

Machine Learning Cheat Sheet

Regression

\mathcal{D} is a set of Training examples, the n -th Training Example ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$), of this set is:

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_{n1} \\ x_{n2} \\ \dots \\ x_{nD} \end{bmatrix}$$

The goal is to predict a \hat{y} given a \mathbf{x} .

Simple linear regression: $y_n \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{n1}$

Multiple linear regression:


$$y_n \approx f(\mathbf{x}_n) := \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{n1} + \beta_2 x_{n2} + \dots + \beta_D x_{nD}$$

Linear basis function model

$y_n = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}_n) = \tilde{\phi}^T(\mathbf{x}_n^T) \beta$. The optimal β is given by $\beta = (\tilde{\Phi}^T \tilde{\Phi})^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}^T y$ where $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a matrix with N rows and the n -th row is $[1, \phi_1(x_n)^T, \dots, \phi_M(x_n)^T]$.

Ridge regression: $\beta_{ridge} = (\tilde{\Phi}^T \tilde{\Phi} + \lambda I)^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}^T y$

Cost functions



Cost function / Loss: $\mathcal{L}(\beta) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}, \beta)$

Mean square error (MSE): $\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^N [y_n - f(\mathbf{x}_i)]^2$

Mean absolute error (MAE): $\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^N |y_n - f(\mathbf{x}_i)|$

Huber loss: $\mathcal{L}_\delta(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} a^2 & \text{for } |a| \leq \delta, \\ \delta(|a| - \frac{1}{2} \delta), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Root mean square error (RMSE): $\sqrt{2 * MSE}$

Epsilon insensitive (used for SVMs):

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(y, \hat{y}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |y - \hat{y}| \leq \epsilon, \\ |y - \hat{y}| - \epsilon, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Grid Search

Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(M^D ND)$, where M is the number of grids in one dimension.

Gradient Descent

General rule: $\beta^{(k+1)} = \beta^{(k)} - \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\beta^{(k)})}{\partial \beta}$

Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(IND)$ where I is the number of iterations we take.

Big questions are how to get a good α .

The gradient for MSE comes out as:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{N} \tilde{X}^T (y - \tilde{X} \beta)$$

Least squares

Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(ND^2 + D^3)$

$$\beta = (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T y$$

Classification

Logistic Function $\sigma = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 + \exp(x)}$

Classification with linear regression: Use $y = 0$ as class \mathcal{C}_∞ and $y = 1$ as class \mathcal{C}_ϵ and then decide a newly estimated y belongs to \mathcal{C}_∞ if $y < 0.5$.

Logistic Regression

$$\tilde{X}^T [\sigma(\tilde{X} \beta) - y] = 0$$

Generalized Linear model

Error Functions

Root Mean square error (RMSE):

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N [y_n - \hat{p}_n]^2}$$

0-1 Loss: $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(y_n, \hat{y}_n)$


logLoss:

$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \log(\hat{p}_n) + (1 - y_n) \log(1 - \hat{p}_n)$$

Occam's Razor

It states that among competing hypotheses, the one with the fewest assumptions should be selected. Other, more complicated solutions may ultimately prove correct, but in the absence of certainty the fewer assumptions that are made, the better.

Bias-Variance Decomposition



	bias	variance
regularization	+	-
reduce model complexity	+	-
more data	-	

Maximum Likelihood

The Likelihood Function maps the model parameters to the probability distribution of \mathbf{y} :

$\mathcal{L}_{lik} : \text{parameter space} \rightarrow [0; 1] \quad \beta \mapsto p(\mathbf{y} | \beta)$ An underlying p is assumed before. If the observed y are IID, $p(\mathbf{y} | \beta) = \prod_n p(y_n | \beta)$.

\mathcal{L}_{lik} can be viewed as just another cost function.

Maximum likelihood then simply chooses the parameters β such that observed data is most likely. $\beta = \arg \max_{\beta} L(\beta)$

Assuming different p is basically what makes this so flexible. We can chose e.g.:

Gaussian p	$\mathcal{L}_{lik} \hat{=} \mathcal{L}_{MSE}$
Poisson p	$\mathcal{L}_{lik} \hat{=} \mathcal{L}_{MAE}$

Kernel

Basically, Kernels are a mean to measure distance, or "similarity" of two vectors. We define:

$$(\mathbf{K})_{i,j} = \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \vec{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i)^T \vec{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_j).$$

The ϕ are not that important in the end, because we only use the Kernel as is. Sometimes it's even impossible to write them down explicitly.

Linear	$\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$
Polynomial	$\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j + c)^d$
RBF	$\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\ \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\ ^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

Support Vector Machines

Search for the hyperplane separating the data such that the gap is biggest. It minimizes the following cost function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SVM}(\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^N [1 - y_n \tilde{\phi}_n \beta]_+ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j^2$$

This is convex but not differentiable.

Graphical Models

Bayes Net: Directed acyclic graph

Belief propagation: graph between the observations and the variables is a bi-partite graph.

Rendered December 16, 2014. Written by Dennis Meier. © Dennis Meier. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite 900, Mountain View,

California, 94041, USA.

