

Book 2, because its the second

9. Dezember 2024

Precautions of the first book apply.

If you read this book without reading the first book first, you will not understand its meaning or how it came to be.

It has the title: *Meine Existenz, mein Bewusstsein*.

As of writing this, the first book isn't really finished although the content is pretty good already.

In a sense it is a kind of justice: The most creative are close to or do have mental illness. It is a kind of price, paid for. Associative horizon, divergent thinking, up to madness. But this also betrays the normies as: rigid, on a rail, narrow, unoriginal meaning mimetically copied, of and with the masses. So the options are: being a npc (normie) basically or being mentally challenged. Philosophy cannot be stuck beyond memes, inside isolated towers, spitting on the common populace, distancing themselves in their words, choice of words and hiding itself behind professorial and doctoral titles. Philosophy never was unpopular, it was just the pretension at philosophy that lost large parts of its basis. That is also not to say, that philosophy is completely arbitrary and beyond any perception of merit. Philosophy needs to touch grass. I'd even go so far as to say, that voluntary internet, as a participatory technology, is a better philosophical tool than the sum total of modern philosophy departments. The internet becomes the main tool of group consciousness and idea exchange. It is good as long as all parts of its interaction are voluntary. Any government interference would ruin it and therefore set back, restrict human progress. And because the internet also is a kind of big consciousness, it would react badly to any such attack and probably delegitimize any state actor in the public eye. The internet shows the superiority of voluntary information exchange, a kind of free market of

ideas and information never seen before in human history. The internet enables information exchange beyond time and place, pretty much everything else looks outdated, slow, limited and rigid in comparison. It is not that humans are regressed by the internet, it is much more so that humanities true colors are revealed by it. Yes it is still young, but even the internet matures. The internet is a mind beyond the single mind. And its not only good but also bad. It removes people from life, lost in virtual worlds. Living a life that never really happened.

I have these weird twitches on my right eye. My right side front-brain doesnt feel proper now. As if I had some issues with blood flow towards my eye, which kind of makes this twitching. Maybe I overexerted my eyes, by watching too much onto a screen, drinking too much caffaine or a lack of sunlight. Worst case is brain cancer, but lets not overdramatize. Definitely changing myself in some respects left me unbalanced in others. Can you even properly change yourself without doing more damage? Most things we arent aware of anyways. Im also too much in my mind.

On the internet everything is short form. There is no place, mostly, for elaborate and long texts and contexts. The internet forces people to be concise and there is a constant bombardement of different information and claims and only a few are successful. The internet fits together people with what they want to hear. It satisfies informational needs. It reveals and rejects hypocrisy. It self regulates, unifies and splits off as it sees fit. It slowly converges onto absolute truth, from a human perspective. Nobody foresees where it goes. It cant be forced, its spontaneous. The internet itself produces a kind of implicit religion. Everybody using the internet likes it, or he wouldnt use it. It accumulates and redistributes legitimacy. It is like the relationship between a single emoti-

on towards thinking. The internet is a kind of superhuman. Thinking knows more and balances, redistributes, emotions; Same as the internet. Emotions need thinking, want thinking, and therefore created it. Humans are not adapted to the internet yet, but original emotions equally must have been surprised by thinking. Humans will coevolve with the internet. Not having thinking ability is too much of a disadvantage. The internet makes the human superior, magical in a sense.

Combat narcissism and abuse:

1. Explicate your boundaries and enforce them
2. Grey rock tactic: Become uninteresting, unemotional, bland, short sentence answers. Never show happiness or sadness.
3. Get the fuck away. Leave. Cut ties.
4. Heal. Reduce internal harsh voices. Realize that the only healthy relationships are win-win and wholesome. Love yourself and learn to love yourself.

What people complain about, there most likely some sort of natural selection happens.

Whatever floats your boat, and it might float through water, oil, mud and clouds fren.

I've found that my creativity is a kind of self therapy as a trauma response. Essentially I make sense of the world through creativity, because I need it to fill this void of meaning. Through my creativity I position myself in the world, I get in touch with reality in a good, bearable, way.

Creativity is therapy, so it is soul healing, or at least attempts it. Everybody is chained. But these chains can not be allowed to be our demise

Creativity overcomes nihilism, is a response to nihilism. Creativity is a response to mental pain.

What is the greatest prejudice? A true prejudice, A memory.

There are other people like me, masochistic narcissists.

Noticing, recognizing and self identifying with them (via the shared pathology and strengths) feels good.

What is win-win? It is a kind of self identification.

Are fear and nightmares kinds of integration of evil? Yes, if they do more good than harm. Law and punishment is a kind of integration of evil. loose-greater loose; turning evil against itself. Self defense.

I wont lie, I just had a nightmare. I fear my own death. I fear being deceived and killed. Against absolute evil, I fail. I am finite, a temporal suspension. My philosophy is not enough to overcome it.

Raking responsibility means also taking reponsibility for all kinds of evil that might occur. How can you bear the evil, all that evil, by yourself!? Evil isnt something that can be overcome by one human alone. And it is right to feel affective empathy at anothers misfortune. We should all strife for good, in ourselves and also seek and uphold it in others, let ourselves be held to good.

Im glad when my mother wakes up alive and well. Although she is a covert narcissist, I love her. And although she has such perniciously bad parts, she also has love, sometimes but truly. Through understanding her pathology, I heal my image of mother inside me. Only then my safe heaven becomes save again. This is the right place.

Mother is the origin of life and therefore loved unconditionally. Her actions become your world. The way she is that way your world will be. It is THE bond and so defines your existence. If you understand your mother, you understand the world. Because she made you, goodness, love is the fact. What remains is your interpretation, your situation in it. What is evil? Everything that would hurt your mother, but more than that everything that actually does hurt her, physically or psychologically. And who does she depend on

most? On your father and his ability to cope with and inside of reality to provide a security, mentally and predominantly physically.

None the less, a mothers trauma affects her as these adverse memories do. It is a kind of crucial knowledge and so she passes it onto her child. What male is strong enough to provide not only physically but also mentally, managing to even cure her trauma and entirely secure her from it? This is a kind of strength almost unimaginable. I look at you men today: Isnt this highest merit? And so I pass on my own trauma to you, aspiring reader. If I give you good, I also define bad for you and therefore also give you trauma. If I give you a god, I also give you a hell and a devil.

Value is subjective.

Self hugging, skin on skin, is crucial. Perferably be hugged by others, but this isnt always possible.

Without dignity there is no autonomy and no freedom. How can I have dignity, if Im a masochist?

Anon: There's just something cool about the creativity that came from limited resources."Yet communists wish there was no scarcity, which is an unrealizable fantasy, it equals the removal of all finite as such, the removal of all concrete and distinct things.

Grandiosity is life force, a too much of it. Transcending individual words by words association is also a kind of Grandiosity, posessing one word by another, overriding its uniqueness, violating its specificity. Associative horizon is internal introverted grandiosity. So reduced inhibition against some thoughts or sense can be seen as grandiose thoughts or sensa. So there are many ways in which the self replicative memetic principle, the transcending principle can go to, or attempt to go to. Whether or not it can find a place in its new medium is another question. Whether or not the image

fits on the new medium is subject to natural selection, reality testing.

Thought itself tends to start out specific and then attempts to become more general, seeing where it applies, where its memetic principle fits, more or less. The memetic, by successfully applying itself claims ownership and therefore establishes being of the memetic source. It resides in the media, in reality. This grasping also is a contract and the content of the contract is whatever the memetic thing itself is. It owns it insofar as it really grasps it, it is insofar as it fits. Physical laws own physicality, to the extent that they are true. Applying one meme to a given medium is hope, uncertain and a kind of fantasy. But do I lie with this? Is it really true? Something is missing. Something huge is missing. What is reality, the absolute, yes. So the absolute selects for what is and also creates it in the first place. Still, this is rudimentary. It isn't based. My race for these insights is a kind of grandiosity. I want it now, the insight now, sacrificing fidelity and reality. It is another reductionist approach. A hurried approach. Duct tape gluing together, just to have the pretension of grandeur with it. Memetics also branches, like the tree of life. Sometimes whole branches die and sometimes new ones emerge, by splitting off, or by emerging in an entirely new place, domain of being.

Trust is a kind of faith.

Dr. Dennet: Free will is a kind of achievement, not a metaphysical endowment. I agree with the former part and disagree with the latter.

The animal (immediate, beast), the human (I), the digital (transcendent). One evolutionary branch alone is brittle! The catallactic win-win principle also extends between human-animal and human-digital. Once humans become more affine to the digital, like through autism, they get brittle. But an

animal companion can help settle that. The natural empathy for animals might not be in vain, as the rational (and therefore surface level) biologist thinks. The film avatar with its mind-beast links might have been prophetic. Neuralink (a digital mind linkage) would be able to connect to the internet, computers, as well as to animals (who then also have a neuralink). A human, alone, exposed to the internet might go mad. The animal contains the groundedness, the steadfast base and it would also be in the best interest of the animal to establish this symbiotic relationship. Voluntary as much as possible of course. Animals, hunters and preys, do contain yet unseen, unformulated primal wisdom. Before thinking, before speech, before religion, primal meaning. The winds and of the internet might be so strong, that humans alone can not stand it. And wouldnt it be fitting, if the pinnacle of the animal kingdom, the human, uplifts itself together with the animal kingdom as such. Humans alone stand brittle, shivering and Ohnmächtig.

Humans would not only be a bridge to the uberman, but the bridge. Combining primal as well as digital knowledge, creating new meaning and new religion. Thinking and feeling combined, extending the tree of life by one little increment. Just imagine having a giant wolf or deer that you can mentally and physically communicate with, grounding you. Wouldnt this new bond too, create an entirely new existence? Animal-Human, Human-Internet. 3 Stages of the evolution of mind.

