

Modeling Cables Events

Allison J.B. Chaney

August 28, 2015

1 Introduction

Our objective is to detect historical events.

2 Related Work

- BBVI, DEFs; this deals with finding updates for non-conjugate models
- non uniform sampling (MMSB in PNAS); we want events to be represented appropriately. Also: how to update global stuff from non-uniform document sampling—it's a bit of challenge)
- spike and slab (e.g., Empirical study of SVI for Beta Bernoulli Process; also IBP papers) because we have variables that fit this pattern
- SSVI; this helps us with the event variables—they are bound together (is this the real purpose here?)

3 Generative model

We start with a fitted LDA model where documents are represented in terms of topics (θ , a $D \times K$ matrix), and topics are represented as a distribution over words (β , a $K \times V$ matrix). This model fit, along with document metadata, are our observations. Alternatively, we can tack on the LDA generative process to the model below.

- for each day i with date a_i :
 - generate event occurrence/strength $\epsilon \sim \text{Poisson}(\eta_\epsilon)$, where η_ϵ is a fixed, non-negative hyperparameter for the mean event strength
 - generate the day/event's description in terms of each topic k : $\pi_{ik} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$, where α_0 and β_0 are fixed hyperparameters.
- draw the entity's base topics: $\phi_{0k} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ (eventually for each entity, but for now, just limit data to only one entity)
- For each cable j on date c_j :
 - set cable topic parameter: $\phi_{jk} = \phi_{0k} + \sum_i f(a_i, c_j) \pi_{ik} \epsilon_i$, where f is defined below.
 - draw cable topic: $\theta_{jk} \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta_c \phi_{jk}, \beta_c)$

Note that

$$f(a, c) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{c-a}{d}, & \text{if } a \leq c < a + d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where d is the time distance (in days) after event a at which point the event is no longer relevant.

4 Inference

For now, we assume that we know the LDA topics β and only observe the documents in terms of their topics θ ; breaking this assumption makes inference a little more complicated as the updates for θ would have new dependencies. Pending the results, we should explore that vein.

Here, we follow the structure of the DEF paper to explain inference for this model.

- As usual, inference is the central computational problem.
- Variational inference minimizes the KL divergence from an approximating distribution q to the true posterior p .
- This is equivalent to maximizing the ELBO: $\mathcal{L}(q) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi)}[\log p(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi)]$
- we define the approximating distribution q using the mean field assumption: $q(\epsilon, \pi, \phi) = \prod_i q(\epsilon_i) \prod_k [q(\phi_{0k}) \prod_i q(\pi_{ik})]$
- $q(\pi)$ and $q(\phi)$ are both gamma-distributed with variational parameters λ^π and λ^ϕ , respectively, where we use the softmax function $\mathcal{M}(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$ to constrain the free variational parameters. $q(\epsilon)$ is Poisson-distributed with variational parameter λ^ϵ ; the free parameter is also constrained by the softmax function.
- the expectations under q (needed to maximize the ELBO) do not have a simple analytic form, so we use “black box” VI techniques
- for each variable, we can write the log probability of all terms containing that variable, giving us

$$\log p_i^\epsilon(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) \triangleq \log p(\epsilon_i | \eta_\epsilon) + \sum_{j: f(a_i, c_j) \neq 0} \sum_k \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i),$$

$$\log p_{ik}^\pi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) \triangleq \log p(\pi_{ik} | \alpha_0, \beta_0) + \mathbf{1}[\epsilon_i \neq 0] \sum_{j: f(a_i, c_j) \neq 0} \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i),$$

and

$$p_k^\phi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) \triangleq \log p(\phi_{0k} | \alpha, \beta) + \sum_j \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{0k}, c_j, a_i, d, \beta_c, \pi_{ik}, \epsilon_i).$$

- Then we can write the gradients with respect to the variational parameters as:

$$\nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \log q(\epsilon_i | \lambda_i^\epsilon) (\log p_i^\epsilon(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\epsilon_i | \lambda_i^\epsilon)) \right],$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \log q(\pi_{ik} | \lambda_{ik}^\pi) (\log p_{ik}^\pi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\pi_{ik} | \lambda_{ik}^\pi)) \right],$$

and

$$\nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \log q(\phi_{0k} | \lambda_k^\phi) (\log p_k^\phi(\theta, \epsilon, \pi, \phi) - \log q(\phi_{0k} | \lambda_k^\phi)) \right].$$

Using this framework, we construct our black box algorithm below.

