(Working Title) Appendix: Task Switching Replication Cognitive Control under varying Posture

${\bf Authors}$ Texas A&M University - Commerce

November 15, 2021

Abstract

Appendix of all figures, tables and materials generated by the project. Not all figures and tables may be used in final document, so can refer to this document for details of data analysis, visualizations, summary tables and other products of this project.

Appendix

Tables

Figures

Table 1: Summary of experiment participants results										
	part	cond	trials	accuracy	std	rt	std			
date										
2021-11-10 10:22:00	1	1	384	0.9375	0.2424	0.6220	0.2572			
2021-11-10 11:15:00	2	2	384	0.7422	0.4380	0.7217	0.2333			
2021-11-10 12:20:00	3	3	384	0.8932	0.3092	0.6623	0.2062			
2021-11-10 13:18:00	4	4	384	0.9036	0.2955	0.6129	0.2225			
2021-11-10 14:23:00	5	5	384	0.8620	0.3454	0.5193	0.2228			
2021-11-10 15:12:00	6	6	384	0.9479	0.2225	0.4136	0.1723			
2021-11-11 15:07:00	7	7	384	0.9401	0.2376	0.6207	0.1867			
2021-11-11 16:36:00	8	8	384	0.5026	0.5006	0.8151	0.2968			
2021-11-12 10:11:00	9	9	384	0.9167	0.2767	0.6004	0.2110			
2021-11-12 11:11:00	10	10	384	0.8281	0.3778	0.5416	0.2168			
2021-11-12 12:36:00	11	11	384	0.9583	0.2001	0.3695	0.1196			
2021-11-12 13:51:00	12	12	384	0.9036	0.2955	0.5580	0.2459			

Table 2: Means and standard deviations of accuracy and reaction times (in sec) as a function of posture and experiment trial types.

•		•	accuracy	std	rt	std
posture	congruant Trial Type	switch Trial Type				
sitting	congruant	noswitch	0.9148	0.2794	0.5195	0.2029
		switch	0.8007	0.3998	0.6221	0.2556
	incongruant	noswitch	0.8873	0.3165	0.5518	0.2271
		switch	0.8759	0.3300	0.6054	0.2466
standing	congruant	noswitch	0.8774	0.3283	0.5703	0.2464
		switch	0.8124	0.3907	0.6340	0.2740
	incongruant	noswitch	0.8640	0.3431	0.5546	0.2400
		switch	0.8651	0.3419	0.6145	0.2531




Figure 1: Accuracy from Experiment (replication of task switching). The results show proportion of correct responses (or accuracy) broken down by experiment conditions (congruent vs. incongruent trials, switch vs. no switch trials, in the standing or sitting posture). Error bars represent 95% confidence interval.




Figure 2: Reaction time from Experiment (replication of task switching). The results show average reaction times broken down by experiment conditions (congruent vs. incongruent, switch vs. no switch trials, in the standing or sitting posture). Error bars represent 95% confidence interval.