

Chapter 6

Relational Calculus

Instructor: Vũ Thị Mỹ Hằng, Ph.D. (vtmhang@fit.hcmus.edu.vn)

Lab Assistants: Tiết Gia Hồng, M.Sc. (tghong@fit.hcmus.edu.vn)

Lương Hán Cơ, M.Sc. (lhco@fit.hcmus.edu.vn)



KHOA CÔNG NGHỆ THÔNG TIN
TRƯỜNG ĐẠI HỌC KHOA HỌC TỰ NHIÊN

fit@hcmus



Content

- ☐ Introduction
- ☐ Tuple Relational Calculus (TRC)
- ☐ Domain Relational Calculus (DRC)



Introduction

- Is the formal query language
- Introduced by Codd in 1972, “Data Base Systems”, Prentice Hall, p33-98
- Properties
 - ▣ Nonprocedural language – declarative language
 - Calculus expression specifies *what is to be retrieved* rather than *how to retrieve*
 - ▣ *One declarative expression to specify a retrieval request*
 - *There is no description of how to evaluate query*
 - ▣ *A calculus expression may be written in different way*
 - *The way it is written has no bearing on how a query should be evaluated*



Introduction

- Categories
 - **Tuple relational calculus – TRC**
 - SQL
 - Domain relational calculus – DRC
 - QBE (Query By Example)
 - DataLog (Database Logic)



Content

- ☐ Introduction
- ☐ **Tuple relational calculus**
- ☐ Domain relational calculus



Tuple relational calculus – TRC

- A simple tuple calculus query is of the form

$$\{ t.A \mid P(t) \}$$

- t is a tuple variable
 - Its value is any individual tuple from a relation
 - $t.A$ is a value of a tuple t at an attribute A
- $|$ (vertical bar) is used to divide the query into two parts:
 - P is a conditional expression involving t
 - $P(t)$ has the TRUE or FALSE value depending on t
 - The result is the set of all tuples t that satisfy $P(t)$

Example 1

- Find employees whose salary is larger than 30000

$$\{ t \mid \underbrace{t \in \text{EMPLOYEE}}_{P(t)} \wedge \underbrace{t.\text{SALARY} > 30000}_{P(t)} \}$$

- $t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} : \text{TRUE}$

- If t is an instance of relation EMPLOYEE

- $t.\text{SALARY} > 30000 : \text{TRUE}$

- If the attribute SALARY of tuple t has a value being larger than 30000

- The result is all tuples t which satisfy:

- $t \in \text{EMPLOYEE}$ and $t.\text{SALARY} > 30000$



Example 2

- Retrieve the SSN and first name of employees whose salary is larger than 30000

$\{ t.\text{SSN}, t.\text{FNAME} \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge t.\text{SALARY} > 30000 \}$

- The set of SSNs and first names of employees of tuples t such that t are instances of EMPLOYEE and their values are larger than 30000 at the attribute SALARY



Example 3

- Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'

EMPLOYEE(t)

t.SSN | **t** ∈ EMPLOYEE

Can also be described as

s ∈ DEPARTMENT ∧ **s**.DNAME = 'Nghien cuu'

- Select tuples **t** that belong to relation *EMPLOYEE*
- Compare **t** to a certain tuple **s** to find employees working for the department 'Nghien cuu'
- Use the existential quantifier

$\exists t \in R (Q(t))$

Existing a tuple **t** of the relation **R** such that the expression **Q(t)** is TRUE → the result of the existential quantifier is TRUE

Example 3

- Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'

$$\{ \mathbf{t}.\text{SSN} \mid \mathbf{t} \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge \\ \exists \mathbf{s} \in \text{DEPARTMENT} (\\ \mathbf{s}.\text{DNAME} = \text{'Nghien cuu'} \wedge \\ \mathbf{s}.\text{DNUMBER} = \mathbf{t}.\text{DNO}) \}$$

