Univerzita Karlova v Praze
Filozofická fakulta

Ústav řeckých a latinských studií

Dějiny antického starověku

Disertační práce

Hellénizace antické Thrákie ve světle epigrafických nálezů

PhDr. Jan Souček, CSc.

2016   *Mgr. Petra Janouchová*

Prohlášení:
Prohlašuji, že jsem disertační práci napsal/a samostatně s využitím pouze uvedených a řádně citovaných pramenů a literatury a že práce nebyla využita v rámci jiného vysoko-školského studia či k získání jiného nebo stejného titulu.

V Praze, dne 27. května 2016 _____

Mgr. Petra Janouchová

1 About the project

As my digital project I will set up and test typesetting production environment for my dissertation. I will use ConT_EXt, running on Linux Ubuntu 14.04. I will also set up GitHub project to keep my work somewhere transparent, but safe.

1.1 Step 1: planning, 29 April

Here is the timeframe of my work, as discussed during FOAR705 on 29 April 2016. I have allocated 40-60 hours of my time: ideally 40 hours on set up and testing, and additional 20 hrs for potential problems that may arise.

I have set up my internal deadline as 31 May, but ideally the actual work should be done around 15 May and the extra two weeks give me some space for unexpected changes (illness, software or hardware issues, o ther project deadlines, personal life issues).

I have done my planning very granular, because that is how I work. I like small steps, careful planning and a lot of time (back up) for any unexpected events, such as me getting scarlet fever in the middle of the thing or breaking my vertebra when getting up in the morning (been there, done that).

Moreover, I have thought the steps ahead, so I had no problems articulating them quite clearly even with the timeframe allocated to individual elements. We will see how it goes. In general, everything takes me longer than I planned it, but I tried to be generous this time.

– Allocated/Spent time: 3/3 h, during the FOAR705

1.1.1 Technical planning

As part of planning, I have talked to Brian about technical part of my project. We have

discussed the technical options: ConTEXtis best running on Linux, Brian suggested 14.04. As I have very old computer, I can't do Virtual Machine or Disk Partition. I am in final stages of my PhD and I don't want to lose programs such as Adobe Acrobat, Photoshop, Bridge, Illustrator etc., so I cannot entirely kill my Windows OS. I have the following options:

- Run ConTEXton Windows, which will likely be problematic (risk: spending too much time on it with no result, and growing frustration; my decision: tried to run it, but even the installing gave me too many errors so I decided to ab andon this scenario)
- Buy a new computer ASAP and run Virtual Machine there, or switch completely to Linux (risk: money money money. For the type of laptop I want, the price start around 1600 AUD; my decision: will try to find ano ther option first, but in the worst case I will have to do this)
- Borrow my friend's old computer, try to run it Ubuntu there and see what happens (risk: spending time on it, with no or minimal result, and having to buy a new laptop after all; my decision: worth trying first)

– Allocated/Spent time: 2/2 h (1 h talking to Brian, 1h my own research)

1.1.2 Instructions for the final product

I have spent some time looking for instruction how the final product (dissertation) should look like and as a result I have found out that I am allowed to do almost anything I want. there are some basic rules issued by my faculty how the title page should look like, which font size to use etc., but that's it.

– Allocated/Spent time: 0.5/1h (took me longer to find out, there are no definite rules! I had to manually download dissertations from our department from last 10 years to discover there are no universal rules).

1.2 Software preparation, 30 April

I have decided for scenario C: borrow my friend's old computer and install Ubuntu 14.04 on it, document to whole process in detail and then when I acquire my own new computer, recreate the environment there.

1.2.1 Ubuntu 14.04 install

the laptop I am using: Lenovo X201, Intel Core I7 processor I7, currently disk partition of Windows 7 and Ubuntu 14.04. My task is to get rid of the Windows.

I have watched some tutorials on Youtube how to install fresh Ubuntu: Video 1
and how to create bootable USB stick: Video 2 & Video 3
I have followed the instructions:

1. Download Ubuntu and put it on the USB stick.
2. BIOS: To get to BIOS, keep pressing F2 and then F1. Go to Startup/Boot/move USB FDD as the first option, save.
3. then attach the USB with Ubuntu on it.
4. then hit save and reboot laptop.
5. Now it should all go smoothly.[1]
6. Sudo apt-get update
7. Sudo apt-get upgrade

---

[1] Notes: OK, I was using my friend's USB ubuntu installation, which actually had Ubuntu 15.04 on it. So for a while I was running 15.04 instead of 14.04. Reinstall to 14.04 needed, ugh. Once I have the right (14.04) booting usb ready, it all goes really fast (15 mins).

