Hoare Logic and Propositional Logic

Today

- Function composition
- Assignment statements in functional programming
- While (pre-test) loops in Haskell
- Propositional logic in Haskell
 - Properties of formulas
 - A parser
 - Conjunctive normal form

Recap: Function Composition

Normal order:

```
(.) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b)
g . f = \ x \rightarrow g (f x)
```

Flipped order:

```
(#) :: (a -> b) -> (b -> c) -> (a -> c)
(#) = flip (.)
f # g = g . f
```

Function composition:

```
If \{p\} f \{q\} and \{q\} g \{r\}, then \{p\} f # g \{r\}
```

Function application, flipped order

```
*Lecture3> :t ($)
($) :: (a -> b) -> a -> b
```

Flipped:

```
infixl 1 $$
($$) :: a -> (a -> b) -> b
($$) = flip ($)
*Lecture3> 7 $$ succ
8
```

No Assignment in Pure Functional Programming

 $\lambda x \mapsto x+1$ and x:=x+1, what is the difference?

No Assignment in Pure Functional Programming

 $\lambda x \rightarrow x+1$ and x:=x+1, what is the difference?

A: different types,

 $\lambda x \rightarrow x + 1$ is a function

x:=x+1 is an assignment in the context of memory allocation (an environment)

In case of integers, such an environment could be a function of type

V→Int, where V is a set of variables.

Assignment as Update

Updating the definition of a function:

```
update :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> (a,b) -> a -> b

update f (x,y) = \ z -> if x == z then y else f z

updates :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> [(a,b)] -> a -> b

updates = foldl update

Examples:

>updates succ [(0,0),(100,100)] 4

>updates succ [(0,0),(100,100)] 100

>updates fromEnum [('a',0),('b',100)] 'a'

>updates fromEnum [('a',0),('b',100)] 'c'
```

What is the outcome?

Assignment as Update

Updating the definition of a function:

```
update :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> (a,b) -> a -> b
update f (x,y) = \langle z \rangle if x = z then y else f z
updates :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> [(a,b)] -> a -> b
updates = foldl update
Examples:
*Lecture3> updates succ [(0,0),(100,100)] 4
*Lecture3> updates succ [(0,0),(100,100)] 100
100
*Lecture3> updates fromEnum [('a',0),('b',100)] 'a'
*Lecture3> updates fromEnum [('a',0),('b',100)] 'c'
99
```

Update operation on an environment

To implement variable assignment we need a datatype for expressions

the assign command assigns an expression to a variable.

The environment is a finite object => defined only for a finite list of variables

- Initially, it is everywhere undefined

```
initEnv :: Env
initEnv = \ _ -> undefined
which can also be expressed as
initE = const undefined
```

Operating in an environment

Evaluation of an expression

```
eval :: Expr -> Env -> Integer
eval (I i) _ = i
eval (V name) env = env name
eval (Add e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) + (eval e2 env)
eval (Subtr e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) - (eval e2 env)
eval (Mult e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) * (eval e2 env)
```

Variable assignment

```
assign :: Var -> Expr -> Env -> Env assign var expr env = update env (var, eval expr env)
```

Example

```
initialize an environment; example = initEnv $$

x := 3; assign "x" (I 3) #

y := 5; assign "y" (I 5) #

x := x*y; assign "x" (Mult (V "x") (V "y")) #

evaluate x eval (V "x")
```

Revisit while loops

lather; rinse; repeat

- What is missing here?

A stop condition

until clean (lather # rinse)

Or

While (not . clean) (lather # rinse)

until is predefined in Haskell

How could we define while as a Haskell function?

