Test Driven Development - By Example

Uttendorfer & Wriedt

Overview

- Motivation
- Theory behind TDD
- Example Live Coding
- Example & Hands-on

"Code that's hard to test in isolation is poorly designed"

- Test Driven Evangelists

1. Easy to change

- 1. Easy to change
- 2. Easy to understand

- 1. Easy to change
- 2. Easy to understand
- 3. Enjoyable to use

The Rules

The Rules

- 1. Write new code only if an automated test has failed
- 2. Eliminate duplication
- 3. We must design organically, with running code providing feedback between decisions
- 4. We must write our OWN tests, because we can't wait 20 times per day for someone else to write a test
- 5. Our Development environment must provide rapid response to small changes
- 6. Our design must consist of many highly cohesive, loosely coupled components, just to make testing easy

The Rules

- 1. Red Write a little test that doesn't work, and perhaps doesn't even compile at first
- 2. Green Make the test work quickly, committing whatever sins necessary in the process
- Refactor Eliminate all of the duplication created in merely getting the test to work

The Simple Rules

- 1. Start simply
- 2. Write automated tests
- 3. Refactor to add design decisions one at a time

Why TDD

- 1. If the defect density can be reduced enough, the quality assurance can shift from reactive work to proactive work.
- 2. If the number of nasty surprises can be reduced enough, then project manager can estimate accuracy enough to involve real customers in daily development
- 3. If the topics of technical conversation can be made clear enough, then software engineers can work in minute-by-minute collaboration instead of daily or weekly collaboration
- 4. Again, if the defect density can be reduced enough, then we can have shippable software with new functionality every day, leading to new business relationships with customers