But this is dangerous. The internet is dangerous and beastiality as such is a different kind of dangerous. No need to rush or force things. Dont ignore your rational mind. Dont ignore your belly feelings. Be self interested and sexually reproduce with humans, not animals or androids. Respect nausea and disgust as warning signs. Tone down the neuralink.

Make the link itself voluntary every step of the way. Opt-in and easy opt out. Dont force anybody and respect the way they are choosing for themselves. I might be wrong after all. To some degree a human just needs to be a human. Know yourself first. What do you think would happen if you have mental or physical weaknesses? Animals hunt and eat. The internet maximizes relentlessly. And anyways, humans still hunt humans the most. What a fucking shitty situation. And everytime you have a new existence, you also have new evils. Animals are not civil and the internet is not firmly based in reality. You can cooperate without going full retard investment.

Self efficaciousness is successful grandiosity.

Humble bragging is despicable. Hmm

Low IQ tends to make people ignorant and high IQ tends to make them arrogant. Openness, humility.

If world IQ is falling, like now, then high IQ is not an advantage, but a detriment. Wouldnt you need humility then all the more? What is it with associative horizon? Tend to be delusional. Solved by reality testing. So what is the problem, my problem? Delusional arrogance. So I need humble reality testing and real humility.

Something is an apollinian. Nothing is a change.

The masochistic covert narcissist unconsciously, by his self destructiveness and his demonstration of being a victim, creates vigilante. But first off, this isnt just any kind of vigilantism, it is vigilantism as an unthought known and second it is vigilantism fitting towards the specific kind of victimhood, injury, injustice. The masochistic covert narcissist makes himself the intended breaking point, the lizard tail that is ejected in case of predation, human predation. The masochistic covert narcissist is an expert at being a victim and so attracts abusers. While the covert narcissist feigns

being a victim, the masochistic covert narcissist is a victim even if there is no reason apparent to be one. By being a victim he demonstrates the evil of society. By asserting on loosing, he overcomes gaslighting and manipulation. By attracting all kinds of attacks on him, he protects those around him. By being innocent he makes the kind an nature of the abuse all the more apparrent. The woke movement... Nobody can defeat you, if your goal is being defeated, except for genuinely good people. The price you pay is that you defeat yourself. But if I was hardcore, I would already have com-
mitted suicide, but obviously I didnt. I also dont plan to, but my unthought known might see it differently. Maybe its a good thing that I dont know its exact contents.

Further if you compare selfdestructiveness, bpd is much more self destructive. Also I dont do physical self harm like cutting etc. Maybe all kinds of selfdestructiveness have some common core logic.

You only have self-constancy if you have win-win relationships with yourself. win-win is a kind of self identification with the other and the other with the self, a bridge going both ways. A pwrson self hating, doing win-loose or loose-loose with himself splits himself apart. Self love is win-win with the self. win-win creates a consistency between otherwise disparate and unrelated parts. The catallactic principle might even hold inside of the mind. What fires together wires together. A bridge. win-win creates bridges, ways of identification. Mirror neurons might be an outcome of the catallactic principle, win-win relationships. Bridges are only built, where it is worth it, so also the physical principle of least action. Identification is shared being. Being is internally consisted by being internally win-win.

The absolute is the most fundamental and the real unthought known. But it is more mysterious than that. Nobody

can comprehend it. So once you die, you become nobody and only then you can comprehend it. But that you are here is because of the absolute and your own volition. There is more to it than that.

Since many people have inherited some amount of neanderthal DNA, there will also be some neanderthal traits, neanderthal intuitions, neanderthal ways of thinking. I also have some amount of neanderthal DNA. There's also this neanderthal theory of autism, saying autism sometimes is just either inherited neanderthal traits or disgenic effects of crossbreeding. I'm not sure how much merit this holds, but there could be some amount of truth to it. The average amount of Neanderthal DNA for Europeans is around 2 percent. For an amount of genetics in a population this is huge.

There are different kinds of courage. Even in courage there is nuance and special emphasis. Courage is a kind of hope, a daring, an overflowing of being. Courage is a self identification with what one fears. Because of this conflict, courage creates energy. Depressive anxiety becomes oppressive excitement. Courage is overcoming inhibition and starting action. Courage is taking a stand, committing to an action and making one vulnerable to bad and able to good. In this acceptance of vulnerability and bad outcomes, courage is a kind of humility. On the other hand it is a seeking of good. Too much courage is recklessness, too little is cowardice.

Courage integrates evil in so far as it accepts risks of taking action, accepts potential negative outcomes and subjects itself voluntarily to the judgement by reality.

If I am to be properly humble, I should become courageous as a consequence.

After listening to some interview of Marie-Louise von Franz: I see, I have a mother complex. Splitting between

goddess and whore. So if I'm talking about women, I tend to either overidealize or devalue. Splitting.

Intelligence can be inferior to intuition. It really depends.

von Franz: "If one has an inferiority complex, it's really ambition. One wants to be more than one is."

If things do not fit together, but are attempted to fit by a will, then there is conflict. Trauma.

Intuition is unconscious knowledge about the obvious. Most great discoveries are obvious in retrospect.

If you ignore your intuition, then you ignore parts of your knowledge. Endlich sollte ich meine Gefühle der Verletzlichkeit doch auch wieder gelten lassen. Grandiosity is a way to cope with vulnerability in an unhealthy and traumatic way. Grandiosity is the hand held over the wound. It makes you rigid, immovable and preoccupied.

If you want to understand modern politics you need to understand narcissistic abuse. My covert narcissistic mother's statements are targeted at regressing me to an infantile state. (transl.) "You don't meet any people. [You are defective]. She intensifies anything I perceive about myself as weakness, a sadistic supply of hers. She regresses my self-confidence in any way possible. Similar with the state and his population. The state regresses your beliefs in autonomy. Autonomy equals maturity. Everything inside the state. Nothing outside of it." "He attempts to expand his being and therefore diminishes the being of his subjects". It is a kind of distorted reality check, fatalizing the aspects about the self, rendering them into a state of unconsciousness, unworthiness and shame. The flip side is the grandiosity of the state, the grandiosity of my mother. This grandiosity produces the harshness, that is the abuse. To me, her child, the world becomes a harsh place and I become unworthy, shameful, blameworthy. If I am that, I hide. My true self hides. This is why masochistic

covert narcissists try to avoid any kind objective evaluation, because the foregone conclusion is that oneself must be unworthy and bad. And if you know that this will be bad, you avoid it, because you are smart in that way. The self interested drive therefore is not lost, but backed into a corner by a perceived harsh world. This harsh world introject then dominates the mental space and leads to avoidance. The consequence is, that then even potential success is avoided, because the potential for such a success can't be really seen. So here is not only a failure in reality testing, but also a failure in fantasy. Perceiving potential is a fantasy, imaginative function.

A failure in reality testing is also a failure in imagination. So you are limited by 2 things: reality and by the extent that you can imagine yourself to do and be in reality. If your imagination is restricted, then so your being becomes restricted. Of course, reality wins. Imagination is the degrees of freedom you allow yourself. Where you have already determined yourself, you don't have freedom. Foregone conclusion, your early (prior) reality testing and memory. Childhood is initialization to the world. What does this mean? If you are a "normie", you had a good world initialization and are in good, win-win, terms with the world. If you are such, then there is no need to change the world, but to accept it, identify yourself with it. And if you identify yourself with something, then it becomes unchangeable to you. This also means that various levels of creativity, as expressions of imagination, are predetermined by childhood and the kind of world/reality bonding that happened there. Only those things that are in conflict are subject to change and freedom. Only things not fitting, need changing. Therefore the creative person carries with him a conflict, and his creativity are his attempts to settle the conflict. He seeks what is fitting, because to him

and his perception it doesn't fit. If it were to fit, he could self identify with it (win-win), create a bond and with this bond make it stable and also, more or less, unquestionable. The self can not question itself, but only the other. Only dissonance can be questioned and devalued. The self on the other hand is the origin of value, the perception of value. Bad always means, not fitting to the self. Good always means fitting to the self, good. Different people have different bonds in and to the world and therefore also are a different self. A bond is self-identification. Dissonance is mental challenge. Consonance is secure being. Conflict produces heat, shockwaves, flickering, erratic and unexpected changes. Only conflict changes things. Fitting things stay the same, there is no friction. Fitting things enable to be moved on and used for something else. Conflicting things occupy and demand attention away from other things. Conflict creates attention onto the conflict and disables other functions. Creativity is a kind of disability. Creativity is also a kind of dionysian personality (Similar what Vakinin said: ~ Creativity is pathology). Yet it is revered and useful to society, in small doses that is, except if your society is really bad, then most people become creative because they dissociate from society. They split their public persona (a facade at this point) from their real self. They become creative, because they want to find ways to bond their true self to society, a win-win in the fullest sense. It's a mass psychosis. Authenticity and secret suppressed desires seek a new place to be, creativity emerges. Creativity starts out as a kind of hedonic, totally self freeing desire.

There's also this aspect to the psychopathic narcissist: He is sadistic, yes, but to what end? He makes himself the persecutory object, the evil criminal, in real life. By becoming this he recreates the traumatic relationship between himself and his mother. He embodies a kind of masochism, but in

the greater sense, with the world as his persecutor. Sadism invites and elicits punishment. The sadist makes also himself the persecutory object. While the masochist punishes himself by himself, the sadist punishes himself by other people. The masochist is internally harsh to himself, the sadist is harsh towards other people. Harshness to the point of evil, sometimes. The sadist unconsciously wants to be punished, so he recreates the relationship with his mother and then feels like he fits into the world and that his childhood had meaning. You could say that depending on your perspective, any kind of evil always is a kind of masochism. If you consider the whole of reality, then all evil is masochism, because the punisher as well as the punished are both part of reality. The psychopathic narcissist came into the world and was treated like a persecutory object from the start. Later in life he unconsciously wants to keep this identity, therefore sadism. It only makes sense if you are already persecuted (from the get go), to then also become worth the persecution by becoming a kind of evil. But of course, its not quite that black and white. If you look very carefully (and probably from a distance), you can see that there are also some immensely good parts to the psychopathic narcissist. He would have strengths where I have weaknesses and truths where I have ignorance. The psychopathic narcissist tests the health of a society by becoming a villain.

