## MAT4003 Assignment 3

1

Given integer a coprime to  $561=3\cdot 11\cdot 17$ , it must be that  $3,11,17\not|a$  (otherwise  $(a,561)\geq 3\neq 1$ ). Then by Fermat's Little Theorem,

$$\begin{cases} a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ a^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11} , \\ a^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17} \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$\left\{egin{aligned} a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \ a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{11} \ a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{17} \end{aligned}
ight. .$$

Therefore by CRT,

$$a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$$
.  $\square$ 

2

Test  $x \equiv 0, 1, \dots, 6 \pmod{7}$  for the congruence

$$f(x)=x^2+4x+2\equiv 0\pmod{7}.$$

We obtain solutions

$$x_{1,2}\equiv 1,2.$$

Now f'(x)=2x+4.  $f'(x_1)\equiv -1\not\equiv 0\pmod 7$ . By Hensel's Lemma, there exists a unique t in least residue system modulo 7 s.t.

 $f(x_1 + 7t) \equiv 0 \pmod{49}$ , given by

$$t \equiv -rac{f(x_1)}{7}[f'(x_1)]^{-1} \equiv -(6^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}.$$

So t = 1, and that

$$f(8) \equiv 0 \pmod{49}.$$

Also,  $f'(x_2) \equiv 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ . So by Hensel's Lemma,  $x_2$  can be uniquely lifted to  $x_2 + 7t$  with

$$t \equiv -rac{f(x_2)}{7}[f'(x_2)]^{-1} \equiv (-2)(8^{-1}) \equiv 5 \pmod{7}.$$

So t = 5, and

$$f(37) \equiv 0 \pmod{49}.$$

Therefore the lifted solutions are given by:

$$x \equiv 8 \lor x \equiv 37 \pmod{49}$$
.

3

Note that  $1024 = 2^{10}$ , we consider the congruence

$$f(x) = x^3 - x - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

The solutions are  $x_1 \equiv 0, x_2 \equiv 1$ .

First consider  $x_1$ .  $f'(x)=3x^2-1$ ;  $f'(x_1)\equiv 1\pmod 2$ . Therefore by Hensel's Lemma,  $x_1$  can be uniquely lifted to  $x_1^{(2)}\equiv 0+(-\frac{-2}{2})\cdot 2\equiv 2$  modulo  $2^2$  s.t.  $f(x_1^{(2)})\equiv 0\pmod {2^2}$ .

Now  $f'(x_1^{(2)}) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ . Again we may uniquely lift it to  $x_1^{(3)} \equiv 2 + (-\frac{4}{4}) \cdot 2^2 \equiv 6 \mod 2^3$  s.t.  $f(x_1^{(3)}) \equiv 0 \pmod 2^3$ .

In general, if  $f(x_1^{(k)})\equiv 0\pmod{2^k}$ , and that  $x_1^{(k)}\equiv 0\pmod{2}$ , it follows that  $f'(x_1^{(k)})\equiv 3\cdot 0^2-1\equiv 1\not\equiv 0\pmod{2}$ . So by Hensel's Lemma  $x_1^{(k)}$  can be uniquely lifted to  $x_1^{(k+1)}\equiv x_1^{(k)}+t\cdot 2^k\pmod{2^{k+1}}$ . Notice that again  $x_1^{(k+1)}\equiv 0+t\cdot 2^k\equiv 0\pmod{2}$ . Using induction  $x_1^{(k+1)}$  can be further lifted to  $x_1^{(k+2)},x_1^{(k+3)}\dots$  indefinitely. Therefore  $x_1$  can be uniquely lifted to  $x_1^{(10)}$  s.t.  $f(x_1^{(10)})\equiv 0\pmod{1024}$ .

Now consider  $x_2$ . We set  $x_2=2t+1$ . Plugging in  $f(x)\equiv 0\pmod{2^2}$ , we have  $f(x_2)\equiv 6t+1-(2t+1)-2\equiv 4t-2\equiv 2\pmod{2^2}$ . Hence no odd number x satisfies congruence  $f(x)\equiv 0\pmod{2^2}$ . Consequently no odd number x can be a solution to  $f(x)\equiv 0\pmod{2^{10}}$ .

