

# Optimization Algorithm Notes

Depu Meng

Feb. 2019

## 1 Introduction to Optimization Algorithms

### 1.1 Goal of the Course

- Understand foundations of optimization
- Learn to analyze widely used optimization algorithms
- Be familiar with implementation of optimization algorithms

### 1.2 Topics Involved

- Unconstrained optimization
- Constrained optimization
- Convex optimization
- Sparse optimization
- Stochastic optimization
- Combinational optimization
- Global optimization

### 1.3 Basic Concepts

**Problem Definition** Find the value of the decision variable s.t. objective function is maximized/minimized under certain conditions.

$$\min f(x) \quad (1)$$

$$s.t. x \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2)$$

Here, we call  $\mathcal{S}$  *feasible region*.

We often denote constrained optimization Problem as

$$\min f(x) \quad (3)$$

$$s.t. \quad g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \quad (4)$$

$$b_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m \quad (5)$$

**Definition 1.** *Global Optimality.* For global optimal value  $x^* \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{S} \quad (6)$$

**Definition 2.** *Local Optimality.* For local optimal value  $x^* \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\exists U(x^*)$ , such that

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{S} \cap U(x^*) \quad (7)$$

**Definition 3.** *Feasible direction.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a non-zero vector. if  $\exists \delta > 0$ , such that

$$x + \lambda d \in \mathcal{S}, \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta) \quad (8)$$

Then  $d$  is a **feasible direction** at  $x$ . We denote  $F(x, \mathcal{S})$  as the set of feasible directions at  $x$ .

**Definition 4.** *Descent direction.*  $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d$  is a non-zero vector. If  $\exists \delta > 0$ , such that

$$f(x + \lambda d) < f(x), \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta) \quad (9)$$

Then  $d$  is a **descent direction** at  $x$ . We denote  $D(x, f) = \{d \mid \nabla f(x)^T d < 0\}$  as the set of descent direction at  $x$ .

## 1.4 Optimal Conditions

### Unconstrained Optimization

First-order necessary condition:  $f(x)$  is differentiable at  $x$ ,

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Second-order necessary condition:  $f(x)$  is second-order differentiable at  $x$ ,

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

### Constrained Optimization

#### Theorem 1. Fritz-John Condition

For constrained optimization problem

$$\min f(x) \quad (13)$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \quad (14)$$

$$h_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m \quad (15)$$

Denote  $I(x) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $f$  and  $g_i, i \in I(x)$  is differentiable at  $x$ ,  $h_j(x)$  is continuously differentiable at  $x$ . If  $x$  is local optimal, then there exists non-trivial  $\lambda_0, \lambda_i \geq 0, i \in I(x)$  and  $\mu_j$ , such that

$$\lambda_0 \nabla f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0 \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* (i) If  $\{\nabla h_j(x)\}$  is linearly dependent, then there exists non-trivial  $\mu_j$ , such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \nabla \mu_j h_j(x) = 0 \quad (17)$$

Let  $\lambda_0, \lambda_i, i \in I(x) = 0$ , then (13) holds.

(ii) If  $\{\nabla h_j(x)\}$  is linearly independent, Denote

$$F_g = F(x, g) = \{d \mid \nabla g_i(x)^T d > 0, i \in I(x)\} \quad (18)$$

$$F_h = F(x, h) = \{d \mid \nabla h_j(x)^T d = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\} \quad (19)$$

If  $x$  is a optimal value, then apparently  $F(x, \mathcal{S}) \cap D(x, f) = \emptyset$ . Due to the independence of  $\{\nabla h_j(x)\}$ , we have  $F_g \cap F_h \subset F(x, \mathcal{S})$ , then

$$F_g \cap F_h \cap D(x, f) = \emptyset \quad (20)$$

that is

$$\begin{cases} \nabla f(x)^T d < 0 \\ \nabla g_i(x)^T d > 0, i \in I(x) \\ \nabla h_j(x)^T d = 0, j = 1, \dots, m \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

has no solution. Let

$$A = \{\nabla f(x)^T, -\nabla g_i(x)^T, i \in I(x)\} \quad (22)$$

$$B = \{-\nabla h_j(x)^T, j = 1, \dots, m\} \quad (23)$$

Then (21) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} A^T d < 0 \\ B^T d = 0 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

has no solution.