The masochistic covert narcissist (like me) strengthens society by giving it new meaning. Simultaneously he makes it weak, by surpassing its own learning of it own meaning by itself, by really owning it. The psychopathic narcissist weakens society by attacking it in various ways. Simultaneously he makes it strong, by creating the need to learn meaning by itself. It seems that there are always two sides to good and evil, namely evil and good. Without evil, progress beco-

mes undefined and impossible. Without good evil is nothing, nonexistent. None the less, the good is prior. The all one is good, the second is evil because it challenges the one in the most fundamental way possible - in its oneness. Yet, its not that simple. The one by creating the second, committed an act of self harm. The second, by being faithful to the one, is evil. The one is omnipotent in every way, so it is also able to defeat itself completely, yet revive. But where and how does it revive? In the various parts of the second and therefore the second becomes the one, and therefore the second in a second sense. The second becomes the second one. If you remember, every being starts out as an evil first, being overcome second, and being integrated creating new being third. The transcending transcends even itself, as soon as there is a second, an observer. And you are gonna be: Reba McEntire - You're Gonna Be.

Right I know that was a lot. Is this some kind of sadism?

Pagan religion was the city law. Only the modern conception separates state and religion. Religions always bring with themselves a separation of good and evil, a morality. Before pagan beliefs there were tribal and even family religions. For those religions, morality and legitimacy are inseparable. If religion really is that which is fitting, then it is just. That's a big IF. Only such a highest held belief could exercise the authority to judge people and to guide their actions. Every religion has an ought and an ought not. Pagan religions were the foundations for ancient private cities.

What is a false god, a false idol? A false apollinian, an unjust, not fitting, wrong law and power structure. Commandments are laws. Prophecy is revelation of laws. Laws are just ordinary sentences about what is. I eat bread could be a law. Laws gain prominence (memetic repl.) in proportion to how important (how meaningful) they are perceived

to be. Natural law is an organizing principle. Why? It reduces the mutually destructive incentives being and increases the mutually constructive incentives being. In this way it creates win-win and allows for selfidentification with the proper laws, statements. It becomes a belief to the point that through natural selection it even becomes a moral feeling. win-win relationship increases being.

Neither humans nor the environment by itself is allmighty (deterministic), because they are parts but not the whole of reality by themselves. Only everything together, the all one, is allmighty.

With meaning one owns something. It stops being foreign, alien and random. It is an apollinian imposition.

One of the strongest and most ancient kinds of win-win relationships is mutually voluntary sexuality, so of course this goes into feelings: Lust. And then the more enduring pair bonding of commitment and love. Commitment also is a feeling. So new kinds of win-win relationships if they are solid and long lasting will create new kinds of feelings inside human beings. Feelings that dont have names yet. To a degree this is also what Linus Torvalds is talking about when he says that he wants programmers to have good taste. Taste is a kind of feeling. He is describing something intuitive and not intellectual. Although it is clear that a programmer also needs quite some amount of logical intelligence. But even in programming: Logical intelligence alone, without intuition (taste), doesnt suffice.

Authoritarian places (modern schools, bureaucracys, states) tend to completely suppress intuitions. By this supression of it, the make it unconscious and they create liberating hedonistic desires. Because of this, in sexuality the teacher kink is widespread and prominent. It also creates creativity and intrusive thoughts as a dormant force against the sterile and

oppressive authority. This dormant force affects deep change on all kinds of levels (because it is so creative). The authoritarian always creates an inner conflict. Simply: The wrong apollinian creates his own demise. The intuitive is either an open and more direct force, or a suppressed, hidden and branching force. Creativity is branching.

Power and sexuality are intertwined. Both are transcending, overcoming forces.

And sometimes now I feel really good, despite alle the bad things. There is something in my journey that makes me glad. Justifying every single thing.

Today I sensed bliss and grief on the internet. Its almost like a war is over. A lot of warmongering and now: victory and devastating defeat. A wide spread barbarism. The US election concluded. If you are at war you become a monster. If you get used to brutality, can you still move without destroying?

So in this sense the american mind has been brutalized. But I understand that to a part it is necessary as a survival response.

It imposes win-loose outcomes, a zero sum game. H: I don't think Americans in general actually experience first hand violence that much."Yes, I mean it in the political mental way. If your candidate loses you are forced towards something against your will. This is a kind of brutality, it oppresses the losers free will. It is barbarous as opposed to civil and therefore erodes civility and civil society.

So because of how incentives in american democracy works, voting for a third party is a "waste". Now they only have 2 parties. 2 parties create 2 poles of alliance and one enemy for each, a more simple good and evil dichotomy. If one election concludes you pretty much have half of the population loose, fostering their belief in the evil of the other side and

one party win, strengthening their grandiosity and feelings of superiority. Essentially both sides are pathological because democracy is a zero sum, coercive game.

The reason america still is stable is to my perception: free speech, gun ownership, a kind of freedom mentality (despite the creeping in of authoritarianism, etc.) and the still not too high inflation, tax and regulation.

But the trajectory has been going towards more statism, less wealth, less freedom, etc. Young people mistrust the older generation because they project false hopes, a self confidence that isnt true to reality anymore. There is resentment.

America still is the strongest and still has a lot of momentum", but I dont know where its going. It looks like its going to be difficult.

But by all means, please prove me wrong. I dont wish harm on anyone, especially not on americans.

Preaching the american dream, when it doesnt really exist anymore, is a form of profound, culture wide, gaslighting. It isnt intentional, but out of ignorance.

Yes, but america is the biggest empire with world wide influence in speech, politics and economy. It also has quite a few unique characteristics beyond the shared democracy. Everybody looks to the USA.

Philosophy is also about collecting neat pictures and meaningful phrases an putting them together neatly.

If you say that you yourself are god, then youre grandiose. If you say other people are god, then you have externalized grandiosity.

There is no true life in false life."But what is true life? Nobody seems to have it

A healthy society has healthy people. Healthy people have a healthy society.

Games play me, Im just a playball for new games. I play games to fuck up my dopamine reward system producing the illusion of achievement. I should play more games, Im so lazy.

I think Im repeating myself a lot.

A: 'Estrangement grief is made up of multiple layers of loss and emotional injury. Loss of kinship ties and rejection/expulsion profoundly impact one's sense of identity and self worth, and also emotional safety, as the 'sanctuary' that family should be is completely absent, having been replaced by a hostile environment more akin to a war zone than family. Because Estrangement Grief is socially unrecognized, the target may experience 'secondary wounding' by unsupportive witnesses who blame or shame the victim. At the very least, targets of family scapegoating tend to experience isolation and loneliness from not being understood. At worst, scapegoats are judged negatively by friends and others who employ their own internal defenses to avoid seeing the very real pain of scapegoats. Witnesses may rationalize, minimize or dismiss the targets suffering, rendering him or her invalidated, invisible and, often, further stigmatized as 'the problem'. Scapegoating contradicts a deeply held cross cultural myth that families and parents are inherently "good". This mythologizing contributes to the unwillingness of witnesses to admit the reality of the problem, as it threatens their core belief system.'

Masochism might be channeled: Extreme sports, Fasting, Obesity, Overworking, Self trashing/rotting, Provoking verbal abuse, Destroying own reputation, Getting scammed, Failing, failing in career, failing projects, losing home / becoming homeless, seeking abusers (cluster B personality types) and abusive relationships, becoming a martyr, sexual degradation and humiliation, destroying one's own gender, trying to get raped, provoking shame and alienation,

self undermining, self abandonment, intentionally catching an illness, hurting and not going to the doctor, teeth pain and degradation and not going to the dentist, physical self harm, suicide. But I think even self harm is specific and there are different types (domains) of self harm. Agression against the self. Death drive. Saint-Martyr (covert grandiosity masochism). The holy is that which cannot be questioned, it is the grandiose, that is not delusional. The holy is the absolute.

Aus Eragon: SSo ist die Welt eben. Lass Zweifel und Misstrauen beiseite und schau den Leuten ins Herz. Brom ist ein guter Mensch."

A society in which nothing bad can be talked about is grandiose, unable to correct itself and delusional. Grandiosity is a kind of toxic positivity.

I dont want you to suffer the vigilante life. In any case, as much as possible: Peace, Trade, Relaxtation, Love, Happiness should be prefered. If I were to destroy myself, then I would be extremely cruel towards you.

Numinous: Neon Genesis Evangelion, Ghost in the Shell (1995 anime), Princess Mononoke, Hellsing (german dub), Serial Experiments Lain (anime), Berserk (manga), blade runner (1982), OLDBOY (movie with the asian main character), Paprika (2006).

Evay change is simultaneously a destruction and a creation. Every destruction is also a creation and every creation is also a destruction. If you cook food, you destroy raw food materials to create food. If you are born you also loose the existence you were before. If you die you also gain a new kind of existence. If you make a contract you loose (destroy) freedom and gain existence through the contract. Every creative act is also a destructive act. The absolute created the universe and destroyed itself, it became the universe, all that which is. Taoism. The creative mind, the genius. Evil and Good. The

stupid and ignorant. Life is a temporary contract. Freedom equals Potential and nonexistence. Determination equals Actuality and existence. Without evil, change would be impossible. Without good there would be nothing to change and nothing worth change. The ignorant keeps himself and his beliefs intact and functions. The genius destroys his beliefs repeatedly and stops. The ignorant gets stopped by his surroundings but moves by himself. The genius stops himself but moves his surroundings. They complement each other.

Libido and thanatos are the same thing viewed from different angles. Healing a pathology simultaneously destroys a part of ones being. Its all not that easy. By being creative I also destroy myself. Because I have been partially destroyed, I am creative.

By healing god, you consume and destroy the universe? I am probably just ignorant.

