

Advanced Bayesian GLMs: Quantifying Uncertainty & Model Checking

Data 102 Fall 2022

Lecture 11

Weekly Outline

- So far: regression, GLMs, Bayesian vs frequentist
- **Today: Advanced modeling (Bayesian perspective)**
 - Quantifying uncertainty
 - Model checking
- Next time: Frequentist perspective on uncertainty & model checking

Recap: Generalized Linear Models (GLMs)

- Model that describes the relationship between predictors (x) and targets (y)
- How the model makes predictions:
 - Take each predictor (x), multiply it by a coefficient (β), and add them all up
 - Apply the (inverse) link function to get the average prediction
 - Assume the targets (y) have a particular likelihood model centered around that average
- Examples:

○ Model	Link function	Likelihood model
○ Linear regression:	identity (inverse) link,	Gaussian likelihood
○ Logistic regression:	sigmoid (inverse) link,	Bernoulli likelihood
○ Poisson regression:	exponential (inverse) link,	Poisson likelihood
○ Neg. binomial regression:	exponential (inverse) link,	negative binomial likelihood
○ Molecule concentration (HW3)	???	???
○ Your problem here	<choose one>	<choose one>

GLMs, step-by-step

1. Formulate your prediction problem (define what x , y mean)
 - a. Could involve feature engineering: more on this next week
2. Gather training data (x , y pairs)
3. Choose an inverse link function and likelihood that make sense for your data
4. Fit the model using training data (in this class, using PyMC3 or statsmodels)
5. *Check that the model is actually a good fit for the data*
6. Generate predictions for new x where y is unknown
7. *Report uncertainty for the new predictions*

Model Checking: Is my model a good fit for my data?

- Question
- Step 1: Is the model a good fit for my *training* data?
 - Focus of today & next time
- Step 2: Is the model going to be a good fit when I see *new* data?
 - Use held-out test set to answer
 - More on this next week

Bayesian Model Checking: Posterior Predictive Checks

- Regression:
 - Consider training set $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$
 - For each x_i , draw PPC samples from the posterior predictive distribution for y_i
- Non-regression
 - Consider training set x_1, \dots, x_n
 - Draw PPC samples from the posterior predictive distribution for x_{n+1}, \dots
- Check whether those new PPC samples are “reasonable” given the data
 - If they do, our model is a good fit for the training data
 - If they don’t, our model is not a good fit for the training data