A while definition

```
until :: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow a

until p f x = if p x then x else until p f (f x)

while :: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow a

while = until . (not .)

\rightarrow limitation: it assumes x is not in itself a function
```

Example: Euclid greatest common divisor

```
euclid m n = (m,n) $$
  while (\ (x,y) \rightarrow x \neq y)
       (\ (x,y) \rightarrow if x > y then (x-y,y)
                      else (x,y-x) #
       fst
euclid' m n = fst $ eucl (m,n) where
    eucl = until (uncurry (==))
       (\ (x,y) \rightarrow if x > y then (x-y,y) else (x,y-x))
uncurry :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a, b) -> c
curry :: ((a, b) -> c) -> a -> b -> c
f x y = g (x,y)
uncurry f = g
curry q = f
```

While + Return

Suppose we would want to further transform the result:

```
whiler :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> (a -> b) -> a -> b whiler p f r = while p f # r  \rightarrow \text{ here the variable is a function, r}  Now euclid becomes:  \text{euclid2 m n} = (\text{m,n}) \$\$  whiler (\\(\text{(x,y)} -> \text{x/= y}\) \((\text{(x,y)} -> \text{if x > y then (x-y,y) else (x,y-x))} \) fst
```

Fibonacci numbers, functional imperative style

```
fibonacci :: Integer -> Integer
fibonacci n = fibon (0,1,n) where
fibon = whiler
(\setminus (\_,\_,n) \rightarrow n > 0)
(\setminus (x,y,n) \rightarrow (y,x+y,n-1))
(\setminus (x,\_,\_) \rightarrow x)
```

```
fb :: Integer -> Integer
fb n = fb' 0 1 n where
  fb' x y 0 = x
  fb' x y n = fb' y (x+y) (n-1)
```

```
fibs = 0 : 1 : zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs)
```

Fibonacci numbers, several styles

Hoare:

```
fibonacci :: Integer -> Integer
fibonacci n = fibon (0,1,n) where
fibon = whiler
(\setminus (\_,\_,n) \rightarrow n > 0)
(\setminus (x,y,n) \rightarrow (y,x+y,n-1))
(\setminus (x,y,n) \rightarrow x)
```

Induction:

```
fb :: Integer -> Integer
fb n = fb' 0 1 n where
  fb' x y 0 = x
  fb' x y n = fb' y (x+y) (n-1)
```

Functional:

```
fibs = 0 : 1 : zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs)
or:
fibis = 0 : 1 : zipWith (+) (tail fibis) fibis
```

Boolean Conditions: Statements in propositional logic

```
Datatype for propositional formulas:
type Name = Int
data Form = Prop Name
       | Neg Form
       Cnj [Form]
       Dsj [Form]
       | Impl Form Form
       Equiv Form Form
      deriving (Eq,Ord)
Examples:
p = Prop 1
q = Prop 2
r = Prop 3
form1 = Equiv (Impl p q) (Impl (Neg q) (Neg p))
form2 = Equiv (Impl p q) (Impl (Neg p) (Neg q))
form3 = Impl (Cnj [Impl p q, Impl q r]) (Impl p r)
```

Show Form

We will define our own show function for formulas:

```
instance Show Form where
 show (Prop x) = show x
 show (Neg f) = '-': show f
 show (Cnj fs) = "*(" ++ showLst fs ++ ")"
 show (Dsj fs) = "+(" ++ showLst fs ++ ")"
 show (Impl f1 f2) = "(" ++ show f1 ++ "==>"
                 ++ show f2 ++ ")"
 show (Equiv f1 f2) = "(" ++ show f1 ++ "<=>"
                 ++ show f2 ++ ")"
showLst,showRest :: [Form] -> String
showLst [] = ""
showLst(f:fs) = show f ++ showRest fs
showRest [] = ""
showRest (f:fs) = ' ': show f ++ showRest fs
```

Operations on Formulas

Extract proposition symbols:

```
propNames :: Form -> [Name]
propNames = sort.nub.pnames where
pnames (Prop name) = [name]
pnames (Neg f) = pnames f
pnames (Cnj fs) = concatMap pnames fs
pnames (Dsj fs) = concatMap pnames fs
pnames (Impl f1 f2) = concatMap pnames [f1,f2]
pnames (Equiv f1 f2) = concatMap pnames [f1,f2]
```

Generate valuations for proposition symbols:

```
type Valuation = [(Name,Bool)]

genVals :: [Name] -> [Valuation]
genVals [] = [[]]
genVals (name:names) =
  map ((name,True) :) (genVals names)
  ++ map ((name,False) :) (genVals names)
```

```
allVals :: Form -> [Valuation] allVals = genVals . propNames
```

If propNames has length n, what is the length of all valls?