Q(s)

Example 4

- Find employees (FNAME) who work on projects or who have dependents

$$\{ \mathbf{t.FNAME} \mid \mathbf{t} \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge ($$

$$\exists \mathbf{s} \in \text{WORKS_ON} (\mathbf{t.SSN} = \mathbf{s.ESSN}) \vee$$

$$\exists \mathbf{u} \in \text{DEPENDENT} (\mathbf{t.SSN} = \mathbf{u.ESSN})) \}$$



Example 6

- Find the FNAME of employees who work on projects and have no dependents

$$\{ t.FNAME \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$
$$\exists s \in \text{WORKS_ON} (t.SSN = s.ESSN) \wedge$$
$$\neg \exists u \in \text{DEPENDENT} (t.SSN = u.ESSN) \}$$



Example 7

- For each project in 'TP HCM', find the project number, the department number that controls the project and the FNAME of the manager.

$$\{ \textcolor{blue}{s}.\text{PNUMBER}, \textcolor{blue}{s}.\text{DNUM}, \textcolor{blue}{t}.\text{FNAME} \mid \textcolor{blue}{s} \in \text{PROJECT} \wedge \textcolor{blue}{t} \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge \\ \textcolor{blue}{s}.\text{PLOCATION} = \text{'TP HCM'} \wedge \\ \exists \textcolor{red}{u} \in \text{DEPARTMENT} (\textcolor{red}{u}.\text{DNUMBER} = \textcolor{blue}{s}.\text{DNUM} \wedge \\ \textcolor{red}{u}.\text{MGRSSN} = \textcolor{blue}{t}.\text{SSN}) \}$$

Example 8

- Find employees (SSN) who work on all projects
- Use the universal quantifier

$$\forall t \in R (Q(t))$$

If Q is TRUE with all tuples t of relation R, the universal quantifier is TRUE; otherwise FALSE.



Example 8a

- Find employees whose salary is highest.

$$\{ t.\text{SSN}, t.\text{LNAME}, t.\text{FNAME} \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge \\ \forall e \in \text{EMPLOYEE} (t.\text{Salary} \geq e.\text{Salary}) \}$$

Example 8

- Find employees (SSN, FNAME, LNAME) who work on all projects

$$\{ t.\text{SSN}, t.\text{LNAME}, t.\text{FNAME} \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$

$$\forall s \in \text{PROJECT} (\exists u \in \text{WORKS_ON} ($$

$$u.\text{PNO} = s.\text{PNUMBER} \wedge$$

$$u.\text{ESSN} = t.\text{SSN})) \}$$

Example 9

- Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4

$$\{ t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$

$$\forall s \in \text{PROJECT} ($$

$$s.DNUM = 4 \wedge (\exists u \in \text{WORKS_ON} ($$

$$u.PNO = s.PNUMBER \wedge$$

$$u.ESSN = t.SSN))) \}$$



Example 9

- Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4
- Use the “implies” operator

$$P \Rightarrow Q$$

If P then Q



Example 9

- Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4

$\{ t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$

$\forall s \in \text{PROJECT} ($

$s.DNUM = 4 \Rightarrow (\exists u \in \text{WORKS_ON} ($

$u.PNO = s.PNUMBER \wedge$

$u.ESSN = t.SSN))) \}$



Example 9 – Solution 2

- Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who work on all projects controlled by the department 4

$\{ t.SSN, t.LNAME, t.FNAME \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$

$\forall s \in \text{PROJECT} ($

$s.DNUM \neq 4 \vee (\exists u \in \text{WORKS_ON} ($

$u.PNO = s.PNUMBER \wedge$

$u.ESSN = t.SSN))) \}$



Example 10

- ☐ a. Find employees whose salary is larger than at least one employee of department 4.
- ☐ b. Find employees whose salary is larger than all employees of department 4.

