

[ESP-5]

I. Poisson Distribution & Poisson Paradigm.

1. The possible distribution is $\text{Pois}(100t)$.

The reason is that the #raindrops is very large, the probability of a raindrop hits the region is small.

Let $X = (\# \text{raindrops})$. Then,

$$X \sim \text{Pois}(5)$$

Then,

$$P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^0}{0!} = e^{-\lambda} = e^{-5}.$$



2.

(a). } I checked the starting paragraph of the solutions and get the hint: de Montmort's matching problem

We label students from 1 to 100 and their first seating as 1 to 100. (i.e., label "1" student picks a seat, then the seat is also labelled as "1".)

Let A_j be the event that student j picks seat j . WTE: $1 - P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{100})$.

To obtain $P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{100})$,

$$P(A_j) = 1/100$$

$$P(A_i \cap A_j) = \frac{98!}{100!} = \frac{1}{100 \times 99}.$$

$$P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) = \frac{97!}{100!} = \frac{1}{100 \times 99 \times 98}.$$

⋮

By inclusion-exclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{100}) \\ &= \frac{1}{100} - \binom{100}{2} \frac{1}{100 \times 99} + \binom{100}{3} \frac{1}{100 \times 99 \times 98} \\ &\quad - \dots + \binom{100}{99} \frac{1}{100 \times \dots \times 2} - \binom{100}{100} \frac{1}{100!} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \Pr(\text{no one has same seat})$

$$\begin{aligned} & = 1 - P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{100}) \\ &= \binom{100}{2} \frac{1}{100 \times 99} - \binom{100}{3} \frac{1}{100 \times 99 \times 98} + \dots \\ &\quad - \binom{100}{99} \frac{1}{100 \times \dots \times 2} + \binom{100}{100} \frac{1}{100!} \end{aligned}$$

✓

{can be
further
simplified}

(b). The problem can be restated as follows:

Let A_1, \dots, A_{100} be events that student $1, \dots, 100$ has the same seat with the $\Pr(A_i) = \frac{1}{100}$, respectively. A_1, \dots, A_{100} are weakly dependent. Let X be # students having the same seat. Then,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{100} I(A_i),$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{i=1}^{100} E[I(A_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{100} P(A_i) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can approximately model
 $X \sim \text{Pois}(1)$.

Then,

$$P(X=0) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^0}{0!} = e^{-1}.$$

✓

} Comparing with (a), you will find it is e^{-1} Taylor expansion at 0. {

$$(c). \quad P(X \geq 2) = 1 - P(X=1) - P(X=0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 - e^{-1} \frac{1^1}{1!} - e^{-1} \\ &= 1 - 2e^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$
✓

3.

$$(a). \quad E[e^{-\lambda X}] - \theta$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda k} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \right) - e^{-\lambda \lambda}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{-\lambda} \lambda)^k}{k!} \right) - e^{-\lambda \lambda}$$

↓ } Taylor Expan. {

$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{e^{-\lambda} \lambda} - e^{-\lambda \lambda}$$

$$= e^{(e^{-\lambda}-1)\lambda} - e^{-\lambda \lambda} \neq 0$$
✓

⇒ Biased.

(b). Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(-2)^X] - \theta \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-2)^k \cdot e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} - e^{-\lambda \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{-2\lambda} - e^{-\lambda \lambda} = 0$$
✓

Q.E.D.

(c).

It is silly in the sense that $g(x) = (-2)^x$.
can sometimes be negative. However,
 $e^{-\lambda} > 0$.

Thus, let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g(x) \leq 0 \\ g(x), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

II. Seeking Sublime Symmetry.

1. Let $T = S\bar{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_T(t) &= P(T \leq t) \\ &= P(S\bar{Z} \leq t) \\ &= P(S\bar{Z} \leq t | S=1) P(S=1) \\ &\quad + P(S\bar{Z} \leq t | S=-1) P(S=-1) \\ &= P(\bar{Z} \leq t) P(S=1) + P(\bar{Z} \geq -t) P(S=-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \Phi(-t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi(t) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi(t) \\ &= \Phi(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$T \sim N(0, 1). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$



2.

- 1). Why $P(X < Y) = P(Y < X)$ if X and Y are i.i.d.?

The reason is that in such case, we can interchange X and Y . i.e., both $P(X < Y)$ and $P(Y < X)$ represent the Pr. that one draw is less than another independent draw, from the same distribution.



- 2). Is $P(X < Y) = \frac{1}{2}$?

In discrete case, $P(X = Y) \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow P(X < Y) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

In continuous case, $P(X = Y) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow P(X < Y) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

} same dist.



- 3). If X and Y are dependent, it may not be true that $P(X < Y) = P(Y < X)$.

For example. {sp-4, 1, 2}. X is a random day of a week. $Y = X + 1$.
(Monday=1, Tuesday=2, ...)



3. If $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, then $n - X$ is a random variable representing # failures in n independent trials with Pr. $1-p$.