Denote

$$S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid y_1 = A^T d, y_2 = B^T d, d \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\} \quad (25)$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid y_1 < 0, y_2 = 0 \right\} \quad (26)$$

$S_1, S_2$  are non-trivial convex sets, and  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . From *Hyperplane Separation Theorem*:  $\exists \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , such that

$$p_1^T A^T d + p_2^T B^T d \geq p_1^T y_1 + p_2^T y_2, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \in CL(S_2) \quad (27)$$

Let  $y_2 = 0, d = 0, y_1 < 0$ , we have

$$p_1 \geq 0 \quad (28)$$

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in CL(S_2)$  So that

$$(p_1^T A^T + p_2^T B^T)d \geq 0 \quad (29)$$

$$(Ap_1 + Bp_2)^T d \geq 0 \quad (30)$$

Let  $d = -(Ap_1 + Bp_2)$ , we have

$$Ap_1 + Bp_2 = 0 \quad (31)$$

From above, we have

$$\begin{cases} Ap_1 + Bp_2 = 0 \\ p_1 \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Let  $p_1 = \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{I(x)}\}$ ,  $p_2 = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0 \nabla f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0 \\ \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

## **Theorem 2. Kuhn-Tucker Condition**

*For constrained optimization problem*

$$\min f(x) \quad (34)$$

$$s.t. \quad g_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \quad (35)$$

$$h_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m \quad (36)$$

Denote  $I(x) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | g_i(x) = 0\}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $f$  and  $g_i, i \in I(x)$  is differentiable at  $x$ ,  $h_j(x)$  is continuously differentiable at  $x$ .  $\{\nabla g_i(x), i \in I(x); \nabla h_j(x), j = 1, \dots, m\}$  is linearly independent. If  $x$  is local optimal, then  $\exists \lambda_i \geq 0$  and  $\mu_j$ , such that

$$\nabla f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0 \quad (37)$$

## **1.5 Descent function**

**Definition 5.** *Descent function. Denote solution set  $\Omega \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algorithm on  $X$ ,  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If*

$$\psi(y) < \psi(x), \quad \forall x \notin \Omega, y \in \mathcal{A}(x) \quad (38)$$

$$\psi(y) \leq \psi(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, y \in \mathcal{A}(x) \quad (39)$$

Then  $\psi$  is a **descent function** of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ .

## 1.6 Convergence of Algorithm

**Theorem 3.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algorithm on  $X$ ,  $\Omega$  is the solution set,  $x^{(0)} \in X$ . If  $x^{(k)} \in \Omega$ , then the iteration stops. Otherwise set  $x^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)})$ ,  $k := k + 1$ . If

- $\{x^{(k)}\}$  in a compact subset of  $X$
- There exists a continuous function  $\psi$ ,  $\psi$  is a descent function of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$
- $\mathcal{A}$  is closed on  $\Omega^C$

Then, any convergent subsequence of  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  converges to  $x, x \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.*

## 1.7 Search Methods

### Line Search

Generate  $d^{(k)}$  from  $x^{(k)}$ ,

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)} \quad (40)$$

. search  $\alpha_k$  in 1-D space.

### Trust Region

Generate local model  $Q_k(s)$  of  $x^{(k)}$ ,

$$s^{(k)} = \arg \min Q_k(s) \quad (41)$$

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + s^{(k)} \quad (42)$$

## 2 Unconstrained Optimization

### 2.1 Gradient Based Methods

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad (43)$$

---

**Algorithm 1:** Example of gradient based algorithm

---

**Data:** Solution set  $\Omega$ , cost function  $f$

$x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, k := 0;$

**while**  $x^{(k)} \notin \Omega$  **do**

$d^{(k)} = -H_k \nabla f(x^{(k)})$ , ( $H_k$  is a positive definite symmetrical matrix);

    solve  $\min_{\alpha_k \geq 0} f(x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)})$ ;

$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)}, k := k + 1$

**end**

---

### 2.2 Determine Search Direction

#### First-order gradient method

For unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad (44)$$

We have

$$f(x) = f(x^{(k)}) + \nabla f(x^{(k)})^T (x - x^{(k)}) + O(\|x - x^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (45)$$

