

Modeling Cables Events

Allison J.B. Chaney

August 18, 2015

1 Generative model

We start with a fitted LDA model where documents are represented in terms of topics (θ , a $D \times K$ matrix), and topics are represented as a distribution over words (β , a $K \times V$ matrix). This model fit, along with document metadata, are our observations. Alternatively, we can tack on the LDA generative process to the model below.

- for each day i with date a_i :
 - generate event occurrence/strength $\epsilon \sim \text{Poisson}(\eta_\epsilon)$, where η_ϵ is a fixed, non-negative hyperparameter for the mean event strength
 - generate the day/event's description in terms of each topic k : $\pi_{ik} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, where α_0 and β_0 are fixed hyperparameters.
- draw the entity's base topics: $\phi_{0k} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ (eventually for each entity, but for now, just limit data to only one entity)
- For each cable j on date c_j :
 - set cable topic parameter: $\phi_{jk} = \phi_{0k} + \sum_i f(a_i, c_j) \pi_{ik} \epsilon_i$, where f is defined below.
 - draw cable topic: $\theta_{jk} \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta_c \phi_{jk}, \beta_c)$

Note that

$$f(a, c) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{c-a}{d}, & \text{if } a \leq c < a + d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where d is the time distance (in days) after event a at which point the event is no longer relevant.

2 Inference

For now, we assume that we know the LDA topics β and only observe the documents in terms of their topics θ ; breaking this assumption makes inference a little more complicated as the updates for θ would have new dependencies. Pending the results, we should explore that vein.

Here, we follow the structure of the DEF paper to explain inference for this model.

- As usual, inference is the central computational problem.
- Variational inference minimizes the KL divergence from an approximating distribution q to the true posterior p .
- This is equivalent to maximizing the ELBO: $\mathcal{L}(q) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi)} [\log p(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi)]$
- we define the approximating distribution q using the mean field assumption: $q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi) = \prod_i q(\epsilon_i) \prod_k [q(\phi_{0k}) \prod_i q(\pi_{ik})]$

- $q(\pi)$ and $q(\phi)$ are both gamma-distributed with variational parameters λ^π and λ^ϕ , respectively, where we use the softmax function $\mathcal{M}(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$ to constrain the free variational parameters. $q(\epsilon)$ is Poisson-distributed with variational parameter λ^ϵ ; the free parameter is also constrained by the softmax function.
- the expectations under q (needed to maximize the ELBO) do not have a simple analytic form, so we use “black box” VI techniques
- for each variable, we can write the log probability of all terms containing that variable, giving us

$$\log p_i^\epsilon(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) = \log p(\epsilon_i | \eta_\epsilon) + \sum_{j: f(a_i, c_j) \neq 0} \sum_k \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i),$$

$$\log p_{ik}^\pi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) = \log p(\pi_{ik} | \alpha_0, \beta_0) + \sum_{j: f(a_i, c_j) \neq 0} \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i),$$

and

$$p_k^\phi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) = \log p(\phi_{0k} | \alpha, \beta) + \sum_i \sum_j \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i).$$

- Then we can write the gradients with respect to the variational parameters as:

$$\nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \log q(\epsilon_i | \lambda_i^\epsilon) (\log p_i^\epsilon(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\epsilon_i | \lambda_i^\epsilon)) \right],$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \log q(\pi_{ik} | \lambda_{ik}^\pi) (\log p_{ik}^\pi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\pi_{ik} | \lambda_{ik}^\pi)) \right],$$

and

$$\nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \log q(\phi_{0k} | \lambda_k^\phi) (\log p_k^\phi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\phi_{0k} | \lambda_k^\phi)) \right].$$

Using this framework, we construct our black box algorithm below.

For Reference The gamma distribution and derivatives:

$$\log \text{Gamma}(x | a, b) = ab \log b - \log \Gamma(ab) + (ab - 1) \log x - bx \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_a \log \text{Gamma}(x | \mathcal{M}(a), \mathcal{M}(b)) = \mathcal{M}'(a) \mathcal{M}(b) [\log \mathcal{M}(b) - \Psi(\mathcal{M}(a) \mathcal{M}(b)) + \log x] \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_b \log \text{Gamma}(x | \mathcal{M}(a), \mathcal{M}(b)) = \mathcal{M}'(b) [\mathcal{M}(a) ((\log \mathcal{M}(b) + 1) - \Psi(\mathcal{M}(a) \mathcal{M}(b)) + \log x) - x] \quad (3)$$

The Poisson distribution and derivative:

$$\log \text{Poisson}(x | \lambda) = x \log \lambda - \log(x!) - \lambda \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_\lambda \log \text{Poisson}(x | \mathcal{M}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{M}'(\lambda) \left[\frac{x}{\mathcal{M}(\lambda)} - 1 \right]. \quad (5)$$

