## Bootstrap and Jackknife

## Dennis Do

11/17/2021

1)

## 3

## 4

## 5

## 6

library(boot)

```
load("water_qual.RData")
head(water_qual)

## median_cl2 population median_income prop_children LO_health
## 1     2.02     2863     69.583     0.13726860     7.959975
## 2     0.96     3717     45.167     0.06887275     2.255012
```

0.09967781 8.510571

0.16347653 6.294698

0.03098031 4.744040

0.18670577 4.451189

37.523

22.602

10.829

23.644

2.70

2.86

2.89

2.70

```
cor.test(water_qual$median_cl2,water_qual$median_income)
```

4966

7053

9038

5115

```
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: water_qual$median_cl2 and water_qual$median_income
## t = -2.9314, df = 146, p-value = 0.003919
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.38255414 -0.07736107
## sample estimates:
## cor
## -0.2357622
```

From the correlation it is negative and there is not a strong correlation between income and median chlorine levels

2)

```
data(water_qual, package ="bootstrap")
## Warning in data(water_qual, package = "bootstrap"): data set 'water_qual' not
## found
```

```
n <- nrow(water_qual)</pre>
z <- water_qual[,"median_cl2"]</pre>
y <- water_qual[,"median_income"]</pre>
theta.hat <- cor(y,z)</pre>
theta.jack <- numeric(n)</pre>
for (i in 1:n)
theta.jack[i] <- cor(y[-i], z[-i])</pre>
bias <- (n-1) * (mean(theta.jack) - theta.hat)
theta.calc <- theta.hat - bias</pre>
print(theta.calc)
## [1] -0.2363447
print(bias)
## [1] 0.0005825009
  3) With a small bias it can be concluded that we should not use our answer since there is insignificant
     amount of biasness.
  4)
duration = water_qual$median_cl2
quantile(duration, c(.90))
##
      90%
## 2.5815
  5)
n <- 2e2
prob <- .9
B <- 1e3
x_star <- matrix(sample(water_qual$median_cl2, n*8, replace = T),n,B)</pre>
theta_star <- apply(x_star, 2, quantile, probs = prob)</pre>
mean(theta_star) + sd(theta_star) * 1.96 * c(-1,1)
## [1] 2.520521 2.632729
  6)
n <- 2e2
prob <- .1
B <- 1e3
x_star <- matrix(sample(water_qual$median_cl2, n*8, replace = T),n,B)</pre>
theta_star <- apply(x_star, 2, quantile, probs = prob)</pre>
mean(theta_star) + sd(theta_star) * 1.96 * c(-1,1)
## [1] 1.143906 1.563969
  7)
```

```
fits <- lm(median_cl2 ~ population + median_income + prop_children + LO_health, data = water_qual)
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = median_cl2 ~ population + median_income + prop_children +
##
       LO_health, data = water_qual)
## Coefficients:
##
     (Intercept)
                     population
                                 median_income prop_children
                                                                    LO_health
       2.325e+00
                      2.336e-06
                                     -5.824e-03
                                                    -8.378e-01
                                                                    8.516e-03
##
  8)
confint(fits, level = .95)
                         2.5 %
                                       97.5 %
## (Intercept)
                  1.978148e+00 2.671096e+00
## population
                 -2.219046e-05 2.686167e-05
## median_income -1.139372e-02 -2.540114e-04
## prop_children -2.309725e+00 6.340881e-01
## LO_health
                 -3.909146e-02 5.612274e-02
  9)
get_regression_coefs <- function(data, ind){</pre>
  fit <- lm(median_cl2 ~ population + median_income + prop_children + LO_health, data = data[ind, ])
  coef(fit)
get_regression_coefs(water_qual, 1:10)
                    population median_income prop_children
                                                                LO_health
   3.5346120939 -0.0002160563 -0.0473334873 -2.3760093672 0.3081064103
 10)
boot_obj <- boot(data =water_qual, get_regression_coefs, R=1000)</pre>
boot.ci(boot.out = boot_obj, conf = .95, type = 'perc', index= 3)
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 1000 bootstrap replicates
## CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = boot_obj, conf = 0.95, type = "perc", index = 3)
##
## Intervals :
## Level
             Percentile
## 95%
         (-0.0110, -0.0006)
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

11) The 95% confidence interval in 10 is narrower than the interval in 8. THis isn't surprising since the function we use finds the best fit with 1000 replicates. Using replicates allows the function to be more accurate to find the confidence interval.