Therefore, there exists a unique  $x\equiv x_1^{(10)}$  that satisfies  $f(x)\equiv 0\pmod{2^{10}}$  .

## 4

Since the doors are all close initially, door x is open in the end if and only if the number of changes in the state of the door is  $\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ . But the number of changes in the state is exactly the number of Servants in  $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{100}\}$  whose indices divide x. In other words, door x is ultimately open if and only if

$$\sigma_0(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
.

Note that for a non-square x, every positive divisor, d, of x is paired with another distinct positive divisor x/d. Hence  $\sigma_0(x) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ . For a square x, every positive divisor is paired with another distinct divisor, except for  $d = \sqrt{x}$ , which is paired with itself. In this case  $\sigma_0(x) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ . Therefore, door x is ultimately open if and only if x is a perfect square, i.e.,

$$x = 1, 4, 9, \dots, 81, 100$$

Suppose  $m=p_1^{\alpha_1}\dots p_r^{\alpha_r}, n=p_1^{\beta_1}\dots p_r^{\beta_r}$  with  $\alpha,\beta\neq 0$  and p being distinct primes. Since (m,n)>1, we may suppose  $D:=\{d_1,d_2,\dots,d_i\}\subset\{p_1,\dots,p_r\}$  is the set of all primes that divide both m and n. Now

$$egin{aligned} rac{\sigma_k(m)\sigma_k(n)}{\sigma_k(mn)} &= rac{\prod_j \sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j})\sigma_k(p_j^{eta_j})}{\sigma_k(\prod_j p_j^{lpha_j+eta_j})} \ &= rac{\prod_{p_j
otin D}\sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j})\sigma_k(p_j^{eta_j})}{\prod_{p_j
otin D}\sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j+eta_j})} \cdot rac{\prod_{p_j\in D}\sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j})\sigma_k(p_j^{eta_j})}{\prod_{p_j\in D}\sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j+eta_j})} \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

where index j ranges over 1 to r. For all j s.t.  $p_j \notin D$ ,  $\min\{\alpha_j, \beta_j\} = 0$ . So  $\sigma_k(p_j^{\alpha_j+\beta_j}) = \sigma_k(p_j^{\max\{\alpha_j+\beta_j\}}) = \sigma_k(p_j^{\max\{\alpha_j+\beta_j\}}) \sigma_k(1) = \sigma_k(p_j^{\alpha_j}) \sigma_k(p_j^{\beta_j})$ . Thus the first fraction in (\*) vanishes:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\sigma_k(m)\sigma_k(n)}{\sigma_k(mn)} &= rac{\prod_{p_j \in D} \sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j})\sigma_k(p_j^{eta_j})}{\prod_{p_j \in D} \sigma_k(p_j^{lpha_j+eta_j})} \ &= rac{\prod_{p_j \in D} (\sum_{x=1}^{lpha_j} p_j^{xk} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{eta_j} p_j^{yk})}{\prod_{p_j \in D} \sum_{x=1}^{lpha_j+eta_j} p_j^{xk}} \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Now, each term in  $\sum_{x=1}^{\alpha_j+\beta_j} p_j^{xk}$  can be found in expansion of  $\sum_{x=1}^{\alpha_j} p_j^{xk} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{\beta_j} p_j^{yk}$  (set y=1 and let x ranges over 1 to  $\alpha_j$ ; then fix x at  $\alpha_j$  and traverse y from 1 to  $\beta_j$ ). But the coefficient for  $p_j^{3k}$  in  $\sum_{x=1}^{\alpha_j} p_j^{xk} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{\beta_j} p_j^{yk}$  is 2 be letting x,y=1,2 and then x,y=2,1. So  $\sum_{x=1}^{\alpha_j} p_j^{xk} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{\beta_j} p_j^{yk} > \sum_{x=1}^{\alpha_j+\beta_j} p_j^{xk}$  for all j with  $p_j \in D$ . It follows that (\*\*)>1, which completes the proof.  $\square$ 

6

(a)

