# A Reproduction of Dependency Facade: The Coupling and Conflicts between Android Framework and Its Customization

This package includes the artifact associated with the article title "Dependency Facade: The Coupling and Conflicts between Android Framework and Its Customization". The content of the package includes the tool, scripts and experimental data used in the study.

#### **Overview**

Section I: README	1
I-I: Content of this artifact package	1
I-II: Explore the artifact	2
Section II: REQUIREMENTS	. 5
Section III: INSTALL(Reproduce the artifact)	. 6
Section IV: STATUS(badges the authors are applying for)	. 8

### **Section I: README**

#### I-I: Content of this artifact package

The artifact folder we shared is named **DepFCD\_AE**, it includes the artifacts that correspond to results presented in our paper, and it also includes reusable tools/scripts for similar future studies that we intent to share publicly.

The **DepFCD\_AE** folder is located online: <a href="https://github.com/xjtu-enre/DepFCD">https://github.com/xjtu-enre/DepFCD</a>

Once the **DepFCD\_AE.zip** file is downloaded, unpack it. Then the following structure should be seen:

- Method (DepFCD tool)
  - o enre\_java.jar
  - o dep\_facade.exe

- o ref\_tool
- Scripts
  - Setup\_scripts
  - RQ\_scripts
- Data
  - Methodology
  - o Setup
  - o Results
- Document
  - o README.docx
  - o INSTALL.docx
  - **OLICENSE**
  - REQUIREMENTS.docxS
  - STATUS.docx
- icse2023main-p2167.pdf (the research paper submitted and accepted)

The **method**, **scrpits** and **data** folders include the analysis tool and our data, respectively. Due to their large sizes, we could not include (1) all the json files, nor (2) git log for all versions of projects. Also, since our entire study took almost a year to finish, for this artifact evaluation, it is not feasible to reproduce all the original traces (for entity ownership identification) either, nor is it feasible to reproduce all the installation logs (for the merge points and conflicts reproduction). However, to facilitate this artifact evaluation and show the reusability of our utilities, we provided all the experimentation scripts, and gave instructions on how to use them against simple samples (see the 'Partial reproduction' section below).

#### I-II: Explore the artifact

First, clone the source code (i.e. '/framework/base' of LineageOS), then explore as follows

Most of the following steps concern only browsing original inputs to the study, intermediate files produced, raw study results, and final results reported in research paper. We provide complete instructions to reproduce the study and some intermediate results to facilitate reproduction due to the total time cost of the study. Our study needed to clone 5 open-source projects on 13 different versions(each for a different Android version); more critically, it needed to analyze 13 'framework/base' and run each individual code for 10 minutes by enre-java.jar and nearly 1 days by dep\_facade.exe, then repeat this process for each projects. The trace storage space was over 100GB.

1. Check the study tools (the 'Method' folder)

For the interface-level dependencies, we employ the **enre\_java.jar** (<a href="https://github.com/xjtu-enre/ENRE-java">https://github.com/xjtu-enre/ENRE-java</a>) to extract the entities and dependencies from the source code. For the intrusion-level dependencies, we provide **dep\_facade.exe** -- a dependency facade analysis tool to detect entities' ownership and intrusive operation in the

dependency graph. In this tool, we integrated the tool RefactoringMiner under /ref\_tool/lib (https://github.com/tsantalis/RefactoringMiner)

#### 2. Check the analysis scripts (the 'Scripts' folder)

For the merge points and conflicts, we use the following scripts to get merge points and conflicts. You can refer to <a href="https://zenodo.org/record/6272071#.Yxg\_OWhBw\_E">https://zenodo.org/record/6272071#.Yxg\_OWhBw\_E</a> for more information.

For the analysis results of RQ3 and RQ4, we use the following scripts to integrate the data we obtained above.

#### 3. Check the study data (the 'Data' folder)

The following list provides a mapping between the generated results and parts in the research paper, along with the note on how the figure/table was produced.

#### • Methodology (The detection of Dependency facade)

- o Entity and Dependency Extraction
  - <project name>-<version>-out.json which contains the corresponding dependency graph.
- Entity Ownership Identification
  - all\_base\_commits.csv the evolution history of all commits of the upstream AOSP frameworks/base
  - project name>-<version>
    - blame\_dict.csv git blame detection result of the current project selected merge node
    - accompany\_commits.csv information of current project version's commit history
    - base\_commits.csv information of merge point which downstream project version merging upstream AOSP
    - old\_base\_commits.csv commit history of current project version which belongs to upstream AOSP old version
    - only\_accompany\_commits.csv commit history of current project version which belongs to downstream project
    - all\_entities.csv all entities and commits that contributed to specific entity information of files that contains an intrusive modification of the downstream project
    - final\_ownership.csv the entity ownership detection result below the 'File' level in the project
    - facade.json the detection result of dependency facade of current project version