Formal definition

- A general expression is of the form

$$\{ t_1.A_i, t_2.A_j, \dots, t_n.A_m \mid P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots, t_{n+m}) \}$$

- t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n are tuple variables
- A_i, A_j, \dots, A_m are attributes of tuples t
- P is a condition or well-formed formula
 - P is made up of predicate calculus atoms



Tuple variable

□ Free variable

$$\{ t \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge t.\text{SALARY} > 30000 \}$$

t is a free variable

□ Bound variable

$$\{ t \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge \exists s \in \text{DEPARTMENT} (s.\text{DNUMBER} = t.\text{PNO}) \}$$

Free variable

Bound variable

Atoms

- (i) $t \in R$
 - t is a tuple variable
 - R is a relation

$t \in \text{EMPLOYEE}$

- (ii) $t.A \theta s.B$
 - A is an attribute of the tuple variable t
 - B is an attribute of the tuple variable s
 - θ is comparison operators, eg. $<, >, \leq, \geq, \neq, =$

$t.\text{SSN} = s.\text{ESSN}$

- (iii) $t.A \theta c$
 - C is a constant
 - A is an attribute of the tuple variable t
 - θ is comparison operators, eg. $<, >, \leq, \geq, \neq, =$

$t.\text{SALARY} > 30000$

Atoms

- Each of atoms evaluates to either TRUE or FALSE for a specific combination of tuples
- Formula (i) $t \in R$
 - TRUE value if t is a tuple of the specified relation R
 - FALSE value if t does not belong to R

R	A	B	C
	α	10	1
	α	20	1

$t1 = \langle \alpha, 10, 1 \rangle$

$t1 \in R$ has the TRUE value

$t2 = \langle \alpha, 20, 2 \rangle$

$t2 \in R$ has the FALSE value



Atoms

- Formula (ii) $t.A \theta s.B$ and (iii) $t.A \theta c$
 - If the tuple variables are assigned to tuples such that they satisfy the condition, then the atom is TRUE

R	A	B	C
	α	10	1
	α	20	1

If t is the tuple $\langle \alpha, 10, 1 \rangle$

Then $t.B > 5$ has the TRUE value ($10 > 5$)



Rules

- (1) Every atom is formula
- (2) If P is a formula then
 - $\neg P$ is a formula
 - (P) is a formula
- (3) If P_1 and P_2 are formulas then
 - $P_1 \vee P_2$ is a formula
 - $P_1 \wedge P_2$ is a formula
 - $P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$ is a formula



Rules

- (4) If $P(t)$ is a formula then
 - $\forall t \in R (P(t))$ is a formula
 - TRUE when $P(t)$ is TRUE for all tuples in R
 - FALSE when there is one tuple that makes $P(t)$ FALSE
 - $\exists t \in R (P(t))$ is a formula
 - TRUE when there exists some tuple that makes $P(t)$ TRUE
 - FALSE when $P(t)$ is FALSE for all tuples t in R



Rules

- (5) If P is an atom then
 - Tuple variables t in P are free variables

- (6) Formulas $P = P_1 \wedge P_2$, $P = P_1 \vee P_2$, $P = P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$
 - A variable t in P is free or bound variable will depends on its role in P_1 and P_2

Transform

- ☐ (i) $P_1 \wedge P_2 = \neg (\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_2)$
- ☐ (ii) $\forall t \in R (P(t)) = \neg \exists t \in R (\neg P(t))$
- ☐ (iii) $\exists t \in R (P(t)) = \neg \forall t \in R (\neg P(t))$
- ☐ (iv) $P \Rightarrow Q = \neg P \vee Q$



Safe expression

☐ Examine

$$\{ t \mid \neg(t \in \text{EMPLOYEE}) \}$$

☐ Unsafe

- ☐ Many tuples in the universe that are not EMPLOYEE tuples
- ☐ Even though they do not exist in the database
- ☐ The result is infinitely numerous