Since  $\phi$  is multiplicative,

$$rac{\phi^2(n)}{n} = \prod_{p|n} rac{\phi^2(p^{a_p})}{p^{a_p}} = \prod_{p|n} rac{[p^{a_p-1}(p-1)]^2}{p^{a_p}} = \prod_{p|n} p^{a_p-2}(p-1)^2 \geq \prod_{p|n} rac{(p-1)^2}{p}.$$

Note that for all  $p \geq 3$ ,  $(p-1)^2/p = p + 1/p - 2 \geq 1 + 1/3 \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$rac{\phi^2(n)}{n} \geq rac{(2-1)^2}{2} = rac{1}{2}. \quad \Box$$

(b)

Write  $n=\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ , where  $p_i$  are the primes that divide n. For notational simplicity define  $P:=\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i+1)$ . By multiplicativity of  $\tau$ ,

$$n^{\tau(n)/2} = n^{[\prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{a_i})]/2} = n^{P/2}$$

To compute  $\prod_{d|n} d$ , note that any divisor d, of n, has the form  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{b_i}$ , where  $0 \le b_i \le a_i$  for all p. (In other words, a divisor d is uniquely determined by choosing the exponents  $b_i$  for every prime factor  $p_i$ .) Consequently  $\prod_{d|n} d$  also has the form  $\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{c_i}$ . Hence it suffices to find exponents  $c_i$ .

To compute  $c_1$ , note that for every choice of exponent of  $p_1$ , there are  $M_1:=(a_2+1)(a_3+1)\dots(a_r+1)$  choices for the exponents of the rest of the primes, producing  $M_1$  distinct divisors. So  $c_1=M_1(0+1+\dots+a_1)=a_1P/2$ . In general, if we define  $M_i:=P/(a_i+1)$ , for every choice of exponent of  $p_i$  there are  $M_i$  choices for the exponents of prime factors other than  $p_i$ . So  $c_i=M_i(0+1+\dots+a_i)=a_iP/2$ . It follows that

(c)

Since  $\tau$  is multiplicative,  $\tau^3$  is multiplicative. By Theorem (3.10),  $\tau*u$  is also multiplicative. It follows that LHS  $=(\tau*u)^2$  multiplicative. Again by Theorem (3.10), RHS  $=\tau^3*u$  is multiplicative. Thus it suffices to show the equality for prime powers  $p^a$ . Indeed,

$$egin{split} ( au st u)^2(p^a) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^a au(p^i)
ight)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{a+1} i
ight)^2 = \left[rac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2}
ight]^2 \ &\qquad ( au^3st u)(p^a) = \sum_{i=0}^a au^3(p^i) = \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} i^3 = \left[rac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2}
ight]^2, \end{split}$$

whence the equality follows.  $\Box$ 

(d)

Since  $\mu$  is multiplicative, LHS is multiplicative by Theorem (3.10). Let a,b are coprime. Then a and b have distinct prime factors. It follows that  $2^{\omega(ab)}=2^{\omega(a)+\omega(b)}=2^{\omega(a)}\cdot 2^{\omega(b)};$  RHS is also multiplicative. It suffices to show that both sides agree on prime powers  $p^a$ , as follows:

$$(\mu^2*u)(p^a) = \sum_{i=0}^a \mu^2(p^i) = 1^2 + (-1)^2 = 2 = 2^{\omega(p^a)}. \quad \Box$$

7

By 6(d), 
$$2^{\omega} = u * \mu^2$$
.

$$\Longrightarrow$$
: Assume  $n$  is not divisible by  $p^2$ . Then  $\mu(n)=(-1)^{\omega(n)}$ , whence  $(\mu*2^{\omega})(n)=(\mu*u*\mu^2)(n)=(I*\mu^2)(n)=\mu^2(n)=1$ .

$$\Longleftrightarrow$$
: Assume  $(\mu*2^\omega)(n)=1$ . Then  $(\mu*u*\mu^2)(n)=(I*\mu^2)(n)=1$ . So  $n$  is not divisible by  $p^2$ .  $\square$ 

8

For all k > 4,  $\mu(k!) = 0$  since  $2^2 | k!$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(k!) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \mu(k!) = 2.$$