#### o Intrusive Operation Identification

- project name>-<version>
  - access\_modify\_entities.csv The number of entities modified by accessibility
  - final\_modify\_entities.csv The number of entities modified by 'final'
  - annotation modify entities.csv

- add\_import.json The num of newly-added statements of 'import'
- inner\_extensive\_class\_entities.csv The num of newly added inner classes in the native class
- parent\_class\_modify\_entities.csv The number of classes modified by the parent class('inherit')
- parent\_interface\_modify\_entities.csv The number of classes modified by the parent interface('interface')
- class\_var\_extensive\_entities.csv The number of newly added class field
- class var modify entities.csv The number of modified class field
- method\_var\_modify\_entities.csv The number of modified variables in the method
- param\_modify\_entities.csv The number of methods modified by parameters
- return\_type\_modify\_entities.csv The number of methods modified by return type
- refactor\_entities.csv The number of refactoring entities (rename, extract, and others)

#### Restriction Level Labeling

- matching.xlsx the matching statistics of non-SDK restriction files to the dependency graph which is provided by ENRE
- hiddenapi-flags-<project name>-<version>.csv the 'hiddenapi-flags.csv' of downstream LineageOS 18.1 and 19.1, upstream AOSP 11 and 12.

#### Set up

- Subject and Version Collection (TABLE I)
  - /project name>-<version>-merge.csv the merge points of the current downstream
    project version which contains merge commit, both upstream and downstream's
    parent commits and branches.
- Merge Conflict Collection (TABLE I)
  - <project name>-<version>-merge.csv the conflict details of the current downstream project version which contains merge nodes, conflict files quantity, conflict java files quantity, and conflict blocks LOC.

#### Results

- o RQ1: How do the downstream customizations rely on the upstream Android through interface-level dependencies?
  - entity ownership distribution (TABLE III)
    - entity-ownership.xlsx the final collection of all project versions' entity ownership detection results
    - <project name>-<version>
      - final\_ownership\_count.csv the number of each kind of entity combined with the ownership detection result of the current project version
  - facade size measurements (Fig. 4)
    - facade.xlsx the collection of all downstream project versions' dependency facade detection results
    - <project name>-<version>
      - facade\_base\_info\_count.csv The number of entities and dependencies appearing in the dependency facade

- facade\_relation\_info\_count.csv The number of each kind of dependency appearing in the dependency facade
- facade\_file\_filter.csv The number of each kind of entity appearing in the dependency facade which is counted in files
- interface-level dependencies (D->U) (TABLE II)
  - interface-level dep.xlsx the collection of all downstream project versions' interface-level dependencies facade detection results
  - <project name>-<version>
    - interface\_level\_facade\_d2u.csv The number of each kind of dependency appearing in the dependency facade(D->U)
- o RQ2: How do the downstream customizations rely on the upstream Android through intrusion-level dependencies?
  - intrusive operations (TABLE IV)
    - intrusive-type.xlsx The collection of different kinds of intrusive modifications quantity for all project version
    - <project name>-<version>
      - o intrusive\_count.csv The quantity of each kind of intrusive modification
      - intrusive\_file\_count.csv The quantity of each kind of intrusive modification which is counted in files
  - reverse dependencies (TABLE V)
    - <project name>-<version>
      - interface\_level\_facade\_u2d.csv The number of each kind of dependency appearing in the dependency facade(U->D)
- o RQ3: How do the downstream customizations adapt to the dependency constraint imposed by the upstream Android?
  - facade\_hidden\_filter\_lineage\_18.1.csv the usage of hidden on the dependency facade of lineage 18.1 (TABLE VI)
  - facade\_hidden\_filter\_lineage\_19.1.csv the usage of hidden on the dependency facade of lineage 19.1 (TABLE VI)
  - hidden-usage.xlsx the summary of usage of hidden for both LineageOS and IndustrialX (TABLE VI)
  - hiddenapi-flags-change.xlsx the change of hidden flags during version evolution.
     (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8)
  - hiddenapi-matching.xlsx the matching between hidden flags and dependency graph (THREATS TO VALIDIT)
- o RQ4: How do merge conflicts occur on the dependency facade between downstream customizations and the upstream Android?
  - selected-conf-block.docx the selected conflict blocks details. (TABLE VIII)

## **Section II: REQUIREMENTSS**

Due to the large size of the AOSP and its customizations, the memory heap of the machine is better to over 30G. Our artifacts have been tested with the following environment settings and tools/libraries (i.e., the configurations on a clean machine):

- Java 11 or higher
- Python 3.7(+)
- Python3 packages: Gitpython
- latest version of Git
- latest version of pip3
- All the libraries for dep\_facade.exe as listed in Method\ref\_tool\lib

## Section III: INSTALL(Reproduce the artifact)

As explained above, fully reproducing all the results presented in the research paper would not be feasible with respect to the time for this artifact evaluation. However, to validate that the study tools and data actually worked to enable our full study and to show the reusability of our utilities, we prepared a simply way for reproduction.

