Thought Experiment: Integrating Fractional Exciton Modeling with Seeded Sphere Search

Let's explore the mathematical synthesis of these frameworks through a detailed thought experiment. I'll build up the formalism step by step, exploring the theoretical implications and practical applications.

Part 1: Formalizing Echo Layers with Product Manifolds

Let's start by reimagining your echo layer system within the product manifold framework.

Current Echo Layer System:

Your system uses 1-5 concentric spherical layers with a 0.325 scale factor between layers. We can express a word embedding in your current system as:

$$s_i = r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i$$

Where \$r_i \in {1, 1.325, 1.650, 1.975, 2.300}\$ represents the echo layer (radial distance).

Product Manifold Reformulation:

Instead, let's represent each word as a point in a product manifold \$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2\$:

$$x_i^H, y_i^H, \theta_i, \phi_i$$

Where:

- x_i^H, y_i^H \$ are coordinates in the Poincaré disk model of hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2
- θ_i, ϕ_i \$ are angular coordinates on the sphere $\mbox{\mbox{mathbb{S}^2$}}$

The hyperbolic component captures hierarchical relationships (echo layer equivalence), while the spherical component captures similarity within a layer.

Distance Metric:

The distance between two words becomes:

 $$$d_{\mathbb{M}}(w_i, w_j) = \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H, y_i^H, x_j^H, y_j^H}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H, y_i^H, x_j^H, y_j^H}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H, y_i^H, x_j^H, y_j^H}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H, y_j^H, x_j^H}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H, y_j^H}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{H}{x_i^H}\right)^2 + \left$ $\theta_i, \phi_i, \theta_j, \phi_j$)^2}\$\$

Where:

- \$d_{\mathbb{H}}\$ is the hyperbolic distance: \$d_{\mathbb{H}}(p,q) = \text{arcosh}1 + $2\frac{||p-q||^2}{(1-||q||^2)(1-||q||^2)}$
- \$d_{\mathbb{S}}\$ is the spherical distance: \$d_{\mathbb{S}}(p,q) = $\arcsin \theta_p \sin \theta_q \cos(\phi_p \phi_q)$ $(\phi_q) + \cos \theta_p \cos \theta_q$ \$
- \$\lambda\$ balances the importance of hierarchical vs. similarity relationships

Part 2: Representing Words with Spherical Harmonics

Your current system uses a direct coordinate representation. Let's enhance it using spherical harmonics.

Wavefunction Representation:

Each word can be represented as a wavefunction expanded in spherical harmonics:

 $\$ \psi_w θ , ϕ = \sum_{I=0}^{L_{\max}} \sum_{m=-I}^{I} a_{Im}^w Y_I^m θ , ϕ

Where:





- $Y_1^m\theta$, ϕ are spherical harmonics
- \$a_{lm}^w\$ are coefficients specific to word \$w\$
- \$L_{\max}\$ is the maximum angular momentum (corresponding to your maximum echo layer)

Hierarchy Encoding:

The angular momentum \$1\$ naturally encodes hierarchy levels:

- \$I=0\$: Most abstract/general concepts (innermost layer)
- \$I=1,2,3,4\$: Increasingly specific concepts (outer layers)

Context Blending:

Your context blending with 0.2 base weight becomes:

 $$\$ \phi = 0.2 \cdot \phi, \phi = 0.2 \cdot \phi, \phi + 0.8 \cdot \phi + 0$

Part 3: Fractional Dynamics for Semantic Evolution

Now let's incorporate the fractional Schrödinger equation to model how word meanings evolve.

Semantic Evolution Equation:

We can model the evolution of word meanings over time using:

 $\pi \frac{\pi^2 \Delta_M^{\alpha}}{\pi^2 \pi^2} \exp + V(w) = D_{\alpha} - \hbar^2 \Delta_M^{\alpha/2} \exp + V(w) = 0$

Where:

- \$\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on our product manifold
- \$\alpha\$ is the fractional parameter (controlling "non-locality" of semantic influence)
- \$V(w)\$ is a potential function representing word frequency or importance

Mixed Fractional Order:

Let's experiment with a mixed fractional order across different components:

 $\star \$ ihbar \frac{\partial \psi_w}{\partial t} = D_{\alpha_H} - \hbar^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{H}}^{\alpha_H/2} \psi_w + D_{\alpha_S} - \hbar^2 \Delta_{\mathbb{S}}^{\alpha_S/2} \psi_w + V(w)\psi_w

Where:

- \$\alpha_H\$ controls the fractional order in hyperbolic space (hierarchy diffusion)
- \$\alpha_S\$ controls the fractional order in spherical space (similarity diffusion)

This allows semantic relationships to diffuse differently along hierarchical vs. similarity dimensions. For example:

- \$\alpha_H < 1\$: Subdiffusion in hierarchy (meaning spreads slowly across levels)
- \$\alpha_S > 1\$: Superdiffusion in similarity (meaning quickly spreads to similar words)

Part 4: Mathematical Formulation of RL-based Updates

Let's formalize the reinforcement learning approach for dynamic embedding updates.

