to denote presentations, e.g. P3 means that the third presenter of the day will present on (possibly a part) of this question.

Agenda

This tutorial is about asymptotic notations: $O, \Omega, \Theta, o, \omega$.

- ▶ Analogy with ≤, ≥, =, <, >: Q2
- Computation using limit: Q1 (I rearranged because we can use Q2 here)
- Practical computation, relation between common functions like log, polynomial, exp, factorial: Q3-5
- ► LeetCode question on removing duplicates (if time permits; I will run the algorithm on the board to show the idea)

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers. We consider functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. Let the class of all such functions be C.

Definition

Let f and g be functions $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. We say that $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$c_1g(n)\leqslant f(n)\leqslant c_2g(n)$$

for sufficiently large n. We say that $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ if for all positive constants c, we have

for sufficiently large n.

Remark

All the precise definitions are on the tutorial sheet. I only want to caution that \forall , \exists are considered informal shorthands in mathematics (excluding logic and set theory), it is preferred to spell them out in formal writings.

Q2: P1, 2, 3

Q2 says that $O, \Omega, \Theta, o, \omega$ behave very much like $\leq, \geq, =, <, >$ respectively. We want to make this more precise. Recall that C denotes the set of functions $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$. From the reflexivity, transitivity and symmetry parts of Q2, we get:

Theorem

For $f,g\in C$, we define $f\sim g$ iff $f(n)\in \Theta(g(n))$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation. ¹

Now we recall the following result from lecture:

$$\Theta(g) = O(g) \cap \Omega(g).$$

This means that

$$f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \iff (f(n) \in O(g(n)) \text{ and } f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))).$$

¹Note that in analysis, \sim already has a meaning that is stronger than this; we do not consider that definition.

Theorem

For $[f], [g] \in C/\sim$, we define $[f] \leq [g]$ iff $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ iff $g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$. Then \leq is a well-defined partial order on C/\sim .

Proof.

Well-definedness follows from lecture result and transitivity. Antisymmetry (if $[f] \leq [g], [g] \leq [f]$ then [f] = [g]) follows from lecture result. The rest follow from Q2.

Theorem

If $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ i.e. $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$, then [f] < [g] (meaning, $[f] \leq [g]$ but $[f] \neq [g]$).

Proof.

If $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ and $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$, then there is some c > 0 such that

$$cg(n) \leqslant f(n) < cg(n)$$

for sufficiently large n, contradiction.



Remark

It is not true that $[f] < [g] \implies f(n) \in o(g(n))$ (and similarly for ω)

However, it turns out that o itself induces naturally a strict partial order $<_o$ on C/\sim which is 'more selective' than < in the sense that $[f]<_o[g] \implies [f]<[g]$ but not vice versa.

Theorem

For $[f], [g] \in C/\sim$, we define $[f] <_o [g]$ iff $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ iff $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$. Then $<_o$ is a well-defined strict partial order on C/\sim .

Remark

They are not total orders on C/\sim .

I move the proof to the appendix. Exercise: give counterexamples for the two remarks above. (Hint: consider g that 'oscillates')

Q1: P4

The conclusions here are very important tools for computations (as we will see in Q3-5). We recall the definition of limit:

Definition

We say that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(n)=I$ if for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists N such that

$$n \geqslant N \implies |\phi(n) - I| < \epsilon.$$

We say that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(n) = \infty$ if for all M, there exists N such that

$$n \geqslant N \implies \phi(n) > M.$$

We would have someone presenting on the one for O. Then observe that by Q2, Ω follows from O, Θ follows from O and Ω , ω follows from O (make sure you understand the proof in lecture!)

Q3-5: P5, 6

We know from calculus/analysis that (c, d) are positive constants)

$$[\log n] <_o [n^d] <_o [(1+c)^n] <_o [n!].$$

Some useful facts that follow immediately from definition:

- ▶ [cf(n)] = [f(n)] for constant c > 0
- ▶ If f(n) is a (finite) sum, then if a term of the sum has some other term \geqslant it, it can be ignored.

Most if not all of Q3-5 can be done using limits, order properties and such basic facts if you do not want to directly use definition.

I am asked to discuss this 'hidden' variant of Q4: consider $2^{\log_4 n}$, is it in O(n)? $\Omega(n)$? $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$? $\omega(n)$?

Appendix

Theorem

For $[f], [g] \in C/\sim$, we define $[f] <_o [g]$ iff $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ iff $g(n) \in \omega(f(n))$. Then $<_o$ is a well-defined strict partial order on C/\sim .

Proof.

Well-definedness: if $[f_1] = [f_2]$ and $[f_2] <_o [g]$, then there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for all c > 0, we have

$$f_1(n) \leqslant c_0 f_2(n) < c_0 \frac{c}{c_0} g(n) = cg(n)$$

for sufficiently large n, showing that $[f_1] <_o [g]$. Analogously, if $[g_1] = [g_2]$ and $[f] <_o [g_2]$, then $[f] <_o [g_1]$.

Irreflexivity: we have shown that if $[f] <_o [f]$ then $[f] \neq [f]$, contradiction.

Assymmetry: trivial exercise in CLRS

Transitivity: from Q2.



- ► True: $3^{n+1} = 3 \cdot 3^n \in \Theta(3^n)$ because we are just multiplying by constant. In particular, it is in $O(3^n)$. (If you want to show by definition, take c = 3 and it goes through for all n).
- ► False. I will explain this in two ways (there were some questions relating to this part, please feel free to clarify with me further):
 - Use the theorems on analogy with orders that we have established (this allows you to see immediately whether it is true, and is good for MCQs): by limit, $[2^n] <_o [4^n]$, so $[2^n] < [4^n]$ and so it is not true that $[2^n] \geqslant [4^n]$ i.e. $4^n \in O(2^n)$.
 - Alternatively, you can use definition (this is good if you know it is false already and is asked to prove it in exam): to prove negation of $4^n \in O(2^n)$, you want to show that for all c > 0 and for all n_0 , there is some $n \ge n_0$ such that

$$2^n \cdot 2^n = 4^n > c \cdot 2^n.$$

This is indeed true because for large enough n we always have $2^n > c$.

▶ True: We have

$$\frac{1}{2}n \leqslant 2^{\log n - 1} \leqslant 2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \leqslant 2^{\log n} = n$$

Hence $2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \in O(n)$ (take c=1 if you want to show using definition) and $2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} \in \Omega(n)$ (take c=1/2)

► True: From binomial expansion of $(n+a)^i$, the dominant term is n^i (all lower n powers can be ignored), so this is in $\Theta(n^i)$.

I will only discuss the hidden question. We have $2^{\log_4 n} = \sqrt{n}$ (there are many ways to see this depending on your high school background, e.g. use $\log_{2^2} \sqrt{n}^2 = \log \sqrt{n}$, or change of base $\log_4 n = \frac{\log n}{\log 4} = \frac{\log n}{2}$)

Then for the same options shown, it is in $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ and O(n) but not in $\Omega(n)$ and hence not in $\omega(n)$ (all can be seen from $\sqrt{n} <_o n$)

As explained in class, $\log(n^2) = 2\log(n)$ so $[\log(n^2)] = [\log(n)]$. The rest follow from the facts shown in the slides before Appendix.

Final answer:

$$[f_1] = [f_5] <_o [f_4] <_o [f_3] <_o [f_2].$$

For $[n!] >_o a^n$, one way to see this is that

$$n! \geqslant n(n-1)\dots(n/2) \geqslant (n/2)^{n/2}$$

(no need to be too careful but odd/even case of n since we can multiply n! by constant anyway) and $\sqrt{n/2}$ exceeds a for large n.