Set  $d^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ , when  $\alpha_k$  is sufficiently small,

$$f(x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)}) < f(x^{(k)}) \quad (46)$$

#### Second-order gradient method – Newton Direction

$$f(x) = f(x^{(k)}) + \nabla f(x^{(k)})^T (x - x^{(k)}) \quad (47)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (x - x^{(k)})^T \nabla^2 f(x^{(k)}) (x - x^{(k)}) + O(\|x - x^{(k)}\|^3) \quad (48)$$

Set  $d^{(k)} = -G_k^{-1} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$ , where  $G_k = \nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})$ , i.e., Hesse matrix of  $f$  at  $x^{(k)}$ .

### 2.3 Determine Step Factor – Line Search

$$\min_{\alpha \geq 0} \varphi(\alpha) = f(x^{(k)} + \alpha d^{(k)}) \quad (49)$$

## Exact Line Search

Solve Line Search problem in finite iterations.

## Inexact Line Search

In some cases, the exact solution of Line Search is not necessary, so we can use inexact line search to improve algorithm efficiency.

### Goldstein Conditions

$$\varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(0) + \rho\alpha\varphi'(0) \quad (50)$$

$$\varphi(\alpha) \geq \varphi(0) + (1 - \rho)\alpha\varphi'(0) \quad (51)$$

where  $\rho \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  is a fixed parameter.

However, the downside of Goldstein Conditions is that the optimal value might not lie in the valid area.

### Wolfe-Powell Conditions

$$\varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(0) + \rho\alpha\varphi'(0) \quad (52)$$

$$\varphi'(\alpha) \geq \sigma\varphi'(0) \quad (53)$$

where  $\sigma \in (\rho, 1)$ .

## 2.4 Global Convergence

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $\nabla f(x)$  exists and uniformly continuous on level set  $L(x^{(0)}) = \{x | f(x) \leq f(x^{(0)})\}$ . Denote  $\theta^{(k)}$  as the angle between  $d^{(k)}$  and  $-\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ .

$$\theta^{(k)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \mu \quad (54)$$

If step factor is determined by following methods

- Exact Line Search
- Goldstein Conditions
- Wolfe-Powell Conditions

Then, there exists  $k$ , such that  $\nabla f(x^{(k)}) = 0$ , or  $f(x^{(k)}) \rightarrow 0$  or  $f(x^{(k)}) \rightarrow -\infty$ .

*Proof.*

## 2.5 Steepest Descent Method

Steepest Descent Method is a Line Search Method.

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \alpha_k \nabla f(x^{(k)}) \quad (55)$$

---

**Algorithm 2:** Steepest Descent Algorithm
 

---

**Data:** Termination error  $\epsilon$ , cost function  $f$   
 $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, k := 0$ ;  
**while**  $\|g^{(k)}\| \geq \epsilon$  **do**  
      $d^{(k)} = -g^{(k)}$ ;  
     solve  $\min_{\alpha_k \geq 0} f(x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)})$ ;  
      $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)}, k := k + 1$ ;  
     Compute  $g^{(k)} = \nabla f(x^{(k)})$   
**end**

---

Steepest Descent Method has linear convergence rate generally.

## 2.6 Newton Method

Newton Method is also a Line Search Method.

$$f(x^{(k)} + s) \approx q^{(k)}(s)f(x^{(k)}) + g^{(k)T}s + \frac{1}{2}s^T G_k s \quad (56)$$

where  $g^{(k)} = \nabla f(x^{(k)})$ ,  $G_k = \nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})$ . To minimize  $q^{(k)}(s)$ , we have

$$s = G_k^{-1} g^{(k)} \quad (57)$$

Notice that  $G_k^{-1} g^{(k)}$  is the Newton Direction.

*Analysis on quadratic function*

For positive definite quadratic function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Gx - c^T x \quad (58)$$

In this case,  $\nabla^2 f(x) = G$ . Let  $H_0 = G^{-1}$ , then we have

$$d^{(0)} = H_0 \nabla f(x^{(0)}) \quad (59)$$

$$= G^{-1}(Gx^{(0)} - c) \quad (60)$$

$$= x^{(0)} - G^{-1}c \quad (61)$$

$$= x^{(0)} - x^* \quad (62)$$

So that Newton Method can reach global optimal in 1 iteration for quadratic functions.