The softmax function and derivative:

$$\mathcal{M}(x) = \log(1 + e^x)$$

$$\mathcal{M}'(x) = \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x}$$

Algorithm 1 Black Box Variational Inference for Cables Model

```

1: Input: document topics  $\theta$ 
2: Initialize  $\lambda^\pi$ ,  $\lambda^\phi$ , and  $\lambda^\epsilon$  to respective priors
3: Initialize iteration count  $t = 0$ 
4: repeat
5:    $t += 1$ 
6:   initialize  $\hat{\lambda}^\pi$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}^\phi$ , and  $\hat{\lambda}^\epsilon$  to 0 matrices
7:   for  $j = 1, \dots, B$  (batch size, or # of document samples) do
8:     Sample a document  $j$  that is sent on date  $c_j$  and has topics  $\theta_j$ 
9:     for  $s = 1, \dots, S$  do
10:      for  $k = 1, \dots, K$  do
11:        draw sample  $\phi_{0k}[s] \sim \text{Gamma}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_k^\phi))$ 
12:        set  $p_k^\phi[s] = \log p(\phi_{0k}[s] \mid \alpha, \beta)$  ▷ see Eqn. 1
13:        set  $q_k^\phi[s] = \log q(\phi_{0k}[s] \mid \lambda_k^\phi)$  ▷ Eqn. 1 with parameters  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_k^{\phi,a}), \mathcal{M}(\lambda_k^{\phi,b})$ 
14:        set  $g_k^\phi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \log q(\phi_{0k}[s] \mid \lambda_k^\phi)$  ▷ see Eqns. 2, 3
15:        for each event  $i$  on date  $a_i \in (c_j - d, c_j]$  do
16:          draw sample  $\pi_{ik}[s] \sim \text{Gamma}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_{ik}^\pi))$ 
17:          draw sample  $\epsilon_i[s] \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_i^\epsilon))$ 
18:          set  $p_{ik}^\pi[s] = \log p(\pi_{ik}[s] \mid \alpha_0, \beta_0)$  ▷ see Eqn. 1
19:          set  $q_{ik}^\pi[s] = \log q(\pi_{ik}[s] \mid \lambda_{ik}^\pi)$  ▷ Eqn. 1 with parameters  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_{ik}^{\pi,a}), \mathcal{M}(\lambda_{ik}^{\pi,b})$ 
20:          set  $g_{ik}^\pi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \log q(\pi_{ik}[s] \mid \lambda_{ik}^\pi)$  ▷ see Eqns. 2, 3
21:        for each event  $i$  on date  $a_i \in (c_j - d, c_j]$  do
22:          set  $p_i^\epsilon[s] = \log p(\epsilon_i[s] \mid \eta)$  ▷ see Eqn. 4
23:          set  $q_i^\epsilon[s] = \log q(\epsilon_i[s] \mid \lambda_i^\epsilon)$  ▷ Eqn. 4 with parameter  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_i^\epsilon)$ 
24:          set  $g_i^\pi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \log q(\epsilon_i[s] \mid \lambda_i^\epsilon)$  ▷ see Eqn. 5
25:        for  $k = 1, \dots, K$  do
26:          set  $\phi_{jk}[s] = \phi_{0k}[s] + \sum_{i: a_i \in (c_j - d, c_j]} \epsilon_i[s] \pi_{ik}[s] f(a_i, c_j)$ 
27:          set  $p_k^\theta[s] = \log p(\theta_j \mid \phi_{jk}[s], \beta_c)$  ▷ see Eqn. 1
28:          for each event  $i$  do
29:            update  $\hat{\lambda}_{ik}^\pi += \frac{1}{SB} g_{ik}^\pi[s] (p_{ik}^\pi[s] + p_k^\theta[s] - q_{ik}^\pi[s])$ 
30:            update  $\hat{\lambda}_k^\phi += \frac{1}{SB} g_{ik}^\phi[s] (p_k^\phi[s] + p_k^\theta[s] - q_k^\phi[s])$ 
31:          for each event  $i$  do
32:            update  $\hat{\lambda}_i^\epsilon += \frac{1}{SB} g_i^\epsilon[s] (p_i^\epsilon[s] + \sum_k p_k^\theta[s] - q_i^\epsilon[s])$ 
33:          set  $\rho = (t + \tau)^\kappa$ 
34:          update event content for each event  $i$  and topic  $k$ :  $\lambda_{ik}^\pi = \lambda_{ik}^\pi + \rho \hat{\lambda}_{ik}^\pi$ 
35:          update general entity topics for each topic  $k$ :  $\lambda_k^\phi = \lambda_k^\phi + \rho \hat{\lambda}_k^\phi$ 
36:          update event occurrences for each event  $i$ :  $\lambda_i^\epsilon = \lambda_i^\epsilon + \rho \hat{\lambda}_i^\epsilon$ 
37: until change in validation likelihood  $< \delta$ 

```
