H & A

H: “I cannot understand your question.”

A: “{gotta explain this again? really?} It, so, it it seems to me like you’re projecting onto this {this terminology should work} blank slate that’s that’s got \*\*\*H: “{ohhh i can see what you mean now}”\*\*\* your humanoid body right--

H*:*  “Yeah, so it must have something--”

*A: “{it worked!} It could happen potentially to y--”*

A: “Yes, it must have something some kind of brain “*I see”* activity some kind of emotion *“Okay”* some kind of anything *“Yeah”* right.”

H: “Uhm like even if yeah so it’s kind of like a clone of a person
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Sound overlap of early conversation (H & A)

H & A → simplified, block convo

**01.5**

**Voice-over (substantive thought) and subtitles (reactive impressions)**

02

Different structure which is resulted from all of this being thought form

A: “I gotta explain again IN THAT It, so, it seems to me like AND this should work IN THAT you’re projecting onto this blank slate that’s got your humanoid body right--

A: “I gotta explain this again? It, so, it it seems to me like you’re projecting onto this AND this terminology should work ERGO blank slate that’s that’s got your humanoid body right--

--- --- --- --- ---

Topics to hit:

Digital natives (generation gaps between them and us)

The origins to the technology? Aims? Transparency

Previous iterations of this tech

This + internet

Privacy

FF considerations (STEEP forces):

Posthuman/ transhuman

Evolve to hive mind? Flatten intelligence & homogenize thought

Thought groups?

Boundaries: Nationality? Identity? Gender?

How thought could be improved? Made more effective? Don’t realize they’re doing it???