For general non-linear functions, if we follow

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - G_k^{-1} g^{(k)} \quad (63)$$

we called it Newton Method.

*Convergence Rate of Newton Method*



**Theorem 5.**  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$ ,  $x^{(k)}$  is sufficiently closed to optimal point  $x^*$ , where  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . If  $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is positive definite, Hesse matrix of  $f$  satisfies Lipschitz Condition, i.e.,  $\exists \beta > 0$ , such that for all  $(i, j)$ ,

$$|G_{ij}(x) - G_{ij}(y)| \leq \beta \|x - y\| \quad (64)$$

Then  $\{x^{(k)}\} \rightarrow x^*$ , and have quadratic convergence rate.

*Proof.* Denote  $g(x) = \nabla f(x)$ , then we have

$$g(x - h) = g(x) - G(x)h + O(\|h\|^2) \quad (65)$$

Let  $x = x^{(k)}$ ,  $h = h^{(k)} = x^{(k)} - x^*$ , then

$$g(x^*) = g(x^{(k)}) - G(x^{(k)})(h^{(k)}) + O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) = 0 \quad (66)$$

From Lipschitz Condition, we can easily get  $G(x^{(k)})^{-1}$  is finite. Then we left multiply  $G(x^{(k)})^{-1}$  to Equation (66)

$$0 = G(x^{(k)})^{-1}g(x^{(k)}) - h^{(k)} + O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (67)$$

$$= x^* - x^{(k)} + G(x^{(k)})^{-1}g(x^{(k)}) + O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (68)$$

$$= x^* - x^{(k+1)} + O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (69)$$

$$= -h^{(k+1)} + O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (70)$$

i.e.,

$$\|h^{(k+1)}\| = O(\|h^{(k)}\|^2) \quad (71)$$

## 2.7 Quasi-Newton Methods

Newton Method has a fast convergence rate. However, Newton Method requires second-order derivative, if Hesse matrix is not positive definite, Newton Method might not work well.

In order to overcome the above difficulties, Quasi-Newton Method is introduced. Its basic idea is that: Using second-order derivative free matrix  $H_k$  to approximate  $G(x^{(k)})^{-1}$ . Denote  $s^{(k)} = x^{(k+1)} - x^{(k)}$ ,  $y^{(k)} = \nabla f(x^{(k+1)}) - \nabla f(x^{(k)})$ , then we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})s^{(k)} \approx y^{(k)} \quad (72)$$

or

$$\nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})^{-1}y^{(k)} \approx s^{(k)} \quad (73)$$

So we need to construct  $H_{k+1}$  such that

$$H_{k+1}y^{(k)} \approx s^{(k)} \quad (74)$$

or

$$y^{(k)} \approx B_{k+1} s^{(k)} \quad (75)$$

we called (74), (75) *Quasi-Newton Conditions* or *Secant Conditions*.

---

**Algorithm 3:** Quasi-Newton Algorithm

---

**Data:** Cost function  $f$

$x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n, H_0 = I, k := 0;$

**while** *some conditions* **do**

$d^{(k)} = -H_k g^{(k)};$

    solve  $\min_{\alpha_k \geq 0} f(x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)});$

$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)};$

    generate  $H_{k+1}, k := k + 1$

**end**

---

**How to generate  $H_k$**

$H_k$  is the approximation matrix in  $k$ th iteration, we want to generate  $H_{k+1}$  from  $H_k$

*Symmetric Rank 1*

Assume

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + a \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (76)$$

From the Quasi-Newton Conditions, we have

$$H_{k+1} \mathbf{y}^{(k)} = \mathbf{s}^{(k)} \quad (77)$$

$$H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)} + a \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}^{(k)} = \mathbf{s}^{(k)} \quad (78)$$

$$H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)} + a \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}^{(k)} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{s}^{(k)} \quad (79)$$

Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{s}^{(k)} - H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)}, a = \frac{1}{\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y}^{(k)}}$ , clearly this is a solution of the equation. Here we have

$$H_{k+1} = \frac{(\mathbf{s}^{(k)} - H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)})(\mathbf{s}^{(k)} - H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)})^T}{(\mathbf{s}^{(k)} - H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{y}^{(k)}} \quad (80)$$

(79) is *Symmetric Rank 1 Update*. The problem of Symmetric Rank 1 Update is that the positive-definite property of  $H_k$  can not be preserved.