It seems that everything owned is temporary. Either the owned thing changes or you yourself change. And also, you cant really own anything extcept that which you already are. You are at the mercy of reality. And because owning is being, you are in danger of loss and death. Reality (the absolute) is the final judge and suspended judgement just tends to increase the fault.

I have been starved of true love.

If I say win, I do not mean it in the shallow sense, but in the deep, flourishing, meaning producing and loving way.

If I am cold in my writing, and I think I am too cold in it, then it is because of my own deficit in love. This is most serious and valid questioning of my views. I am bitter and that means that I my words have been harsh and hurtful.

Truth is in good AND evil!

Confessions:

1My deceased (angry narcissistic) grandmother told me

when she was already starting to be mentally confused due to age, that she probably killed (she didnt use that word, but it seemed very likely) her own grandmother (alone? I dont know) in a kind of proactive self defense, while she was still a child/teenager. It must have been at the end or shortly after world war 2. It really seemed like my grandmothers grandmother was murderous (really a murderer) and if that is true then it was justified in my opinion, but almost unspeakable. She alienated most of her family although she had plenty of siblings, because of her rage and I dont know what incidents. Her husband, my grandfather, told us, also while confused due to age: "Stop the hitting please stop." whimpering repeatedly. In the past he was hit on the ground and into the stomach (among likely also on other parts) by some group of people I do not know for reasons I dont know. I doubt that he deserved it, he had a very timid and trustworthy personality. Almost like me, I also inherited his y-Chromosome. It seems that they married in a bond of protection, she with her anger probably hurt him but also saw in him the victim and likely protected him. Both were traumatized - I am certain of this. On my grandmothers case it could very well have been like with Sam Vaknin where there was life and death danger towards her. My grandfather also had incredible pain in his soul and body, he looked with his eyes like me, expecting pain, but even more terrible and shattered. Him having experienced life and death threats is very possible, but not coming from his immediate family (I think?). My grandmothers personality explains my fathers schizoid narcissism. She also had a child before my father, but he had a surgical accident (or an intentional revenge kind of damage, I really dont know with her background, who hated her for what reasons) in his childhood, crippling him and slowly killing him when he was around 17 years old or so. My fa-

thers side of the family. I never felt any threat or real danger coming from them. It was a cold castle. Without them I'd probably be weak and vulnerable, but they also made me grandiose and callous in my thinking. They also gave me a kind of indomitable spirit to survive. Ruthless, angry, calculated and strong. And hidden true love from my father, my savior. Blessed love from my grandfather, the cornerstone of my philosophical investigations. Later on a tough narcissistic love from my grandmother, making me independent. And from her in my childhood a kind of love that made me able to do things.

1. Codependent bullying (inverted narcissism like) with a psychopathic narcissist in school. Later on get physically hurt by him, quit school because of it. Dont tell anybody. Feeling extremely intimidated. Looking like an accident and hidden. First that was evil by me and then was evil towards me. The psychopathic narcissist was very evil in both cases but I KNOW FOR A FACT his childhood was terrible, maybe life threatening.
2. I betrayed my young brother in childhood. Making him vigilante. Very evil by me and showed my pathology. Destroying his sense of security and trust in me protecting him.
3. Throwing sweets down an Faschings-umzug"hurting random innocent people.
4. Unconscious pedophilic rape signalings making my cousin and uncle more vigilant. Destrying their trust in people and certainly my own reputation.
5. Manipulating my bigger brother - to a degree. He hurt me (and hurts if given the chance) way more.
6. Being hurt by mother, but also using her for simple material being. Very much arguable who is evil here.
7. In general: Me unconsciously playing games with people. Masochism and grandiosity. Inciting false hope, 3 times now with different girls. Provoking abhorrent feelings and reputation damage, sometimes even hate. Unconscious but evil

none the less. Happens way more often in a variety of ways, if I think about it. It is part of a kind of general avoidance. 8. Purely from my feelings my little brother might have killed somebody or done something grave. I imputed some idiotic grandiosity into him when I read Max Stirner for the first time. Now he is changed, but I do not know if and if yes, what happened. I should confront him. I could be mistaken. In a sense he is profoundly dependent on me and I projected my insecurity into him in the most crass way (3 above). Maybe Im just overestimating my influence and the situation. No use! I must talk to him and see what he says (and how). This is something I still fear.

I hope that I can learn from my (and other) peoples mistakes. Truth will set you free. and truth is in evil and in good.

These are the worst things about me I remember now. A lot of these have been hidden in my mind, me from myself, making me blocked and evil. Truth will set you free. Im not certain if there isnt more sin in me. I need to reflect. But they are pretty much THE shameful and fearful memories. It is difficult to know what the unconscious unknown does. I dont say MY unconscious, because I dont hold it in my hands, dont control it. It controls me. It feels more like it is happening to me. Rails that I dont see are there, or where EXACTLY they are headed. An ominous feeling.

Why is my mother a covert narcissist? Because she never got really accepted by her mother, my other grandmother. This grandmother never really could deal well with women, only with men. She idealizes men and devalues women. My grandfather from this side almost was shot by russians in world war 2, he was rounded up and told if he left the space he would be shot. He was also hit by teachers. He turned towards a slow and enjoyable life. He is alcoholic, probably

drowning his sorrows. His alcoholism is not too bad though. Grandmother lost 2 of her brothers to alcoholism. Both most likely used it to cope with some trauma, attempts to escape reality and memory. One died around when I was born, the other died around when I was a teenager. My grandmother was denied advanced education (due to money reasons) and she always kind of puts herself and her intelligence down. She had a poor childhood, times of real food scarcity (as far as I know nobody starved though). Now she always cares for everybody to have enough food. Consequently in her youth my mother was obese. She somewhat blamed grandmother and her insistence on eating up (although not for that, but in general). Her losses made her scared to love. She is co-dependent on grandpa, idealizes him and her son and takes reliable care of the household. She is surface level, because deep down she hurts. In this sense my uncle and mother are also surface level. My uncle also is childlike and grandiose, almost bratty. He never really left grandmother in this sense. But he takes reliable care of his own family and is also very mature in his job. He is a success in this way, but definitely has some hurt he carries with himself. His wife, from what I sense, was raped in childhood (I dont know tho). She is hypervigilant and unconsciously accusatory in that sense. She wants a champion and such is her son. She controls the family. She wants her family strong and in a sense I facilitated that. I do not hurt people overtly except in self defense. I do not rape anybody. But my uncoscious and her uncoscious created this weird situation where (see 5 above) I signaled these pedophilic desire towards her daughter, my niece. Of course I didnt do anything, I love them all. But oh boy she is wild! They probably fit well together. I wish them happiness and success and that she may find the security that she craves. Its not a simple situation at all. Im not gonna

ruin myself (and then mind you) in that way. She either feels completely defenseless and exposed to men or she puts them down towards the lowest rungs of existence to feel safe around them. A kind of idealization or devaluation. She has issues with men, my grandmother has issues with women. She probably uncsciously projects her trauma also onto her daughter. But my uncle, her father, will have a balancing influence.

The only way in which I can exist in their presence is by putting myself down. (In a sense this is also true with my family.) If you have no feeling and security in your boundaries, then only those that inherently bind themselves are tolerable. The scapegoat. I with my scapegoat position from the start.

This self binding is a valuable lesson. I bet you that it is related to physical binding practices of bdsm sexuality. A binding, self containing, of the libido and its other side, the death force. Turning its creative and destructive to the inside, to the self, a form of self punishment and self creation. Self identification.

Stars, influencers etc. tend to be narcissists, because narcissists seek attention. As Vaknin said, pure psychopaths tend to avoid attention, fly under the radar, be coercive.

Love does not begin and end the way we seem to think it does. Love is a battle, love is a war; love is a growing up. James Baldwin

Because of my masochistic covert narcissism I am deeply biased and unreliable.

The overt and the covert narcissist are a pair in traits. The psychopathic (also called malignant) narcissist and the masochistic covert narcissist (antinarcissist) are a pair in traits. If you want to use opposite words, you could call a masochistic covert narcissist a benevolent (opposite of ma-

lignant) narcissist. But this might downplay the pathology too much: masochism, grandiosity, trust breaking to create vigilante aspects, pathological victimhood and many other pathological aspects in common with other narcissists. Neither idealizing, nor devaluing the mental illness is of use.

Finishing something is creating a border, inviting judgement, good or bad. This is healthy and necessary. Setting yourself up to fail with it is stupid. Avoiding all judgement is stupid, because this way one can never win. Wins are necessary for survival. In a sense Im definitely finished with myself".

If there is no state, then there wont be state war and suppression anymore, but there very well would be the possibility of feuds and slavery. Really I would need to thoroughly analyze and feel outthe libertarian answer. Liberty and peace. One further question is, if I would even be capable of being objective in this, because of my mental illness and because of my current libertarian belief. But seen in this light, everybody becomes biased.

The youtuber Nicocado Avocado is probably also a masochistic covert narcissist, as well as probably Daniel Beuthner.

Freuds libido, the reward function (from machine learning) and incentives in general are probably the same thing.

Why is there ever any hierarchy and what is the only justification of any hierarchy at all? Good (being) and evil (non-being). If good is lost, then if it really was good, being is also lost. If evil is overcome, integrated by being at the bottom and not ignored, then being is secured. Only goodness is allowed to have freedom and it is determined by reality, the absolute, as such. Evil is characterized by restriction, because if it were to be free, it would destroy being and in this way it is securely and finally restricted by reality. The only way evil can be is by either restricting itself (a kind of masochism,

self reflection and self inhibition) or by being restricted by reality itself. In this way evil ceases being evil and becomes good.

Further in this way, since humans are imperfect they also have some kinds of evil inside of them. Then the only way for them to be is humility, kinds of masochism, self reflection, self restriction, self discipline, learning through the absolute reality (which is humiliating). This is necessary if they are not to be destroyed by reality through insistence on their own evil. Identifying and attempting to address, restrict and integrating your own evil, directing your own evil against itself is of utmost difficulty. In so far as one fails at this, and we all do, one is still immature and gets his feedback through reality, matter of fact, in your face. I am also unable and unworthy, ignorant and arrogant, foolish and self defeating.