4. Based on Hint, we label Seats as $1, 2, \dots, 100$, such that Seat j is assigned to j^{th} passenger.

The last passenger (100^{th}) only has two possibilities:

- 1). Seat 1
- 2). Seat 100 (assigned one)

- 1). Any of $1^{\text{st}} \text{ to } 99^{\text{th}}$ passenger taking Seat 100, the last passenger will get Seat 1.
- 2). On the other hand, Any of $1^{\text{st}} \text{ to } 99^{\text{th}}$ passenger taking Seat 1, the last passenger will get assigned seat.

At each step among $1^{\text{st}} \text{ to } 99^{\text{th}}$ choice, only taking Seat 1 or Seat 100 can decide the fate of the last passenger. If i^{th} passenger's seat is occupied, he can choose either Seat 1 or Seat 100 with equal Pr. if the fate of last passenger is settled.

I saw an interesting solution from web:
Say there are n passengers in line, and $n \geq 2$.
Let $p(n)$ be the Pr. that the last passenger gets the assigned seat (Seat n).

$$\text{If } n=2, \ p(n)=\frac{1}{2}.$$

If $n > 2$,

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{n}x_1 + \frac{1}{n}x_0 + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} p(j). \quad (1)$$

" $\frac{1}{n}x_1$ ": 1st passenger chooses Seat 1.

" $\frac{1}{n}x_0$ ": 1st passenger chooses Seat n.

" $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} p(j)$ ": 1st passenger chooses Seat j, $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$.

Then, everything starts over at jth passenger,
with $n-j+1$ passengers,

$$\Rightarrow p(n-j+1).$$

$$\Rightarrow p(n-1), p(n-2), \dots, p(2).$$

Rearrange (1), we have

$$np(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} p(j). \quad (2)$$

And $n := n+1$, we get

$$(n+1)p(n+1) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n p(j) \quad (3).$$

(3) - (2), we get

$$(n+1)p(n+1) - np(n) = p(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow p(n+1) = p(n).$$

$$\text{And, } p(3) = \frac{1}{3}x_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_0 + \frac{1}{3}p(2)$$

$$\Rightarrow p(3) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, $p(n) = p(3) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $n > 2$.

Hence, $p(n) = 1/2$ for $n \geq 2$.

III. Continuous Distributions

1. Let $y > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= P(Y \leq y) \\ &= P(e^X \leq y) \\ &= P(X \leq \ln y) \\ &= P\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y \leq 0 \\ \Phi\left(\frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right), & \text{if } y > 0. \end{cases}$$



And, PDF of Y is

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= F'_Y(y) \\ &= \varphi\left(\frac{\ln y - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{1}{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{y\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\ln y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$



where $y > 0$.

2. Given PPF of X is $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x > 0$.

The CDF of X is $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x > 0$.

Then,

$$F^{-1}(u) = -\lambda^{-1} \ln(1-u).$$

Based on Universality of Uniform,

$$x = F^{-1}(u) \text{ where } u \sim \text{Unif}(0,1).$$



3. Find $E[\Phi(Z)]$.

Based on Universality of Uniform,

$$U = \Phi(Z) \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1).$$

Then,

$$E[\Phi(Z)] = E[U]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^1 u \cdot 1 \, du \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

4. Let the length of stick be l and

Let X be the r.v. representing the length of the part on the left side of break point. We have

$$X \sim \text{Unif}(0, l).$$

$$l-X \sim \text{Unif}(0, l).$$

Let

$$Y = \max(X, l-X).$$

Then, CDF of Y is

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y)$$

$$= P(Y \leq y, X \leq \frac{l}{2}) + P(Y \leq y, X > \frac{l}{2})$$

$$= P(l-X \leq y, X \leq \frac{l}{2}) + P(X \leq y, X > \frac{l}{2})$$

$$= (\frac{y}{l} - \frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{y}{l} - \frac{1}{2})$$

$$> 2\frac{y}{l} - 1$$

{In sol., it sets $l=1$ }

$$\Rightarrow F_Y(y) = 2y - 1, \text{ where } \frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Then, PDF of Y is $f_Y(y) = 2$

$$\Rightarrow Y \sim \text{Unif}(\frac{1}{2}, 1) \quad \left\{ \text{Verify: } (1-\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[Y] = (\frac{1}{2} + 1)/2 = \frac{3}{4} \quad \checkmark$$

IV. LOTUS.

1. $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X!] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \\
 &= e^{-\lambda} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^n) \\
 &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{1 - \lambda} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $0 < \lambda < 1$.
or it diverges { ✓ }

2. $Z \sim N(0,1)$. Find $E[|Z|]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[|Z|] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz \\
 &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} z \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} z e^{-z^2/2} dz \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(-e^{-z^2/2} \right) \Big|_0^{\infty} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \times 1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} . \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Given $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$. Find $E[e^{tX}]$ where t is a constant.

$$E[e^{tX}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{tk} (1-p)^k p$$

{Assuming} $= p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [e^t(1-p)]^k$

$e^t(1-p) < 1$
 $\Rightarrow t < -\ln(1-p)$ } $= p \frac{1}{1 - (1-p)e^t}$. ✓