*Symmetric Rank 2 Update*

Assume

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + a \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^T + b \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (81)$$

such that Quasi-Newton Conditions stand. We can find a solution of  $a, b, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  that is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{s}^{(k)}, & a \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{y} = 1 \\ \mathbf{v} = H_k \mathbf{y}^{(k)}, & b \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{y} = -1 \end{cases} \quad (82)$$

So that we have

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}^{(k)}\mathbf{s}^{(k)T}}{\mathbf{s}^{(k)T}\mathbf{y}^{(k)}} - \frac{H_k\mathbf{y}^{(k)}\mathbf{y}^{(k)T}H_k}{\mathbf{y}^{(k)T}H_k\mathbf{y}^{(k)}} \quad (83)$$

We called (83) the DFP (Davidon-Fletcher-Powell) update.

From Quasi-Newton Condition (75), we can get the BFGS (Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno) update

$$B_{k+1}^{(BFGS)} = B_k + \frac{\mathbf{y}^{(k)}\mathbf{y}^{(k)T}}{\mathbf{y}^{(k)T}\mathbf{s}^{(k)}} - \frac{B_k\mathbf{s}^{(k)}\mathbf{s}^{(k)T}B_k}{\mathbf{s}^{(k)T}B_k\mathbf{s}^{(k)}} \quad (84)$$

*Inverse of SR1 update*

**Theorem 6 (Sherman-Morrison).**  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is a non-singular matrix,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $1 + \mathbf{v}^T A^{-1} \mathbf{u} \neq 0$ , then SR1 update of  $A$  is non-singular, and its inverse can be represented as

$$(A + a\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T A^{-1}}{1 + \mathbf{v}^T A^{-1} \mathbf{u}} \quad (85)$$

## 2.8 Conjugate Gradient Method

**Definition 6.** *Conjugate Direction.*  $G$  is a  $n \times n$  positive definite matrix, for non-zero vector set  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $\mathbf{d}^{(i)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0, (i \neq j)$ , then we called  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$  is G-Conjugate.

**Lemma 1.** For non-zero conjugate vector set  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* From Definition 6, we have

$$\mathbf{d}^{(i)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0, \forall i, j, i \neq j \quad (86)$$

if  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$  is linearly dependent, there exists

$$\mathbf{d}^{(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \mathbf{d}^{(j)} \quad (87)$$

then

$$\mathbf{d}^{(t)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j \mathbf{d}^{(j)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(i)} = c_i \mathbf{d}^{(i)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(i)} \neq 0 \quad (88)$$

so that  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

---

**Algorithm 4:** Conjugate Gradient Algorithm
 

---

**Data:** Cost function  $f$

$x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , positive definite matrix  $G$ ,  $k := 0$ ;

Construct  $\mathbf{d}^{(0)}$  such that  $\mathbf{g}^{(0)T} \mathbf{d}^{(0)} < 0$ ;

**while** *some conditions* **do**

    solve  $\min_{\alpha_k \geq 0} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)})$ ;

$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}$ ;

    Construct  $\mathbf{d}^{(k+1)}$  such that  $\mathbf{d}^{(k+1)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0, j = 0, \dots, k$ ;

$k := k + 1$

**end**

---

**Theorem 7 (Conjugate Gradient).** *For strictly convex quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T G \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ , apply conjugate gradient method combined with exact line search, then  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$  is the global minima in manifold*

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j \mathbf{d}^{(j)}, \forall \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (89)$$

*Proof.* Firstly, from Lemma 2, we have  $\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$  are linearly independent. So we only need to prove that for all  $k < n$

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k+1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0, j = 0, \dots, k \quad (90)$$

i.e.,  $\mathbf{g}^{(k+1)}$  is orthogonal with subspace  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{d}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\}$ .