Sin ways you down. It is when your individual interests go against absolute order. Sin means that you are going downwards. You are going towards evil and reduced being. If being is so bad, then it is not worth to be. If you have no being, then your actions won't be your own. The self becomes splintered. The greater being through win-win becomes lost. Everything becomes lonely. A show, surreal. Everything painful and cruel approaches you, inhabits you. Forms of negation as such hollow you. Your past will be buried, you will lose your identity. Empty spaces, empty words, empty smiles. You will suffer, hunger, whimper but find no release. Horror will be outside and inside of you. Coldness, fear. Only anger will keep you warm, but it is a cruel, war warmth. It will also burn and melt you. Only either too little or too much. What is fitting, has been lost. How much more now do you want to reclaim it! How foolish have you been?! Anger? How can you correct a sin you have forgotten? Hasn't this sin become an absolute matter? Hasn't it come to control your whole life?

There is sin buried inside the masochist. What is sin? In the face of reality, it is a contradiction.

For the christian church, having denied sexuality so much - is a sin. Either complete prudence or then despicable, evil, child molestation. Confess your sins. And find your way back to proper sexuality and proper life. And yes, I know I am setting you before the most difficult thing, but if you can overcome this, be shameful for once, you will have my utter respect. By confessing your sins and by taking the proper social punishment, you integrate your evil, contain it. I really enjoyed the christian nun, celibacy hentai pornography, while it lasted. See? Your not the only sinful one. You know what you could do? You could make sexuality a holy act. It really does transcend the individual and binds two people. I dont know (and agree with Vaknin on this) if ordinary sexuality exists. There is a reason that there are so many strong emotions involved: Lust, Hunger, Pleasure, Satisfaction, Love, Shame, Desire, Hope, Heartbreaks, Sadness, Devastation, Meaning, Volition, Coercion, Commitment, Fulfillment, Happiness. Freuds Libido.

What is volition? Volition is if you keep your own coercive impulses in check when you deal with other beings. In a coercive society, the volitional person vanishes.

Hmm. Freedom is a negative proposition, so an absence of something determining. But arguably you can determine your own will. So your free will is not free from yourself. Freedom seen as freedom from interference also seems false in that case because you can feel sense data like pain etc. as being part of your will formation. But if you consider sense experiences as part of yourself, then it works again. "Willis like the act of self determination".

So the selfconcept and free will are linked. For example, if I am able to dissociate myself from my self, then I could

will things without really wanting them. I could be a slave and still experience my free will intact.

On the other hand, if I somehow believe that I'm another person and then that other person acts independently, I would experience this as a violation of my own free will.

This of course doesn't change the fact of who is who and who feels what. Both variants are unreal. Humbled by reality.

Isn't police attention also a kind of attention?

If you discover a mental illness in yourself, you discover something profound about you, good and bad. It is a great self discovery, self reflection. I wouldn't have thought I'm a narcissist, but masochistic covert narcissism explains so much about me. My grandiosity, my selfdestructiveness, selfsabotage. Claiming control by failing. It is really stupid, yet I can't just change it. If I attempt healing, I don't know if it was a success. I am confabulating, delusional, memory distorting unconsciously. My self is not as sane as I thought. Talking in third person about myself is not sane. I am insane to a degree. And also completely devaluing myself (masochism), is wrong, as well as completely idealizing myself (grandiosity). Parts of my self corrective ego syntonic, healthy functions are not directed at myself, but at the outside: Do not idealize people. Do not completely devalue people.

When I make meaning statements, they come from a need to mend myself, but then become directed towards the outside, excluding myself (masochism, self devaluation). My philosophyizing is attempts to mend myself, but directed at the world instead of myself and the world. Originally this started with me, psychologically fixing, attempting to fix, my mom. Parentification and psychological counsel from a child. I was ego syntonic to her by giving her meaning and simultaneously narcissistic supply (devaluing myself). I stabilized her enough to be somewhat there for me. And now the world

too looks like that to me: Pathological, in need of meaning (religion, philosophy) and sadistic supply (martyrdom, self destructiveness). In this way I claim control over a perceived pathological place to be. I am prejudiced about reality.

Dionysian means high creativity, novelty, but also the dangers of chaos. Change as such.

Gandhi: "But whether we convert them or not, we are determined to make their rule impossible by non-violent non-co-operation."

Terence Tao: "In times of great uncertainty, the relative value of 'playing it safe' is reduced, since - for better or for worse - no option can now reduce risk to truly safe levels. And so, paradoxically, in times of risk and uncertainty, it can actually become more rational to think and act more boldly - or more precisely, to bring one's personal risk tolerance to match the amount of external risk present in the system."

I think I experience pain like everybody else. Yet I am, unconsciously, a masochist. Psychological sublime (covert?) masochism. A covert narcissist mother introject? I am blessed and cursed. What a way my life is. Deluded, pretending and hoping (Song: I dont Know; Beastie Boys, Miho Hatori). I dont cut myself enough, so I suffer the wild growth (Song: Cutter, R. Ring). (I dont really cut myself. I dont self harm in that way. The thought of it is apalling.)

The scientific method is belief based (base axioms unproven by the theory itself). A belief in one approach to truth is still a belief. Specific ways of approaching truth are also beliefs. Having some such belief is the natural human state. It is necessary to simplify the world and have one consistent lens to look at through on it. The religious proposition is a meaning making proposition. Scientism is also a kind of religion. The real motivations behind choosing lets say science over christianity are cultural and personally (mostly) uncon-

scious. There were basically no scientists when science wasn't a thing yet. And further there is the possibility of future things (religions) that aren't invented yet. All of this means that there is always a space for philosophy (or something like it) to explore the very base assumptions. Each past culture considered itself the pinnacle of knowledge and wisdom, but then something new and unexpected came along. It would be arrogant to consider this period as the be all end all of insights.

In psychology Freud coined the term *id*. *id*'s psychologically pretty much the same concept as god (boundless, beyond time, beyond space, all inclusive). There *id*'s the first stage of psychology development. It is like the absolute, something that is just there unquestioned (at least initially). Something with no further cause, at least it appears like this to the self. It is like an unconditional belief.

An idol is an attempted incarnation of an ideal. It never fully succeeds, but if done well it reminds of the ideal.

Psychotic mania mixed with periods of sanity. Years of NEETdom with selfreflection and learning about niche interests through the internet. A collection of mental illnesses (autism, masochism, narcissism, childhood abuse trauma), some intelligence and unfettered internet access. Rounded all off with 2 psilocybin experiences, broadening my associative horizon. God is teaching me, us all. I'm an idiot after all.

No single person in this world is completely nice. So you obviously lack authenticity. Yes you are fake nice. No matter how much you bottle it up and try to hide it, it always shines through somewhere. You are delaying your conflicts instead of resolving them. People are creeped out by you, because they can sense it. A person completely without selfinterest is an impossible existence and self interest (Freud's libido,

impulses) always is there. You might just be hiding it and this makes you inauthentic. It is not that there is no self interest, it is that there is strong fear of conflict. This is your, my real nature. The coward. And it rightfully diminishes your reputation and the interest of other people in you. An open monster is less unsettling than a concealed, hidden one. And if there is no approach and conflict, then there also won't be any change in your life. No chance of improvement. Thanatos folly.

Isn't tourettes syndrome like having parts of a second personality taking control for short bursts of time?

Trauma is a place. Memories are places (worlds). Your place (the meaning of your place) defines your personality. Your most fundamental world view defines is your personality.

People need to have the right to self defense and the means to do so. Wild animals need to be kept in check, as well as wild humans. You can not let predators (animal or human) run free. People need to be allowed, and I recommend they should, carry and own weapons. Further you need emergency services for fire, (private) police, poisoning, suicide, etc. You also need defense against potentially aggressive states. These are all forms of self defense.

Reality trumps even philosophical imaginations.

Allgemeinbildung ist eine Art Verschwörungstheorie.

A narcissist, no matter what type, lacks humility. He is grandiose in some way. So if I seek humility, I'm secretly grandiose about it, thus it is fake humility. Real humility is frustrating and it probably can't be induced by the self, but only by absolute reality. This also makes sense, because the self is defective, incomplete, subjective. The absolute is objectivity as such. Like that shitty fucking asshole dog, following me on the street, barking and probably almost attacking me, if

it werent for my self defense pepper spray. This is a kind of humiliation by reality that I cant give myself by myself, it is real. Real humility I cant predict, it is like evolution, natural selection and pure randomness. It is destiny, unavoidable, absolute. Real humility is not only your own reality testing, but also reality testing you.

Anon: äll humans are beasts that love to fuck, eat, and be comfy. Capitalism clears the view onto that fact by slowly pushing arbitrary moral judgements and rationalizations of pointless traditions to the margins. And all of it happens via the free choices of consumers. Turns out they like getting off, and cheap hamburgers, and cool electronic toys and and and... Your railing against it is not just futile but stupid, because you believe that society should be molded by your personal aesthetic instead of what it's members actually decide to do."

In a sense Im like a parent to my cat.

What happens if everybody is grandiose?

My own genuine authentic shame (not your fake sloppa) is good for me. Even shame is an egoistic emotion. What is proper shame? Depending on the incentives in your society, more or less shame is proper. In an evil society, being somewhat evil is adaptive, because there the good just get exploited. In a good society being good, merit is rewarded and therefore being good is adaptive. The universal tit-for-tat principle selfcorrects, even if it takes time. It is not a coincidence that good emerges, as well as it isn't a coincidence if it vanishes.