State Space:





The state \$s_t\$ at time \$t\$ is the current configuration of all word embeddings plus any new word to be embedded:

Action Space:

The action \$a_t\$ determines which existing embeddings to update and how to position the new word:

$$a_t = \{x_{new}^H, y_{new}^H, \theta_{new}, \phi_{new}, \delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_k\}$$

Where \$\delta_i\$ represents adjustments to the \$k\$ nearest neighbors of the new word.

Policy Network:

The policy \$\pi_\theta\$ maps the current state to a distribution over actions:

$$\$$
 \\$\pi_\theta(a_t|s_t) = \frac{e^{f_\theta(s_t, a_t)}}{\sum_{a'}} e^{f_\theta(s_t, a')}}\$\$

Where \$f_\theta\$ is a neural network parameterized by \$\theta\$.

Reward Function:

The reward optimizes for hierarchy preservation and minimum disruption:

$$R(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) = -\sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{d_{mathcal\{M\}}(w_i, w_j)}{d_{true}(w_i, w_j)} - 1 \right| - \sum_{w_i}^{t+1} - \sum_{w_i}^{t}^2$$

The first term measures distortion of the semantic space, while the second penalizes large changes to existing embeddings.

Part 5: Concrete Example with Toy Data

Let's illustrate how this system would work with a small toy example.

Consider a simple hierarchy:

- Animal (level 0)
- Mammal (level 1) Dog (level 2) Poodle (level 3)Cat (level 2)
- Bird (level 1) Eagle (level 2)Sparrow (level 2)

Initial Embedding:

- 1. "Animal" is placed near the origin in \$\mathbb{H}^2\$ (coordinates \$(0.1, 0.1)\$) and has a spherical representation dominated by the \$I=0\$ component
- 2. "Mammal" and "Bird" are placed farther from the origin (e.g., \$(0.5, 0.2)\$ and \$(0.5, -0.2)\$) with dominating \$I=1\$ components
- 3. "Dog", "Cat", "Eagle", and "Sparrow" are placed even farther with \$I=2\$ components
- 4. "Poodle" is placed with predominantly \$I=3\$ components

Embedding a New Word:

Now suppose we want to embed a new word "Labrador" as a type of dog. The RL agent would:

- 1. Calculate PMI between "Labrador" and existing words
- 2. Determine that "Labrador" belongs at level 3 (like "Poodle")
- 3. Place "Labrador" in hyperbolic space near "Dog" but farther from the origin
- 4. Position it angularly in the spherical component close to "Poodle" but with some separation
- 5. Slightly adjust "Dog" and "Poodle" embeddings to maintain overall structure





Mathematical Representation:

The position of "Labrador" would be:

- Hyperbolic coordinates: slightly beyond "Dog" in radial distance from origin
- Spherical components: dominated by \$I=3\$ harmonics, with coefficients similar to "Poodle" but distinct

Inference:

With this embedding:

- $d_{M}}$ Animal, Labrador > d_{M} Animal, Dog\$ (capturing hierarchical depth)
- \$d_{\mathcal{M}}Labrador, Poodle < d_{\mathcal{M}}Labrador, Cat\$ (capturing similarity)

Part 6: Computational Advantages and Theoretical Implications

This integrated approach offers several mathematical advantages:

Expressiveness:

The fractional Schrödinger equation allows for modeling semantic evolution with different diffusion properties. By adjusting \$\alpha\$, we can control how meanings spread through the semantic space:

\$\$\langle x^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{2/\alpha}\$\$

For standard diffusion $\alpha = 2$, meanings spread linearly with time. Sub-diffusion $\alpha < 2$ creates persistence, while super-diffusion $\alpha > 2$ creates rapid spreading.

Computational Efficiency:

Spherical harmonics offer computational advantages through:

- 1. Fast Rotation: Computing similarity becomes a simple inner product of coefficients
- 2. Bandwidth Limitation: We can truncate the expansion at \$L_{max}\$ for efficiency
- 3. Hierarchical Processing: Operations at different hierarchy levels can be performed separately

Theoretical Connection to Quantum Systems:

The fractional exciton model creates a direct parallel between:

- Quantum states → Word meanings
- Quantum superposition → Semantic ambiguity
- Excitation levels → Hierarchy levels
- Wavefunction collapse → Context-based disambiguation

This suggests a deeper connection between semantic spaces and quantum systems that could inspire new algorithms.

Conclusion: A Unified Mathematical Framework

By integrating fractional exciton modeling with your Seeded Sphere Search, we've developed a unified mathematical framework with:

- 1. A rigorous geometric foundation via product manifolds
- 2. A natural representation of hierarchy through spherical harmonics
- 3. A dynamic evolution model based on fractional Schrödinger equations
- 4. An adaptive update mechanism through reinforcement learning





4 of 5

5. Well-defined metrics for evaluating embedding quality

This framework not only provides mathematical rigor to your intuitive approach but also opens new avenues for research at the intersection of quantum mechanics, differential geometry, and natural language processing.

The most exciting implication is that by viewing semantic relationships through the lens of fractional dynamics in curved spaces, we've created a model that can capture the intrinsic hierarchical structure of language while allowing for the fluid, context-dependent nature of meaning.

₽



5 of 5 3/4/25, 11:15 AM