9

(a)

If f is multiplicative,  $f \cdot \mu$  is also multiplicative. Thus by Theorem (3.10), LHS  $= u*(f \cdot \mu)$  is multiplicative. For RHS, assume a,b are coprime. Then a and b have distinct prime factors. It follows that

$$\prod_{p|ab}(1-f(p))=\prod_{q|a}(1-f(q))\cdot\prod_{r|b}(1-f(r))$$

where p,q,r are primes. Thus RHS is also multiplicative. It suffices to show equality for prime powers  $p^a$ :

$$[ust(f\cdot\mu)](p^a)=\sum_{i=0}^a\mu(p^i)f(p^i)=f(1)-f(p)\stackrel{f ext{ mult.}}{=}1-f(p). \quad \Box$$

(b)

Since  $\tau$  is multiplicative, it follows from (a) that

$$[u*( au\cdot \mu)](n) = \prod_{p|n} (1- au(p)) = \prod_{p|n} (-1) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}. \quad \Box$$

(c)

Since  $\sigma$  is multiplicative, it follows from (a) that

$$[ust(\sigma\cdot\mu)](n)=\prod_{p|n}(1-\sigma(p))=\prod_{p|n}(-p)=(-1)^{\omega(n)}\prod_{p|n}p.\quad \Box$$

10

(a)

Write  $a = \prod p_i^{a_i}$ ,  $b = \prod p_i^{b_i}$ , where  $p_i$  is the i-th prime number.

$$\lambda(ab) = (-1)^{\Omega(ab)} = (-1)^{\sum (a_i + b_i)} = (-1)^{\sum a_i} \cdot (-1)^{\sum b_i} = \lambda(a)\lambda(b). \quad \Box$$

(b)

**Lemma 1.** Let n has prime factorization  $p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r} \cdot n$  is a perfect square if and only if  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are all even.

*Proof.*  $\iff$  is obvious;  $\implies$ : Suppose  $n=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\dots p_r^{a_r}$  is a perfect square. Then  $\sqrt{n}=p_1^{a_1/2}p_2^{a_2/2}\dots p_r^{a_r/2}\in\mathbb{N}$ . By FTA,  $a_1/2,\dots a_r/2$  are all positive integers, whence  $a_1,\dots,a_r$  are all even.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.** Let (a, b) = 1. Then ab is a perfect square if and only if a and b are both perfect square.

*Proof.*  $\iff$  is obvious;  $\implies$ : Assume (a,b)=1. Then a and b have distinct prime factors. We may let  $a=p_1^{a_1}\dots p_m^{a_m}$  and  $b=q_1^{b_1}\dots q_n^{b_n}$  be their respective prime factorization, where  $p_i\neq q_j$  for all i,j. Then by Lemma 1, we have the following chain of equivalence:

$$ab=p_1^{a_1}\dots p_m^{a_m}\,q_1^{b_1}\dots q_n^{b_n}$$
 square  $\iff a_1,\dots,a_m,b_1,\dots,b_n$  all even  $\iff a_1,\dots,a_m$  all even  $\land b_1,\dots,b_n$  all even  $\iff a$  square  $\land b$  square.  $\square$ 

We want to show

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = p(n) := egin{cases} 1, & ext{if $n$ is square;} \\ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Assume (a,b)=1. By Lemma 2, p(ab)=1 if and only if p(a)=1 and p(b)=1. Hence p(ab)=p(a)p(b). p is multiplicative. Since  $\lambda$  is multiplicative, from Theorem  $(3.11), \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d)$  is also multiplicative. Thus it suffices to show (1) for prime powers  $p^a$ .

$$\sum_{d|p^a} \lambda(d) = \sum_{i=0}^a \lambda(p^i) = \sum_{i=0}^a (-1)^{\Omega(p^i)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^a (-1)^i.$$

By Lemma 1,  $n = p^a$  is square if and only if a is even. Therefore,

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = egin{cases} 1, ext{ if $a$ is even} &\iff n ext{ is square} \ 0, ext{ otherwise.} \end{cases} = p(n). \quad \Box$$