Anon: 'There is pattern recognition (high IQ) and there is pattern recognition"(schizophrenia)'

Nietzsche stellt mir die Realität unmittelbar: Erster Satz. Die Gründe, darauf hin „diese“ Welt als scheinbar bezeichnet worden ist, begründen vielmehr deren Realität, — eine

andre Art Realität ist absolut unnachweisbar. Zweiter Satz. Die Kennzeichen, welche man dem „wahren Sein“ der Dinge gegeben hat, sind die Kennzeichen des Nicht-Seins, des Nichts, — man hat die „wahre Welt“ aus dem Widerspruch zur wirklichen Welt aufgebaut: eine scheinbare Welt in der That, insofern sie bloss eine moralisch-optische Täuschung ist. Dritter Satz. Von einer „anderen“ Welt als dieser zu fabeln hat gar keinen Sinn, vorausgesetzt, dass nicht ein Instinkt der Verleumdung, Verkleinerung, Verdächtigung des Lebens in uns mächtig ist: im letzteren Falle rächen wir uns am Leben mit der Phantasmagorie eines „anderen“, eines „besseren“ Lebens. Vierter Satz. Die Welt scheiden in eine „wahre“ und eine „scheinbare“, sei es in der Art des Christenthums, sei es in der Art Kant's (eines hinterlistigen Christen zu guterletzt) ist nur eine Suggestion der *décadence*, — ein Symptom niedergehenden Lebens. . . Dass der Künstler den Schein höher schätzt als die Realität, ist kein Einwand gegen diesen Satz. Denn „der Schein“ bedeutet hier die Realität noch einmal, nur in einer Auswahl, Verstärkung, Correctur. . . Der tragische Künstler ist kein Pessimist, — er sagt gerade Ja zu allem Fragwürdigen und Furchtbaren selbst, er ist dionysisch."

Who invented good and evil? Somebody, something inside that is grandiose!

Only something that is alive can be sick. This does not refute life but underlines its precedence. Pessimism is epistemically wrong, it refutes itself. Optimism is also wrong, it is obviously naive.

Joy is not a commandment. Thinking is brutal sometimes. Sometimes books confuse your mind.

So Im closing down a little because Im cruel.

I am truly asking, I really don't understand why considering the world alive is contradictory to being a pessimist. The pessimist still feels pain. Pain IS optimistic, because it

seeks relief, it seeks the good. This is a horrifying insight.

I am a writer, no cap I am writing for people like you. But now I am back to much here now and sane.

You are humble, yet provide all the content. You need to know that I kill world views, because my world is a chasm, a flux, a lie. And I always mend the world, but I never fully succeeded. Im a philosopher.

It feels like you trust me with your heart, you told me your a writer. And yet, I am afraid. If I gave you advice, wouldnt you too be in need of healing? He comes plundering Seek by my hearts trueasure I am hazy, up too late I had some ideas World imposes on me You are an amateur, never forget that If you force me to belief, then I believe. seek sex, get some bitches, read Max Stirner

In this killing of worldviews, am I not there similar to Sokrates?

I dismiss the trolley problem on the basis that it is too hypothetical to be useful. It makes so many nonsensical and unlikely assumptions that the conclusions one tends to gain from it are equally outlandish and therefore useless at best, harmful otherwise. It might be a too muchöf fantasy. The trolley problem betrays the modern midwit intellectual. It is just the kind of toy problem one can occupy himself with while ignoring reality and its more urgent issues. The trolley problem insulates the mind, like a brain in a vat. The modern intellectual tends to be too grandiose to see what is really going on. The trolley problem is a useful distraction from reality, just like the addict does drugs to avoid reality, the modern intellectual talks about the trolley problem. It is the perfect toy, harmless, so hypothetical that it wouldn't offend anyone. Something safe to talk aboutand therefore meaningless, safely dodging any real problem and any real insight. The modern intellectual is full of shame, fear and

infantile in his thinking. His thinking is either conformist pretension or parasitic complexity. Long gone are the days of the Einsteins, Feynmans and Hannah Arendts. Freedom is something they despise and fear. Maybe the real quality thinkers just aren't recognised, shunned or censored. I doubt that a genuine mind survives for long in modern academia. Would not cognitive dissonance disable him? The modern recognized academic, like all parts of stateship, tends to be sadistic. What is true academia? It is inherently independent, free and privately, voluntarily funded.

In one thing I'm really ahead of my mother. In what? In my ability to tolerate shame. Even narcissists and psychopaths can experience injustice. Still, there are kinds of unspeakably evil people that you simply can't have anywhere close to you. In some of the most severe cases I suspect it being genetic, although I don't know for sure. People that are not moral at all, but use people purely instrumentally, as objects. This goes to such levels where I would say that it is inhumane. Maybe something that is inherited by Denisovans or Neanderthals that was murderous, something that in its nature makes human communion and cooperation impossible, something pre societal. And most people are fooled by it, at least initially. Trust your gut feelings and your reality testing. Save yourself, if needed with violence, intelligence and other healthy bonds. These are the cases where morality can be right to kill. These people test your reality testing the most and starkly reveal human naiveties. Evil is the greatest critic. Good luck. The feelings of morality are only this strong, because the corresponding evil is at least equally insidious, forceful and pernicious. Often times morality fails and then evil wins. Sometimes there is too much morality and sometimes there is no morality where it would be needed. Morality can not simply be a blind feeling or it falls short (or becomes

grandiose, itself an evil). Morality needs to become rational, explicit, logical and practical in addition to being emotional. Thus laws and courts were created, but they too have their potential faults. It was this: That morality can not be allowed to be grandiose, yet it is crucial to have it. Nobody like the psychopath Marx showed the IMBALANCE in the moral feeling. Maybe justice as a concept is superior to morality, or more plain: cause and effect. Reparations and revenge (formalized into Recht). What did Frank van Dun write on this? Or is law alone, just cold, like rationality alone is just cold without its encompassing emotions? After all, Morality and Law can only express themselves as incentives and are motivated inherently by incentives (evolution). Equally if a person is being fooled by evil, perceives it as consonant and agreeable, then he also has a lack in his own conception of good. This does not change who is to blame, but it reveals your vulnerability, inconsistency and weakness. It betrays a lack of selfreflection, a youthfulness lacking wisdom or experience. Equally too much vigilance can also hinder life. What is fitting? Well, maybe morality also is like an evolutionary space, where multiple morality life forms can exist. Morality is majorly dependent on the current surrounding society. Some authoritarian societies have severely restricted morality and poor outcomes (north Korea). Some have overtly liberal and dominating morality (the oppressive woke movement) or fake morality, like in pretty much any communistic society. Morality can only emerge as much as it is for life and consonant to the major incentive and power structures. Morality is similar to honorableness, both are part of reputation. Reputation is that which one human considers good or bad in another. Each possible relationship creates 2 reputations and is thus very particular. Real free market money (not government fiat money) is an attempt at making reputation objec-

tive, finite and exchangeable between arbitrary individuals. Of course it is not a substitute for real reputation, but a very useful addition. Is there the possibility for a more closely aligned reputation mechanism? A money that simultaneously would fulfill the role of morality, at least more closely attempt it? Hmm. Anyways volitious individual participation could somewhat decide its worth (or unworthiness), but not conclude its real fittingness. But maybe something like classical gold money is fully sufficient. HMMMM.

Everybody is biased, including me. Hopefully the bias goes in a good direction. Everybody thinks he himself is right in what he thinks, yet there are many disagreements between people. This means that there must be many false opinions and beliefs.

Eigentlich kann der Mensch sich gar kein anderes Universum vorstellen. Alle seine Gedanken und Vorstellungen sind Ergebnis dieses Seienden. Auch die Fantasie ist ein Seiendes.

The midpoint between right and wrong is usually also wrong. If you're not with us you're against us is false. Ceasing interaction is not aggressive, but saying somebody ceasing interaction is against them is an aggressive stance. Evil perverts all kinds of formerly good values and meanings. If you're not with us you're against us implicitly states that "your freedom from us is evil. It wants to make you a slave to them by coercion which would be masked as self defense. So it also contains a perpetrator-victim reversal and a gaslighting hiding of its true aggressivity. And because there is so much malice and perversion inside the statement "If you're not with us you're against us", most people get broken by it one way or another, at least initially. In day to day speech there is no time to deeply analyze individual statements. Only your intuition and gut feeling can help you there.

Is faith in something a granting it grandiosity (in your

mind)?

Im also quite some obsessive, compulsive ritualized. Otherwise I am fearful.

It doesnt happen often, but it is good to see people that are smarter than me.

If you take all the government regulations, restrictions, compulsions, reductions of freedom, taxes and money inflation, etc. combined, then there really isnt much difference anymore to actual slavery. In fact certain kinds of historical slavery had better conditions. The average modern man is not free, he is borderline enslaved and he is being gaslight by legacy media to believe himself free. Cognitive dissonance haunts him and often times he develops pathology in order to adapt. Mass mental pathology is the consequence. In the face of such crass boundary violation, gaslighting and coercion, narcissistic grandiosity becomes an adaptive trait. In this setting narcissism becomes life affirming, a taking back of autonomy. Reason and trust break down. The narcissist is the perfect actor in the sick play. Society disintegrates or at least reduces in scope, because it is not voluntary win-win interaction anymore. Society becomes cold, unempathic and fake. The public self becomes the fake self and the true self becomes hidden (the japanese even have specific words for these). Authenticity recedes because authenticity is vulnerable. Words become power plays and continually lose their value. Communication becomes narrow, restricted and surface level. Liferetreats into narrow spaces. Rumination and secret creativity emerge. The seeds for a new, new kinds of society are made. Attention and legitimacy shift, always keeping or at least trying to keep the core values of life. Some level of chaos and new opportunity emerges. New life is at halt and in vigilance, waiting and seeking new and secure opportunities, something that contains its ideals. New ideas are

needed and many will emerge, already are emerging. Fakness exceedingly gets rejected and together with it the old apollinian. The new apollinian is in all peoples question. There MUST always be an apollinian. Only the insane dar to question the current apollinian, but in a pathological society insanity becomes increasingly useful and adaptive. Insanity is self-defense (see for example Vaknins video on the unpredictability of the Insane person). In a good society conformism pays, in an insane society insanity pays. The current apollinian defines sanity, right and wrong. The insane therefore is against the current apollinian, against current society. A bad society inevitably produces its own downfall, one way or another. A bad society is ill and ironically the insane citizen, the insane politician, the insane judge, etc. will be its cure. It self destructs. Self destructiveness is the sign of a bad society. A bad society is like a masochistic covert narcissist in this sense, like me. Further, like me, it helps everybody but not itself.

