${f Optimiz}$	ation Algorithm Notes	
	D. M.	
Depu Meng		
	Feb. 2019	
- T		
1 Introduction to C	Optimization Algorithms	
1.1 Goal of the course		
- Understand foundations	of optimization	
 Understand foundations of optimization Learn to analyze widely used optimization algorithms 		
Be familiar with implementation of optimization algorithms		
De lammar with implem	circulon of optimization algorithms	
1.2 Topics involved		
II		
- Unconstrained optimization		
Constrained optimizatioConvex optimization	11	
Sparse optimization		
Sparse optimizationStochastic optimization		
Stochastic optimizationCombinational optimiza	tion	
- Global optimization		
Glosar optimization		
1.3 Basic concepts		
Problem Definition Find	the value of the decision variable s.t. o	phioctive func
tion is maximized/minimize		objective func-
olon is maximized, minimize	d differ certain conditions.	
	$\min f(x)$	(1)
	$s.t.x \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$	(2)
	5.0.a C C L	(2)
Here, we call S feasible region	on.	
We often denote constrained optimization Problem as		
	$\min f(x)$	(3)
s	$t. g_i(x) \ge 0, i = 1,, n$	(4)
	$b_i(x) = 0, i \in 1,, m$	(5)
	• () -) -))	(9)
Definition 1. Global Optim	nality. For global optimal value $x^* \in \mathcal{S}$	5,
	$f(x^*) \le f(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{S}$	(6)

Definition 2. Local Optimality. For local optimal value $x^* \in \mathcal{S}$, $\exists U(x^*)$, such that

$$f(x^*) \le f(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{S} \cap U(x^*)$$
 (7)

Definition 3. Feasible direction. Let $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a non-zero vector. if $\exists \delta > 0$, such that

$$x + \lambda d \in \mathcal{S}, \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta)$$
 (8)

Then d is a **feasible direction** at x. We denote F(x, S) as the set of feasible directions at x.

Definition 4. Descent direction. $f(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, d$ is a non-zero vector. If $\exists \delta > 0$, such that

$$f(x + \lambda d) < f(x), \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta)$$
(9)

Then d is a **descent direction** at x. We denote $D(x, f) = \{d | \nabla f(x)^T d < 0\}$ as the set of descent direction at x.

1.4 Optimal Conditions

Unconstrained Optimization

First-order necessery condition: f(x) is differentiable at x,

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \tag{10}$$

Second-order necessery condition: f(x) is second-order differentiable at x,

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) > 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \ge 0 \tag{12}$$

Constrained Optimization

Theorem 1. Fritz-John Condition

For constrained optimization problem

$$\min f(x) \tag{13}$$

$$s.t. \quad g_i(x) \ge 0, i = 1, ..., n$$
 (14)

$$h_i(x) = 0, i \in 1, ..., m$$
 (15)

Denote $I(x) = \{i \in \{1,...,n\} | g_i(x) = 0\}$. For $x \in \mathcal{S}$, f and $g_i, i \in I(x)$ is differentiable at x, $h_j(x)$ is continuously differentiable at x. If x is local optimal, then there exists non-trivial $\lambda_0, \lambda_i \geq 0, i \in I(x)$ and μ_j , such that

$$\lambda_0 \bigtriangledown f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \bigtriangledown g_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \bigtriangledown h_j(x) = 0$$
 (16)

(17)

(25)

Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_i, i \in I(x) = 0$, then (13) holds. (ii) If $\{ \nabla h_i(x) \}$ is linearly independent, Denote $F_a = F(x, a) = \{d \mid \nabla g_i(x)^T d > 0, i \in I(x)\}$ (18) $F_h = F(x, h) = \{d \mid \nabla h_i(x)^T d = 0, i = 1, ..., m\}$ (19)If x is a optimal value, then appearently $F(x,\mathcal{S}) \cap D(x,f) = \emptyset$. Due to the independence of $\{ \nabla h_i(x) \}$, we have $F_q \cap F_h \subset F(x, \mathcal{S})$, then $F_a \cap F_b \cap D(x, f) = \emptyset$ (20)that is $\begin{cases} \nabla f(x)^T d < 0 \\ \nabla g_i(x)^T d > 0, i \in I(x) \\ \nabla h_i(x)^T d = 0, j = 1, ..., m \end{cases}$ (21)has no solution. Let $A = \{ \nabla f(x)^T, \nabla g_i(x) \}^T, i \in I(x)$ (22) $B = \{- \nabla h_i(x)\}, i = 1, ..., m$ (23)Then (21) is equivalent to $\begin{cases} A^T d < 0 \\ B^T d = 0 \end{cases}$ (24)

Proof. (i) If $\{ \nabla h_i(x) \}$ is linearly dependent, then there exists non-trivial μ_i ,

 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla h_j(x) = 0$

has no solution.