Safe expression

- Safe expression
 - ▣ Guarantee to yield *a finite number of tuples*

- A formula P is called safe expression
 - ▣ If its resulting values are from the domain of P
 - The domain of a tuple relational calculus expression: $DOM(P)$
 - The set of all values
 - Either appear as constant values in P
 - Or exist in any tuple in the relation referenced in P



Safe expression

□ Example

$\{ t \mid t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge t.\text{SALARY} > 30000 \}$

- $\text{DOM}(t \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge t.\text{SALARY} > 30000)$
- The set of values
 - Larger than 30000 at the attribute SALARY
 - Other values at the remaining attributes that appear in EMPLOYEE
- Safe expression



Content

- ☐ Introduction
- ☐ Tuple relational calculus
- ☐ **Domain relational calculus**

Domain relational calculus

- An expression of the domain calculus is of the form

$$\{ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \}$$

- x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are domain variables
 - Accepting single values from the domain of attributes
- P is a formula of variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
 - P is formed from atoms
- The result
 - The set of values such that when assigned to variables x_i , they make P TRUE

Example 1

- Find employees whose salary is larger than 30000

$$\{ r, s \mid \exists x ($$

$$\langle p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z \rangle \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$

$$x > 30000) \}$$

Example 3

- Find employees (SSN) who work for the department 'Nghien cuu'

$$\{ s \mid \exists z ($$

$$\langle p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z \rangle \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$

$$\exists a, b (\langle a, b, c, d \rangle \in \text{DEPARTMENT} \wedge$$

$$a = \text{'Nghien cuu'} \wedge b = z)) \}$$

Example 10

- Find employees (SSN, LNAME, FNAME) who have no dependents

$$\{ p, r, s \mid \exists s ($$

$$\langle p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z \rangle \in \text{EMPLOYEE} \wedge$$

$$\neg \exists a (\langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle \in \text{DEPENDENT} \wedge a = s)) \}$$

Atoms

- ☐ (i) $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \in R$
 - ☐ x_i is a domain variable
 - ☐ R is a relation with n attributes

- ☐ (ii) $x \theta y$
 - ☐ x, y are domain variables
 - ☐ Domains of x and y are identical
 - ☐ θ is comparison operators, eg. $<, >, \leq, \geq, \neq, =$

- ☐ (iii) $x \theta c$
 - ☐ c is a constant
 - ☐ x is a domain variable
 - ☐ θ is comparison operators, eg. $<, >, \leq, \geq, \neq, =$



Discussion

- Atoms evaluate to either TRUE or FALSE for a set of values
 - ▣ Called the truth values of the atoms

- Rules and transforms are in the similar way to the tuple calculus

Safe expression

☐ Examine

$$\{ p, r, s \mid \neg (<p, q, r, s, t, u, v, x, y, z> \in \text{EMPLOYEE}) \}$$

- ☐ Values in the result do not belong to the domain of the expression
- ☐ Unsafe

Safe expression

□ Examine

$$\{ x \mid \underbrace{\exists y (<x, y> \in R)}_{\text{Formula 1}} \wedge \underbrace{\exists z (\neg <x, z> \in R \wedge P(x, z))}_{\text{Formula 2}} \}$$

Formula 1

Formula 2

- R is a relation with a finite number of values
- We also have a finite number of values that does not belong to R
- Formula 1: examine values in R only
- Formula 2: could not validate cause we do not know the finite number of values of variable z

Safe expression

□ Expression

$$\{ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \}$$

is safe if :

- Values that appear in tuples of the expression must belong to the domain of P
- \exists quantifiers: expression $\exists x (Q(x))$ is TRUE iff
 - Values of x belong to $\text{DOM}(Q)$ and make $Q(x)$ TRUE
- \forall quantifiers: expression $\forall x (Q(x))$ is TRUE iff
 - $Q(x)$ is TRUE for all values of x belonging to $\text{DOM}(Q)$