It seems that one never can know that something is a coincidence. It could also be fate! Or some unknown actor or cause. I dont know if you can prove or reason about the existence of randomness. Saying something is random is saying that the outcome is meaningless, but this is not true. Maybe it is just unknown. And then if you seemingly cant know something (what you previously called random) you would call that it is unknown or unknowable. The unknowable is the absolute. Unknowable is like what they call undecidable, like for example the halting problem in computing or quantum wave probability collapses on observation. But now Im speaking so coldly! The heart hedges its bets on certain outcomes and it is cruel if something fails catastrophically. You are always at the mercy of the dionysian.

Anon: In art, style is more important than realism."Ye

boi. Another description for style is: imbued meaning.

A good and a bad experience do not neutralize each other.
Wenn du dich schützen musst, dann schütze dich.

In some sense I am there, but then also not really there
and that is cruel.

Yeah, libido dominates and conquers.

It is very interesting to see 2 different psychopaths talk to each other. One being incognito and pretending naive and stupidity. Very interesting, fishing for advice on how to better abuse while portraying himself a victim. Interesting how psychopaths are seemingly the most innocent, charming and naive people. But really, in the face of psychopaths the narcissist is virtuous.

Why are normal people fascinated by evil? Because it is useful to learn about it. In extreme cases it could end up saving your life. Criminology shows, detective shows, war movies etc. are plays in fantasy that help prepare and prevent the reality of it. In entertainment sex and violence sells, because these are (simulated) high stakes and these are interesting in the high risk as well as high reward dimensions. None the less, media should be wholesome, but there is definitely also a demand for the more extreme stories. Stories in videos and videogames as simulated experience (similar Jordan Peterson).

Asking 4chan:

"

> unironically > > literally > > retarded nigger faggot

Ironical illiterates write on this website. They stole all my good phrases and are misusing them now.

> git gud > > gaymer

Maybe writing words is about the impression first. Style becomes meaning and everybody becomes an edgelord. But if everybody is an edgelord, nobody is an edgelord. Whats

the game?

The 4chan mind is floating on irony. Irony is the rhetorical tool to elicit a response, going over the top or downplaying heavily: a bait.

But if everything is a bait, then we have lost the base, we became debased. In an attempt to regain touch, words like unironically and literally are used. But aren't they just a stopgap? A desperate attempt to contain the irony, the satire?

Maybe 4chan is just about having a good time. And be it in fantasy or reality, the emotional response itself creates a base. Something not nailed down, something free and selfish. An experience of the libido unencumbered. If there is no identity, then there also isn't any shame.

4chan doesn't give an answer. The psychopathic narcissist degrades me.

I can not fully describe it, it is beyond me.

Only the chaotic is really free. The apollinian is always that which is not free. Death is the only thing fully free. Living is an apollinian impulse and impetus.

In der Herde, in der Menge ist der Mensch sicherer, üblicherweise. Natürlich entwickelt der Mensch da einen Herdeninstinkt. Manchmal ist er aber zu viel und da wird dem Menschen selbst der Herdeninstinkt gefährlich und schädlich. Also lernt auch noch der Herdeninstinkt, dass er dem Leben untergeordnet ist. Er verliert seine Überheblichkeit, zwangsläufig. Was bedeutet also Gemeinschaft zwischen Vereinzelung und Massendiktatur? Beide Extreme sind der Tod und dazwischen ist das Leben.

Anon I diagnose you with the emo phase. Pain itself is pro life. You're just misinterpreting pain. Pain is an incentive, invented by your body and evolution, to preserve the body and mind. Yes antinatalism is like a teenager emo pha-

se. It seems to be more about getting attention and a kind of modern western perversion of the mind. Pretty much everything in your body is pro natalism. Evolution, sexual desire, love, friendship, social bonding, communicating, etc. Even your perception of pain and suffering is natalist, because it helps you avoid pain and suffering. It is an incentive supporting your life and only because your selfperception of your mind has been so high, above your body and grandiose towards your body, have you been confused into antinatalism. Your body is prior to your mind. Dont you get that this must be a win-win relationship?

There is more wisdom in your body than in your deepest philosophy."— Friedrich Nietzsche, Thus Spoke Zarathustra. Yeah thats right, have sex. But also, that doesnt mean that thinking and your mind are useless, its just that they have their own purpose. They are part of your body.

People talking about "10 steps ahead"wow so smart. In reality you just need to be one step ahead (if there is such a thing), but this is not deterministically possible.

Masochistic covert narcissism (like me) is probably severely underdiagnosed. I am self diagnosed.

Mental illness gives you access to certain kinds of preverbal thinking, allowing for making novel association. Preverbal also means developmentally arrested. Baby language.

4chan is largely dumb and pathetic. Sometimes you discover something interesting on it.

Science and math has been sullied and denigrated by government spending.

Just a reminder: Any government spending is inefficient and takes money away from those that deserve it. The poorest person in capitalism is richer than the average communist slave.

Screaming and coercing your point doesn't make you any

more right. It just shows that your real point seems to be: Might makes right."

People tend to idealize Geniuses. Geniuses are fallible and vulnerable like everybody else. They are neither gods nor demons. They also need to shit in the toilet, or shit their pants if they dont reach the toilet in time.

Do you think it is immoral to use the "welfarestate to give you money? Does it corrupt your character? Or is it all just a trap, to make you infantile and obedient. Ever dependent and weak. This is it. The overly dependent pays with his self respect until he hates himself. He also is looked down on by others. Anon: The world doesn't love you, but also doesn't hate you."

Das apollinische, das ist der Stand. Der Anstand, die Umstände, das Standhafte, das Ständige, der Standard und die Standarte, das Zuständige, das Verständige und der Verstand, die Stellung und das Stehende. Und manchmal auch des Abgestandene und neu Auferstehende. Aber es ist da nur der unbewegliche Teil und die Zeit selbst ist stets Zustandsveränderung. Unanständig ist sie. Welche Weisheit doch in den alten Ständen auch war, sie hat nicht überdauert. Lediglich etwas Wort und Gefühl haben wir geerbt. Man merkt es aber in den Standesworten welch Zauber und welche Macht darinnen sind. Eine Zerstörung der Welt die einen geradezu Wahnsinnig werden lässt. Das ist wohl die größte Demütigung vor diesen zersplitterten Sinnen zu stehen. Es war die Lebendigkeit des Mittelalters die Europa einst groß machte, die 1000 Fürstentümer, Stände und Ritterburgen. Da wo die Sinne noch in die Welt passten. Und heute? Massenmenschen und still Leiden. Ich sag es euch klar: Lieber Arbeite ich, bin ich treu, einem erfolgreichen Unternehmer, als Schoßhund und Sklave der Politik. Ein Hund wird schwer zum Wolf, so ist er verdammt Schoßhund zu sein. Aber schau und das ist

das Geheimnis: nicht mal Schoßhundsein ist, war umsonst. Und ist Stand nichts anderes als Reputation und Meinung? Sind diese Konzepte denn nicht sowieso dem Menschen unentbehrlich, ob er sich darüber nun bewusst ist oder nicht. Gibt es vielleicht doch noch mal einen Platz an der Sonne für diese schon als alt und vergangen geglaubten Glauben? Oder ist der Messenmensch schlicht zu stark, der Mensch selber zum Masochist geworden? Ist Moral selbst nicht auch masochistisch? Ein sich selbst zurücknehmen, sei es auch zu gunsten der eigenen Gene. Die eigenen Gene sind ja schließlich nicht das eigene Bewusstsein, dieses was sich zu deren gunsten verausgabt. Ach, welches Geheimnis steckt noch in Selbstwiderlegung und Selbstleugnung. Und ist Furcht selbst nicht auch eine Art des Masochismus, ein selbst verleugnendes?

Wenn also ein Psychopath spricht, zu wem spricht er da? Zum Masochisten im Mensch, nur der Maschist der hört ihn. Denn ein Psychopath spricht zu deiner Furcht (Vaknin) und wohl auch zu deiner Moral. Aus des Psychopathen sicht: Wenn Liebe (in der Kindheit) erfolglos war, dann eben Furcht (Vaknin on Psychopaths). Masochismus ist eine Art Selbstbeherrschung. Every kind of self reflection is self humiliation and therefore masochistic. Self awareness is masochistic. Feeling bad as such is masochistic. Any selfimposed disincentive is masochistic, even pain and suffering. Humility is conscious masochism.

I cant speak russian, but look at this beautiful song: For the last time. The russo-ukraining war. Its just sad.

Taxation destroys generosity.

In a sense the masochist acts without acting (similar Taoist concept). Because if he really acts he is afraid of self destruction. All of my action has been a kind of avoiding real action. The bad object is associated with real action. Acti-

on therefore can not allowed to be initiated by the self. The only possible action is indirect, through proxy or prompted by the outside, by someone else. The direct way to action becomes forgotten. This is similar to empathy or fear. The empath is determined by others interests, the fearful is determined by outside scary beings and events. All these are a loss of agency. So also the masochist has a loss of true agency. If agency and self efficaciousness is the bad object, the the only possible action is non action. Non-action gets elevated to the highest place, it becomes the good object, similar to taoism, Lao-tse: The sage acts by doing nothing. There is a kind of fantastical omnipotence in this non-action. It is one expression of the masochistic covert narcissists grandiosity. The libidinal way is to have a desire and directly fulfill it. The thanatos way is to have a desire and not fulfill it. This is self destructive. But here is also nuance. Some desires fulfillment would have bad consequences. A lack of restraint, acting on impulse is brutish. Everybody has desires, but he is limited by reality. The (Freuds) ID gets frustrated and the wound carried by this frustration is a death drive, something masochistic. The masochistic reduces the IDs boundless desire. The masochistic is the first conformance to reality. Is the sum of all masochistic tendencies equal to Freuds Ego? So is Masochism a remembering of strong Frustration and then subsequent avoidance of it, a redirection and limitation of libido, in order to avoid the frustration, avoid the bad object. A strong prejudice against something.