1.3 Text with figures

Now text with a few figures. the first figure goes on the right, with the paragraph flowing around it.

the Leiden Conventions are an established set of rules, symbols, and brackets used to indicate the condition of an epigraphic or papyrological text in a modern edition. In previous centuries of classical scholarship, scholars who published texts from inscriptions, papyri, or manuscripts used divergent conventions to indicate the condition of the text and editorial corrections or restorations. the Leiden meeting was designed to help to redress this confusion.

name: dummy

file: dummy

state: unknown

Obrázek 1.1 This is very nice dummy figure 1

the earliest form of the Conventions were agreed at a meeting of classical scholars at the University of Leiden in 1931, and published in an article shortly thereafter. there are minor variations in the use of the Conventions between epigraphy and papyrology (and even between Greek and Latin epigraphy). More recently, scholars have published improvements and adjustments to the system.

the Leiden Conventions are an established set of rules, symbols, and brackets used to indicate the condition of an epigraphic or papyrological text in a modern edition. In previous centuries of classical scholarship, scholars who published texts from inscriptions, papyri, or manuscripts used divergent conventions to indicate the condition of the text and editorial corrections or restorations. the Leiden meeting was designed to help to redress this confusion.

Obrázek 1.2   This is very nice dummy figure 2

the earliest form of the Conventions were agreed at a meeting of classical scholars at the University of Leiden in 1931, and published in an article shortly thereafter. there are minor variations in the use of the Conventions between epigraphy and papyrology (and even between Greek and Latin epigraphy). More recently, scholars have published improvements and adjustments to the system.

the next figure will go inline, like a displayed formula:

Obrázek 1.3   This is
very nice dummy figure 3

the Leiden Conventions are an established set of rules, symbols, and brackets used to indicate the condition of an epigraphic or papyrological text in a modern edition. In previous centuries of classical scholarship, scholars who published texts from inscripti-

ons, papyri, or manuscripts used divergent conventions to indicate the condition of the text and editorial corrections or restorations. the Leiden meeting was designed to help to redress this confusion.

the earliest form of the Conventions were agreed at a meeting of classical scholars at the University of Leiden in 1931, and published in an article shortly thereafter. there are minor variations in the use of the Conventions between epigraphy and papyrology (and even between Greek and Latin epigraphy). More recently, scholars have published improvements and adjustments to the system.

1.4 Tables

text 1	text 2	first
text 3	text 4	second

Tabulka 1.1 Table with caption

this is one of the tables	
text 1	text 2
text 3	text 4

Tabulka 1.2 Table with caption

1	2	3
a	b	c
d	e	f

Tabulka 1.3 Why, oh god, why?

1.5 Bibliography Stuff

This (Ancona et al., 1996) is a citation. Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt T$_{\text{E}}$X significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

This is a citet Ancona et al. (1998). Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt T$_{\text{E}}$X significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

This is a citep (Ancona et al., 2001a). Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt T$_{\text{E}}$X significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

This is a citet with page number Ancona et al. (2001b, p. 1). Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt TeX significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

This is a citep with page number (Ancona et al., 1999, p. 10). Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt TeX significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

I only exist as a year 2012. Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt TeX significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived

why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

And author only cites work too Becker and Kroll. Thus, I came to the conclusion that the designer of a new system must not only be the implementer and first large–scale user; the designer should also write the first user manual.

The separation of any of these four components would have hurt T$_E$X significantly. If I had not participated fully in all these activities, literally hundreds of improvements would never have been made, because I would never have thought of them or perceived why they were important.

But a system cannot be successful if it is too strongly influenced by a single person. Once the initial design is complete and fairly robust, the real test begins as people with many different viewpoints undertake their own experiments.

A Literatura

Ancona, M., Dodero, G., Gianuzzi, V., Fierro, C. and Tine, V. (1996). Supporti informativi in tempo reale per scavi archeologici. .

Ancona, M., Dodero, G., Gianuzzi, V., Fierro, C. and Tine, V. et al. (1998). Mobile computing for real time support in archaeological excavations. .

Ancona, M., Dodero, G., Gianuzzi, V., Locati, S. and Romagnoli, A. (2001a). An Integrated Environment for Scientific Data Entry and Management on mobile systems. *Proceedings of 1 st Software Engineering Workshop, JSCC, Punta Arenas, Nov*.

Ancona, M., Dodero, G., Gianuzzi, V. and Traverso, A. (2001b). Wireless Technologies for Archaeology: Two Experiences. .

Ancona, M., Dodero, G., Mongiardino, M. and Traverso, A. (1999). Taking digital notes in the field: the Archeo toolset. .

Ballsun-Stanton, B., Sobotkova, A., Ross, S. and Crook, P. (2012). Open Source Applications in Archaeology. .

Becker, C. and Kroll, H. (2008). *Das prähistorische Olynth: Ernarrung und Rohstoffutzung im Wandel*. Rahden: Verlag Marie Leidorf GmbH.

B Rejstřík