# Estimating Total Respondents Using Ratio Estimator Approach

Krishna Kumar, Shamayla Durrin and Denise Chang

#### Introduction

In this document, we use survey data from the 2022 American Community Survey (ACS) obtained through IPUMS. We apply the ratio estimator approach of Laplace to estimate the total number of respondents in each state based on the number of those with doctoral degrees. We compare these estimates to the actual number of respondents and discuss any differences.

#### How to Obtain the Data

- Go to https://www.ipums.org/
- Go to IPUMS USA
- Click 'Get Data'
- Click 'Select samples' and choose only '2022 ACS'
- Go to 'Household' -> 'Geographic' and click the + on 'STATEICP'
- Go to 'Person' -> 'Demographic' and click the + on 'SEX'
- Go to 'Person' -> 'Education' and click the + on 'EDUC'
- Click view cart
- Create data extract
- Change data format to .csv
- Submit extract
- You will then get an email when the data is ready to download
- Download the data in your directory and read it using the code below.

### Overview of the Ratio Estimators Approach

The Ratio Estimator Approach calculates the ratio of two means.

The ratio estimator is a method used to estimate the total size or characteristic of a population based on a sample. By calculating the ratio between a known variable and a target variable (for example, the ratio of doctoral degree holders to the total number of respondents in a sample), this ratio is then applied to a subgroup to estimate its total size. The method assumes that the ratio is relatively stable across different subgroups. For example, if 10% of California respondents have a doctoral degree, we can apply this ratio to other states to estimate their total respondents from the number with doctoral degrees.

This approach dates back to Quetelet and Laplace, who used similar methods to estimate populations based on partial data. A well-known variant is the capture-recapture method, which is commonly used in ecology. In this technique, a sample is captured, marked, and then released back into the population. Later, a second sample is captured, and the proportion of marked individuals is used to estimate the total population size. The ratio estimator is powerful when direct measurement of the whole population is impractical, allowing for accurate inference from smaller, representative samples.

Finding Total Respondents In State Using The Ratio Estimator

We will use the ratio estimator approach by first calculating the proportion of respondents with doctoral degrees to the total respondents in California. This ratio will be used as a benchmark for all other states. By applying this ratio to the number of doctoral degree holders in each state, we can estimate the total number of respondents for each state. This approach assumes that the proportion of doctoral degree holders is similar across states, allowing us to scale up from the known subset to estimate the full population.

- First we count the total number of respondents with a doctoral degree
- Then we calculate the total number of respondents in California
- Then we do total number of respondents with doctoral/total number of respondents in california
- Then we find the estimated total using our ratio: we do total number of doctoral divided by our california ratio
- Then we compare the estimated values with the actual values

Table 1: Comparison of Estimated and Actual Respondents by State

	Actual Total	Estimated Total	Number of Doctoral	State
Difference	Respondents	Respondents	Respondents	ICP
-326.2917	37369	37042.708	600	1
-	14523	10186.745	165	2
4336.2552				
51263.0243	73077	124340.024	2014	3
987.0347	14077	15064.035	244	4
526.5990	10401	10927.599	177	5
1227.6580	6860	8087.658	131	6
-256.8472	9641	9384.153	152	11
-	93166	88779.024	1438	12
4386.9757	202224	1-10-0 0-0	2222	4.0
-	203891	174656.370	2829	13
29234.6302	12000	100015 910	1690	1.4
20500 6075	132605	100015.312	1620	14
32589.6875	190046	00050 049	1.457	21
38093.9566	128046	89952.043	1457	21
30093.9300	69843	38277.465	620	22
31565.5347	09043	30211.400	020	22
-	101512	61182.207	991	23
40329.7934	101012	01102.201	001	20
-	120666	74888.009	1213	24
45777.9913				
_	61967	31671.516	513	25
30295.4844				
-	33586	15928.365	258	31
17657.6354				
-	29940	19817.849	321	32
10122.1510				
-	58984	35314.049	572	33
23669.9514				
-	64551	38339.203	621	34
26211.7969				
-	19989	9445.891	153	35
10543.1094	0107	9704.071	CO	9.0
4400 7000	8107	3704.271	60	36
4402.7292	9296	1909 907	71	37
4912.6128	9290	4383.387	71	31
4912.0128				

D:#	Actual Total	Estimated Total	Number of Doctoral	State
Differen	Respondents	Respondents	Respondents	ICP
5759.644	88761	94520.644	1531	40
	51580	28399.410	460	41
23180.59				
	31288	15496.200	251	42
15791.80				
	217799	168606.061	2731	43
49192.93				
	109349	89581.616	1451	44
19767.38				
	45040	27782.031	450	45
17257.96				
	29796	16237.054	263	46
13558.94				
	109230	87729.481	1421	47
21500.51				
	54651	39944.387	647	48
14706.61				
	292919	198548.917	3216	49
94370.08				
	46605	27658.556	448	51
18946.44				
36832.45	62442	99274.458	1608	52
	39445	17348.335	281	53
22096.66				
	72374	51921.530	841	54
20452.47				
	18135	9816.318	159	56
8318.682				
	74153	55317.111	896	61
18835.88				
3810.720	59841	63651.720	1031	62
	19884	10804.123	175	63
9079.876				00
	11116	6976.377	113	64
4139.623				
	30749	17410.073	282	65
13338.92	33.10	110.0,0	-~-	
1365.246	20243	21608.247	350	66
1000.210	35537	26423.799	428	67
9113.201	33331	201201100	120	01

State ICP	Number of Doctoral Respondents	Estimated Total Respondents	Actual Total Respondents	Difference
68	72	4445.125	5962	
				1516.8750
71	6336	391171.000	391171	0.0000
72	647	39944.387	43708	-
				3763.6128
73	1195	73776.727	80818	-
				7041.2726
81	51	3148.630	6972	-
				3823.3698
82	214	13211.899	14995	-
				1783.1007
98	311	19200.470	6718	12482.4705

## Why are the Actual values and Estimated Values Different?

- The ratio is based on one state's data (California) so it must not uniformly apply to all states, as each state has different educational systems and each state has different demographics of people.
- The reason the estimates of total respondents differ from the actual numbers is due to our assumption that the ratio of respondents with doctoral degrees is constant across all states. In reality, this is not the case, as many states have varying levels of resources that impact higher education. Some states may lack access to higher education institutions, leading to a lower proportion of doctoral degree holders. Factors such as income disparities, state education policies, and local economic conditions can significantly influence the number of individuals pursuing advanced degrees. Consequently, states with fewer resources or less emphasis on higher education will have lower ratios of doctoral degree holders, causing our estimates to be off.