Humility is masochistic practice.

What is it then if your very intentionality is subverted?

Now, isn't yang libido and isn't yin destrudo? If that is the case, then the psychopath represents an extreme of the yang force, while the masochist represents an extreme of the yin force. Yang is also seen as male and yin as female. Action

is yang, contemplation is yin. Not acting and still having a desire is contemplating, keeping inside, self restriction. But in the end a thing also begets its opposite, at some point, somehow. It makes sense that one would only contemplate if he has his desires unsatisfied, on the inside. Satisfied desires dont need further contemplation, they are at rest. Dissatisfaction begets rumination. I am very dissatisfied. Frustration begets aggression and internalized aggression breaks down mental and conceptual boundaries. This sage wages war inside himself and he is desperate. Grandiosity inside, caged. A scintillating mind, a shimmering mind, a brilliant mind must hate itself.

Isn't ignorance unrestrained libido? And if it is successful, doesnt it prove it being right, despite the ignorance. Isn't inconsequential ignorance no ignorance at all? Now I cannot even stop it, if I say Im wrong. What a great folly. These thoughts have great consequences in my own mind.

If there is an digital goodness, then there also will be digital evil. Soke great shadow is on the horizon.

Masochistic covert narcissism is very well captured in Mitskis - Washing Machine Heart song. This is personal, it touches my "holy grounds", my grandiosity.

But is my humility even real humility? If I dont take care of myself, is it real humility? I need more money. I need to earn money. Even though it is corrupt fiat money, I need to use it because it works well enough. It is humiliating to be part of a corrupt system, but I need to serve myself, live.

It seems like I cannot escape it. Self trashing. How then can I expect the state, to not trash his own citizens?

The internet as a whole is fantastical.

Virtue signaling, genuine or virtue falseflagging?

If you disrespect private property, youll always find out. No matter if small or large scale. Reality gets your ass, as

Ayn Rand already noticed.

My life is more important than satisfaction."

Ein gelernter Philosoph ist selten wild. Er ist dann aber doch oft im versteckten wild. Extremely dry and frustrated. Philosophy should not be torture. But sometimes even that shit is useful.

The more Im reaching mental healthy, the less I become aware of human nature, because it stands out less. I become efficiently ignorant.

Good people dont and wont use a lack of assertiveness against you.

Vera F. Birkenbihl war weiße.

Anon: "You have to unravel every single lie within your mind and soul though.Änon: "but I know that I will find the path soon because all who genuinely seek are sought out in-turn."

Can you invent new emotions? Only if they are really fitting well.

On the other hand, having less intelligence must be very frustrating. Learning more slowly and haveing a lower absolute limit on intellect, the world must be more hostile. Everybody is forced to find his niche in reality.

But what is Philosophy? That which always seeks new wisdom? If you are satisfied, do you cease to be a philosopher. But if a philosopher is always seeking, then he must always consider his knowledge and insight defective. How could you even survive that way? A beggar maybe. A low person. An ever bewildered person. And doesnt the world become strange to him? Seeking but not finding, what is it? What is it called if you repeat the same actions and still hope for another outcome? Insanity. The philosopher is insane. He doesnt see sanity in that which already is. There is always something off, something bad. But what if the philosopher

is tired? But will it go on? Will my opinions change again, will I slaughter my safety bringing beliefs on the altar of my ignorance. Safety nowhere, no anchor, no haven. No peace. Another way of looking at this is, that I am never satisfied, always desiring. Perceived flaw IS desire. But now Im feeling nauses even. My appetite is gone. Find the most peaceful way possible. And let the spirit of peace be your guidance. Peace is better than desire. Wrong desires lead you astray. Weak and confused.

Machiavellianism is coercive, striving for power while disregarding other peoples best interests. But as selfdefense you can argue that machiavellianism is justified. Machiavellianism is a kind of social technology. So defensive machiavellianism is justified. loose-loose. I meant it like a kind of defensive machiavellianism. So not to take over power, but to defend against illegitimate power. For example, you obviously cant comply your way out of a dictatorship. It isn't obvious if you have a very high trust, voluntary society for a long period. At some point even self defense can get confused for outright aggression. So ability to deceive and manipulate are like weapons. They are not bad in themselves, but depending on their usage can be good or bad.

Can there be kind deception? Yes for example: A nazi officer pretends to search for jews hidden in a household. He lies about really searching them. Meanwhile the house owners also lie about there not being jews in it. Both parties lie and covertly agree on a kind of goodness. Lieing can only be good in bad circumstances. This kind of lieing is enabled by having a fake personality and it is widespread. This means that bad circumstances are wide spread. Trust founded in reality is necessary for telling the truth. Sometimes it is worth it to lie and sometimes it is worth telling the truth. This seems to be the human condition. The pretension of the

public to be true is a lie in itself. A lie that is accepted in so far as it is useful. Human communication rests on intricate tit-for-tat. And sometimes honesty cuts through all the lies. Lies, like all evil, have their expiration date attached to them. Will truth prevail? Well, truth is difficult. It is that which is real and even if truth is intended, it isn't always reached. None the less, only truth is ultimately worthy. Are my opinions on truth revealing myself?

Is wilderness or discipline more attractive? It can't be decided.

But if you're lying to me you lose my trust either way. Lies are utterly unsustainable. And why the fuck would you lie to children. You don't need to intentionally scare them, but if you are a lying faggot, then you harm them instead. Why would you want to project a fake world onto your children and make them either naive or overly scared? Why the fuck would you want to undermine your children's reality testing? You piece of shit. Enjoy your inevitable downfall. If you can not face reality, then what are you doing in it? Shitting yourself, and making your children eat shit? Evil will haunt you. Something about media in the years before 2020 is perniciously evil. Further there are some people, especially the older generations, that are hopelessly tainted. I wish them the best, they will need it. This is the kind of stuff that destroys societies, kills stupefies and kills millions. Karl Marx the bastard. Evil teacher, because evil gives the bitterest and harshest lessons. If you survive. Empathy perverted, parasitized. A hollow grin, unspeakable horror. Oh this horror, how could I bear it.

The reversal of decency (fittingness) is perversion.

Just like the use of weapons, machiavellianism is very evil. Only self-defense from great dangers could warrant their use. See that you don't become a monster when fighting monsters.

For many narcissists and psychopaths this has been too late.

He outplayed me, just like he wanted ;)

In an authoritarian system the author is already predetermined and individual people lose the ability to write their individual life stories. Their ability to fit into reality gets diminished. In an authoritarian society individuals can't individuate and therefore they stay infantile. Individuation is separation and how do you separate from some state that is: Everything within the state and nothing OUTSIDE of it. This dictum precludes individuation. The state keeps the people infantile and prevents them from growing up. An adult writes his own life story, he defines, seeks and discovers his own meaning.

If you feel insecure on the inside, you will always seek security on the outside, but it won't satisfy you. Inverted Narcissism? The altruist loving the egoist? A broken heart? Vulnerable but also vengeful, together with an altruistic public mask. Toxic empathy. He incites hatred against himself, or at least small revenges. The toxicity is an expression of his loss of self, his revenge for not being himself. It's a covert relief. It's not openly hostile, but covertly toxic empathy. If he doesn't get this he is on edge and uneasy. The inverted narcissist definitely is also somewhat masochistic. He casts curses (parasitic, consuming thoughts). This is really fucked up and makes me feel uneasy. In this house we do not listen to the prophecy. "He likes witches. He even revealed my nature unconsciously. Only the parasite realizes that a prophecy is in itself a form of mental parasitism, a memetic principle. Even parasites can have win-win interactions, but it's really rare and special purpose. But parasitism, if it really violates win-win, is unsustainable. Once the parasite has a host, he takes away something, but in this case, he also gives something in return. By being a parasite on Narcissists, he

is ironically good again in broader reality. There is empathy and psychopathy as opposites, and narcissism and inverted narcissism as kinds of opposites. There is definitely more to learn here. What is the exact difference between my masochistic covert narcissism and inverted narcissism? There is some great sadness. It is nasty and unapologetic about it. Grandiosity on being nasty. He scares me. So in order to feel safe one must have his grandiosity unchallenged. If grandiosity is challenged, there is conflict. If grandiosity is granted, there is peace. Since I'm an antinarcissist, I exert Thanatos, deescalation. On the other hand, his nastiness escalates in a covert way. Do I lose to him? No, between me and him there actually is a conflict, our domains of grandiosity overlap too much. It is a mutually covert conflict. While he asserts his way in nastiness, I assert myself in the ideational space, like me writing this here. My ideational space is my grandiosity and my overwriting of other people's boundaries. I am bad and he is nasty, but this is another way of me devaluing him. I devalue by capturing inside an idea. Narcissistic snapshotting. Capturing you inside an idea robs you of your individuality and autonomy. It declares you static and manipulable. The ideas I impose on things are like mental parasites on them, while the inverted narcissist is more close to insert himself as actual parasite. I am more removed, more covert and here again I am putting myself above him: 'I am more devious than you' is the message. Grandiosity clash. I make the encounter mental and purely in my mind and in this way remove him from the place of my grandiosity. Grandiosity can not be allowed to be challenged, grandiosity is the domain of my libido. There everything is in my picture, self replication, me and in my exclusive power. Being last on making a judgement on what is "good, empathic and honorable" is a way of claiming power. Grandiosity enforced.

Just like I did now.