

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN

PHY 1002

Physics Laboratory

Short Report: Conservation of Energy

Author:
Chen Ang
Group Number:

Group 1

Student Number: 118010009

Experiment Date:

November 15, 2019

November 22, 2019

Short	Report:	Conservation	of Energy
SHOLL	nepon.	Conservation	or Energy

Chen Ang

				1
\mathbf{C}	าท	10	n	tc
•	,,,	U.	,	

1	v_0 through Maximum Angle	2
2	v_0 through Direct Measurements	2
3	Discussion	2

1 v_0 through Maximum Angle

Measurement	θ (rad)	h (m)	$v_0 \text{ (m/s)}$
1	0.284	0.0153	5.56
2	0.282	0.0151	5.52
3	0.286	0.0156	5.60
4	0.284	0.0153	5.56
5	0.283	0.0152	5.54
Avg. \pm SE	0.284 ± 0.001	0.0153 ± 0.0001	5.56 ± 0.01

2 v_0 through Direct Measurements

Measurement	$v_0 \text{ (m/s)}$
1	5.49
2	5.55
3	5.50
4	5.47
5	5.46
$\overline{\text{Avg.} \pm \text{SE}}$	5.46 ± 0.02

3 Discussion

As shown in the tables above, the value of v_0 obtained by measuring the maximum swinging angle of the pendulum (5.56 ± 0.01) was slightly higher than that obatained by direct measurements (5.46 ± 0.02), by a percentage of

$$\frac{5.56 - 5.46}{5.46} = +1.83\%$$

Still, this difference exceeds the range of uncertainty and suggests that a systematic error was likely present in the experiment. First, mechanical energy during collisions was likely not conserved due to friction. However, this transformation of mechanical energy into heat should have produced a negative difference, instead of a positive one. Therefore, a second source of error was suspected: In the experiment, it was

noticed that the pendulum was not at the exact height of the ballistic trajectory. Rather, it was tilted upwards at the very beginning. This means that the pendulum had already possessed some initial angular displacement θ_0 before being struck by the bullet. This θ_0 results in a positive systematic error in the swinging angle θ , and in turns, a larger v_0 than the actual value.

The experiment demonstrates the importance of error analysis. The systematic error in the experiment produced noticeable difference in the final result. In particular, the initial tilting of the pendulum was suspected to have caused a higher experimental value of v_0 than the actual value from direct measurements.