Theorem 1. for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$Decep^*(s, \mathbf{b}, n) \ge Nash_{l^*}(s), \forall \mathbf{b}$$
 (1)

Proof. We will prove by induction. By definition, when n = 0, $Decep^*(s, \mathbf{b}, 0) = Nash_{l^*}(s)$, $\forall \mathbf{b}$

Base case: when n = 1, (A is the joint action space of the attacker and defender team)

$$\begin{aligned} Nash_{l^*}(s) &= \sum_{a \in A} \pi^*(s, a, s') T(s, a, s') (R^*(s, a) + \gamma Nash_{l^*}(s')) \\ Decep^*(s, \mathbf{b}, 1) &= \max_{a_d} \sum_{a_a \in A_a} y(a_a | \mathbf{b}, s) T(s, a, s') (R^*(s, a) + \gamma Decep^*(s', \mathbf{b}', 0)) \\ &= \max_{a_d} \sum_{a_a \in A_a} y(a_a | \mathbf{b}, s) T(s, a, s') (R^*(s, a) + \gamma Nash_{l^*}(s')) \end{aligned}$$

Since we assume we know exactly what the attacker policy is, the optimal strategy for the defender team should be deterministic and any stochastic policy would not have a better payoff.

$$Decep^{*}(s, \mathbf{b}, 1) \ge \sum_{a_{d} \in A_{d}} \left[x_{l^{*}}^{*}(a_{d}|s) \sum_{a_{a} \in A_{a}} y(a_{a}|\mathbf{b}, s) T(s, a, s') (R^{*}(s, a) + \gamma Nash_{l^{*}}(s')) \right]$$

Given the definition of Nash equilibrium of a zero-sum game, when the defender team play its Nash strategy x_{l^*} , it gets minimum payoff when the attacker plays y_{l^*} . Any other attacker strategy will let the defender gain more payoff.

$$Decep^{*}(s, \mathbf{b}, 1) \ge \sum_{a_{d} \in A_{d}} \left[x_{l^{*}}^{*}(a_{d}|s) \sum_{a_{a} \in A_{a}} y_{l^{*}}^{*}(a_{a}|s) T(s, a, s') (R^{*}(s, a) + \gamma Nash_{l^{*}}(s')) \right]$$
$$= Nash_{l^{*}}(s), \forall \mathbf{b}$$

Induction step: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be given and suppose Equation (1) is true for n = k. Then for n = k + 1 we have

$$Decep^*(s, \mathbf{b}, k+1) = \max_{a_d} \sum_{a_a \in A_a} y(a_a | \mathbf{b}, s) T(s, a, s') (R^*(s, a) + \gamma Decep^*(s', \mathbf{b}', k))$$

For n = k+1, we have $Decep^*(s', \mathbf{b}', k) \ge Nash_{l^*}(s')$. Thus similar with base step, we have $Decep^*(s, \mathbf{b}, k+1) \ge Nash_{l^*}(s), \forall \mathbf{b}$

Conclusion: By the principle of induction, Equation (1) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.