Due to the exact line search,  $\forall j$

$$\mathbf{g}^{(j+1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0 \quad (91)$$

especially  $\mathbf{g}^{(k+1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(k)} = 0$ .

Notice that

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(k)} = G(\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = \alpha_k G \mathbf{d}^{(k)} \quad (92)$$

so that we have  $\forall j \leq k$

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k+1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = \left( \sum_{m=j+1}^k (\mathbf{g}^{(m+1)T} - \mathbf{g}^{(m)T}) + \mathbf{g}^{(j+1)T} \right) \mathbf{d}^{(j)} \quad (93)$$

$$= \sum_{m=j+1}^k \alpha_m \mathbf{d}^{(m)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} + \mathbf{g}^{(j+1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(j)} \quad (94)$$

$$= 0 \quad (95)$$

□

**Lemma 2.** For strictly convex quadratic function  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T G \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ , apply conjugate gradient method combined with exact line search,  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = G\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} \mathbf{g}^{(j)} = 0, \forall j = 0, \dots, k-1 \quad (96)$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 7, we have

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} \mathbf{g}^{(j)} = \mathbf{g}^{(k)T} (-\mathbf{d}^{(j)} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \beta_i^{(j)} \mathbf{d}^{(i)}) = 0 \quad (97)$$

□

### Quadratic function case

For  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T G \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ ,  $G$  is a  $n \times n$  positive definite matrix.

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = G\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} \quad (98)$$

Set  $\mathbf{d}^{(0)} = -\mathbf{g}^{(0)}$ , exact line search for  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\mathbf{g}^{(1)T} \mathbf{d}^{(0)} = 0$ . Assume  $\mathbf{d}^{(1)} = -\mathbf{g}^{(1)} + \beta_0^{(1)} \mathbf{d}^{(0)}$ , select  $\beta_0^{(1)}$  such that  $\mathbf{d}^{(1)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(0)} = 0$

$$\beta_0^{(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(1)T} \mathbf{g}^{(1)}}{\mathbf{g}^{(0)T} \mathbf{g}^{(0)}} \quad (99)$$

*Proof.* From (92), we have

$$\mathbf{d}^{(1)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(0)} = 0 \quad (100)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{d}^{(1)T} (\mathbf{g}^{(1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(0)}) = 0 \quad (101)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{g}^{(1)} + \beta_0^{(1)} \mathbf{g}^{(0)})^T (\mathbf{g}^{(1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(0)}) = 0 \quad (102)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}^{(1)T} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} - \beta_0^{(1)} \mathbf{g}^{(0)T} \mathbf{g}^{(0)} = 0 \quad (103)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \beta_0^{(1)} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(1)T} \mathbf{g}^{(1)}}{\mathbf{g}^{(0)T} \mathbf{g}^{(0)}} \quad (104)$$

□

Generally, we can select  $\beta_j^{(k)}$  such that  $\mathbf{d}^{(k)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  that is

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0 \quad (105)$$

$$(-\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_i^{(k)} \mathbf{d}^{(i)T}) G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0 \quad (106)$$

$$-\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} + \beta_j^{(k)} \mathbf{d}^{(j)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)} = 0 \quad (107)$$

so we have

$$\beta_j^{(k)} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)}}{\mathbf{d}^{(j)T} G \mathbf{d}^{(j)}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} (\mathbf{g}^{(j+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(j)})}{\mathbf{d}^{(j)T} (\mathbf{g}^{(j+1)} - \mathbf{g}^{(j)})} \quad (108)$$

From Lemma 2, we have

$$\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} \mathbf{g}^{(j)} = 0, \forall j = 0, \dots, k-1 \quad (109)$$

So

$$\beta_j^{(k)} = 0, j = 0, \dots, k-2 \quad (110)$$

$$\beta_{k-1}^{(k)} = \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(k)T} (\mathbf{g}^{(k)})}{\mathbf{g}^{(k-1)T} (\mathbf{g}^{(k-1)})} \quad (111)$$

## 2.9 Trust Region Method

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

### 3 Constrained Optimization

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

#### 3.1 Quadratic Programming

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

#### 3.2 Non-linear Constrained Optimization