A very basic usage example for reproduction means: reproducing our study on one version of projects (i.e. LineageOS-18.1) but <u>covering the complete study process</u> (i.e., from intermediate data to final results). We provide detailed instructions to prepare an executable environment, install the tool and how to test it with example.

- 1. Prepare executable environment
- 1) JDK environment:

Please download 11(+) JDK package at the official site:

https://www.oracle.com/in/java/technologies/javase/jdk11-archive-downloads.html

Then follow the official installation guide to set PATH:

https://docs.oracle.com/en/java/javase/19/install/overview-jdk-installation.html#GUID-867 7A77F-231A-40F7-98B9-1FD0B48C346A

2) Python environment:

Please download 3.7(+) python at the official site:

https://www.python.org/downloads/

3) Upgrade pip to latest version

Run command at console: pip3 install --upgrade pip

4) Install Git

Please follow the official guide to install Git:

https://git-scm.com/book/en/v2/Getting-Started-Installing-Git

5) Install Gitpython:

Run command at console: pip3 install Gitpython

2. Install DepFCD

DepFCD is an executable file which is located under the 'Method' folder after unpacking our artifact. The detailed instructions to use it are provided below.

- 3. Prepare test data
- 1) Clone corresponding customized Android Frameworks into directory platforms.

To clone Android /framework/base, do:

git clone <a href="https://android.googlesource.com/platform/frameworks/base">https://android.googlesource.com/platform/frameworks/base</a>

To clone LineageOS /framework/base, do:

git clone https://github.com/LineageOS/android\_frameworks\_base.git

2) Reset the version of the downstream and the corresponding upstream Android projects. For Example, for LineagsOS-18.1, the merge point is:

Merge point	LineageOS	LineageOS	Android	Android
	branch	parent	branch	parent
		commit		commit
7f7fc2562a95be630dbe609	lineage-18.1	cf0606c2f73	android11-gsi	49d8b986ddd
e8fb70383dcfada4f				

To reset the code status for Android, do:

git checkout android11-gsi

git reset --hard 49d8b986ddd

To reset the code status for LineageOS, do:

git checkout lineage-18.1

git reset --hard cf0606c2f73

4. Entity and Dependency Extraction

To execute `enre\_java.jar`, we need to specify source code path, hidden flag file path, do

java -jar enre\_java.jar java <local\_path\_to\_repo\LineageOS\base> lineage -hd
hiddenapi-flags.csv -o <output\_file\_name>

5. Entity Ownership and Intrusive Operation Identification (DepFCD)

We integrate the tool 'RefactoringMiner' including

- · `ref\_tool\lib\RefactoringMiner-2.2.0.jar` the refactoring infomation detection tool, there are some `jar` required for the tool in the `ref\_tool\lib` directory
- · `ref\_tool\bin\RefactoringMiner` a script that executes the tool `Refactoring Miner-2.2.0.jar` to generate refactoring information

To reproduce, we need to specify source code path, source dependencies graph json file path, do

```
dep_facade.exe -cc <local_path_to_downstream_repo\LineageOS\base> -ca
<local_path_to_upstream_repo\android\base> -c
<path_to_downstream_dependency_graph, i.e. D:\LineageOS\lineage.json> -a
<path_to_upstream_dependency_graph, i.e. D:\android\android.json> -ref
ref_tool\bin\RefactoringMiner -o <output_path>
```

During the detecting process, we need to acquire all commits history and retrieve the whole refactoring operation of the analyzed project, which is time-consuming. To be convenient, you can use the `all\_base\_commits.csv` and `ref.json` for LineageOS-18.1 which is the result of RefactoringMiner in the folder `data\Methodology\The detection of Dependency facade \Entity Ownership Identification`. The only thing we need to do is moving them to the `output\_path` which is specified in the above command.

The WARNING related to 'log4j' during execution can be ignorable.

## **Section IV: STATUS(badges the authors are applying for)**

We are claiming 3 badges (Functional, Reproduced, and Available) under the Artifacts Evaluated and Available sections.

- 1. Functional. The artifact is completely documented to record an inventory of the tool, the scripts, the results, and the documentation about how to exercise the artifact. The artifact is consistent because it contains DepFCD and the intermediate data used to produce the results in the technical paper. The artifact is complete because it includes all the components relevant to the technical paper. The artifact is exercisable because the scripts to produce the results can execute successfully using the commands provided in the documentation.
- 2. Available. We publish the whole data, scripts and tools which also includes processed data of close-source IndustrialX at <a href="https://github.com/DepFCD/DepFCD">https://github.com/DepFCD/DepFCD</a> and <a href="https://zenodo.org/record/7634084#.Y-nV2GhBw\_H">https://zenodo.org/record/7634084#.Y-nV2GhBw\_H</a> so that the community can reuse, improve, and extend it.