For Reference The gamma distribution and derivatives:

$$\log \text{Gamma}(x | a, b) = a b \log b - \log \Gamma(ab) + (ab - 1) \log x - bx \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_a \log \text{Gamma}(x | \mathcal{M}(a), \mathcal{M}(b)) = \mathcal{M}'(a) \mathcal{M}(b) [\log \mathcal{M}(b) - \Psi(\mathcal{M}(a) \mathcal{M}(b)) + \log x] \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_b \log \text{Gamma}(x | \mathcal{M}(a), \mathcal{M}(b)) = \mathcal{M}'(b) [\mathcal{M}(a) ((\log \mathcal{M}(b) + 1) - \Psi(\mathcal{M}(a) \mathcal{M}(b)) + \log x) - x] \quad (3)$$

The Poisson distribution and derivative:

$$\log \text{Poisson}(x | \lambda) = x \log \lambda - \log(x!) - \lambda \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_\lambda \log \text{Poisson}(x | \mathcal{M}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{M}'(\lambda) \left[\frac{x}{\mathcal{M}(\lambda)} - 1 \right]. \quad (5)$$

The softmax function and derivative:

$$\mathcal{M}(x) = \log(1 + e^x)$$

$$\mathcal{M}'(x) = \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x}$$

Algorithm 1: Inference for Cables Model

Input: document topics θ

Output: estimates of latent parameters entity topics ϕ , event topics π , and event occurrences ϵ

Initialize λ^π , λ^ϕ , and λ^ϵ to respective priors

Initialize iteration count $t = 0$

while change in validation likelihood $< \delta$ **do**

 initialize $\sigma^\pi = 0$

for each sample $s = 1, \dots, S$ **do**

for each component k **do**

 draw sample entity topics $\phi_{0k}[s] \sim \text{Gamma}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_k^\phi))$

 set $p_k^\phi[s] = \log p(\phi_{0k}[s] | \alpha, \beta)$ // see Eqn. 1

 set $q_k^\phi[s] = \log q(\phi_{0k}[s] | \lambda_k^\phi)$ // Eqn. 1 with params $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_k^\phi)$

 set $g_k^\phi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_k^\phi} \log q(\phi_{0k}[s] | \lambda_k^\phi)$ // see Eqns. 2, 3

end

for each timestep i **do**

 draw sample event occurrence $\epsilon_i[s] \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_i^\epsilon))$

 set $p_i^\epsilon[s] = \log p(\epsilon_i[s] | \eta)$ // see Eqn. 4

 set $q_i^\epsilon[s] = \log q(\epsilon_i[s] | \lambda_i^\epsilon)$ // Eqn. 4 with param $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_i^\epsilon)$

 set $g_i^\pi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_i^\epsilon} \log q(\epsilon_i[s] | \lambda_i^\epsilon)$ // see Eqn. 5

if $\epsilon_i[s] \neq 0$ **then**

for each component k **do**

 draw sample event topics $\pi_{ik}[s] \sim \text{Gamma}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda_{ik}^\pi))$

 set $p_{ik}^\pi[s] = \log p(\pi_{ik}[s] | \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ // see Eqn. 1

 set $q_{ik}^\pi[s] = \log q(\pi_{ik}[s] | \lambda_{ik}^\pi)$ // Eqn. 1 with params $\mathcal{M}(\lambda_{ik}^\pi)$

 set $g_{ik}^\pi[s] = \nabla_{\lambda_{ik}^\pi} \log q(\pi_{ik}[s] | \lambda_{ik}^\pi)$ // see Eqns. 2, 3

end

end

end

end

for each document j (sent on date c_j and has topics θ_j), sample s and component k **do**

 set $\phi_{jk}[s] = \phi_j[s] + \sum_i f(a_i, c_j) \epsilon_i[s] \pi_{ik}[s]$

 set $p_{jk}^\theta[s] = \log p(\theta_{jk} | \phi_{jk}[s], \beta_c)$ // see Eqn. 1

$p_k^\phi[s] += p_{jk}^\theta[s]$

for each timestep i where $a_i \leq c_j < a_i + d$ **do**

$p_i^\epsilon[s] += \sum_k p_{jk}^\theta[s]$

if $\epsilon_i[s] \neq 0$ **then**

$p_{ik}^\pi[s] += p_{jk}^\theta[s]$

 update $\sigma_i^\pi += 1$

end

end

end

 set $\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\pi} \mathcal{L} \triangleq \frac{1}{S} \sum_s g^\pi[s](p^\pi[s] - q^\pi[s])$

 set $\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\phi} \mathcal{L} \triangleq \frac{1}{S} \sum_s g^\phi[s](p^\phi[s] - q^\phi[s])$

 set $\hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\epsilon} \mathcal{L} \triangleq \frac{1}{\sigma^\pi} \sum_s g^\epsilon[s](p^\epsilon[s] - q^\epsilon[s])$

 set $\rho = (t + \tau)^\kappa$

 set $\lambda^\pi += \rho \hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\pi} \mathcal{L}$

 set $\lambda^\epsilon += \rho \hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\epsilon} \mathcal{L}$

 set $\lambda^\phi += \rho \hat{\nabla}_{\lambda^\phi} \mathcal{L}$

end

set $\mathbb{E}[\pi] = \lambda^{\pi, a}$

set $\mathbb{E}[\phi] = \lambda^{\phi, a}$

set $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = \lambda^\epsilon$

return $\mathbb{E}[\pi]$, $\mathbb{E}[\phi]$, $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon]$