Theorem: $\exists \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}$, so that

Denote

such that

 $S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} | y_1 = A^T d, y_2 = B^T d, d \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$ $S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} | y_1 < 0, y_2 = 0 \right\}$

(26) S_1, S_2 are non-trivial convex sets, and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. From Hyperplane Separation

 $p_1^T A^T d + p_2^T B^T d \ge p_1^T y_1 + p_2^T y_2, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \in CL(S_2)$ (27)

Let $y_2 = 0, d = 0, y_1 < 0$, we have

1.5

$$p_{1} \geq 0 \tag{28}$$

$$137$$

$$138$$

$$139 \qquad \text{Let } \binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}} = \binom{0}{0} \in CL(S_{2}) \text{ So that}$$

$$140$$

$$141 \qquad (p_{1}^{T}A^{T} + p_{2}^{T}B^{T})d \geq 0 \tag{29}$$

$$(Ap_{1} + Bp_{2})^{T}d \geq 0 \tag{30}$$

$$143$$

$$144 \qquad \text{Let } d = -(Ap_{1} + Bp_{2}), \text{ we have}$$

$$145$$

$$146 \qquad Ap_{1} + Bp_{2} = 0 \tag{31}$$

$$147$$

$$148 \qquad \text{From above, we have}$$

From above, we have

 $\begin{cases} Ap_1 + Bp_2 = 0\\ p_1 > 0 \end{cases}$ Let $p_1 = {\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_{I(x)}}, p_2 = {\mu_1, ..., \mu_m}, i.e.,$

 $\begin{cases} \lambda_0 \bigtriangledown f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \bigtriangledown g_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \bigtriangledown h_j(x) = 0 \\ \lambda_i > 0 \end{cases}$

Theorem 2. Kuhn-Tucker Condition For constrained optimization problem $\min f(x)$ s.t. $q_i(x) > 0, i = 1, ..., n$

 $h_i(x) = 0, i \in 1, ..., m$ Denote $I(x) = \{i \in \{1,...,n\} | g_i(x) = 0\}$. For $x \in S$, f and $g_i, i \in I(x)$ is differentiable at x, $h_i(x)$ is continuously differentiable at x. $\{\nabla g_i(x), i \in$ $I(x); \nabla h_i(x), j = 1, ..., m$ is linearly independent. If x is local optimal, then $\exists \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \mu_i, \text{ such that }$

Descent function

 $\nabla f(x) - \sum_{i \in I(x)} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0$

Definition 5. Descent function. Denote solution set $\Omega \in X$, A is an algorithm

on $X, \psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$. If

 $\psi(u) < \psi(x), \quad \forall x \notin \Omega, u \in \mathcal{A}(x)$

Then ψ is a **descent function** of (Ω, \mathcal{A}) .

 $\psi(y) < \psi(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, y \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ (39)

(32)

(33)

(34)

(35)

(36)

(37)

(38)

Theorem 3. A is an algorithm on X , Ω is th Ω , then the iteration stops. Otherwise set $x^{(k+1)}$	e solution set, $x^{(0)} \in X$. If $x^{(k)} \in A(x^{(k)})$, $k := k + 1$. If
- $\{x^{(k)}\}\ $ in a compact subset of X - There exists a continuous function ψ , ψ is - \mathcal{A} is closed on Ω^C	a descent function of (Ω, \mathcal{A})
Then, any convergent subsequence of $\{x^{(k)}\}\$ co	nverges to $x, x \in \Omega$.
Proof.	
1.7 Search Methods	
Line Search Generate $d^{(k)}$ from $x^{(k)}$,	
$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k$	$d^{(k)} (40$
. search α_k in 1-D space.	
Trust Region	
Generate local model $Q_k(s)$ of $x^{(k)}$,	
(k)	()
$s^{(k)} = \arg\min Q_k$	
$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + s$	$S^{(k)} \tag{42}$

2 Unconstrained Optimization

For unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \tag{43}$$

2.1 Gradient based methods

Algorithm 1: Example of gradient based algorithm