Valuations as Environments with Boolean values

type ValFct = Name -> Bool

```
fct2val :: [Name] -> ValFct -> Valuation
fct2val domain f = map(x -> (x, f x)) domain
```

Satisfiability, Logical Entailment, Equivalence

Formula f is satisfiable if some valuation makes it true:

```
satisfiable :: Form -> Bool
satisfiable f = any (\ v -> evl \ v \ f) (all Vals f)
```

A formula f is a tautology if it is satisfied by all valuations.

logicalEntailment - B logically entails A is true if and only if all the valuations that satisfy B also satisfy A.

equivalence - A and B are equivalent

Parsing Propositional Formulas

```
*Lecture3> parse "*(1 +(2 -3))"
[*(1 +(2 -3))]

*Lecture3> parse "*(1 +(2 -3)"
[]

*Lecture3> parse "*(1 +(2 -3)))"
[*(1 +(2 -3))]

*Lecture3> parseForm (lexer "*(1 +(2 -3))))")
[(*(1 +(2 -3)),[TokenCP,TokenCP])]
```

Parser for token type a that constructs datatype b

```
type Parser a b = [a] \rightarrow [(b,[a])]
```

- Remainder list in result contains tokens not used in the construction of the datatype
- If output list is empty, parsing has not succeeded
- If the output list has more than 1 element, the input was ambiguous

Simplest parser:

```
succeed :: b -> Parser a b succeed x xs = [(x,xs)]
```

Parser implementation in your lab:

parseForm :: Parser Token Form

What is the input of the parseForm function?

A **literal** is an atom p or the negation of an atom ¬p.

A **clause** is a disjunction of literals.

A formula C is in conjunctive normal form (or: CNF) if it is a conjunction of clauses.

Important for automated theorem proving

- CNF formulas can easily be tested for validity: check that each clause contains some p and its ¬p

How do we obtain it?

Step 1: remove arrows

- use the equivalence between $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ to get rid of \rightarrow symbols
- use the equivalence of p \leftrightarrow q and (¬p Vq) ∧ (p V¬q) to get rid of \leftrightarrow symbols

Q: any other equivalence you could use here?

Step 1: remove arrows

- use the equivalence between $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ to get rid of \rightarrow symbols
- use the equivalence of $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(\neg p \lor q) \land (p \lor \neg q)$ to get rid of \leftrightarrow symbols

Q: any other equivalence you could use here?

A: $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$

Q: are there any preconditions for arrowfree :: Form -> Form ?

Check Lecture 3.hs for an arrowfree implementation.

Step 1: remove arrows

- use the equivalence between $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ to get rid of \rightarrow symbols
- use the equivalence of $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(\neg p \lor q) \land (p \lor \neg q)$ to get rid of \leftrightarrow symbols

Q: any other equivalence you could use here?

A: $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$

Q: are there any preconditions for arrowfree :: Form -> Form ?

A: No, it should work for any formula

Q: are there any postconditions for arrowfree?

Step 1: remove arrows

- use the equivalence between $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ to get rid of \rightarrow symbols
- use the equivalence of $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(\neg p \lor q) \land (p \lor \neg q)$ to get rid of \leftrightarrow symbols

Q: any other equivalence you could use here?

A: $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$

Q: are there any preconditions for arrowfree :: Form -> Form ?

A: No, it should work for any formula

Q: are there any postconditions for arrowfree :: Form -> Form? A: Yes:

- the result should have no occurrences of Impl and Equiv.
- The result should be logically equivalent to the original.

CNF (cont'd)

Step 2: conversion to negation normal form (only atoms may be negated)

- Use the equivalence between $\neg \neg \phi$ and ϕ ,
- Use the equivalence between $\neg(\phi \land \psi)$ and $\neg \phi \lor \neg \psi$,
- Use the equivalence between $\neg(\phi \lor \psi)$ and $\neg \phi \land \neg \psi$

Q: are there any preconditions for nnf :: Form -> Form?

Check Lecture3.hs for an implementation of nnf.

CNF (cont'd)

Step 2: conversion to negation normal form (only atoms may be negated)

- Use the equivalence between $\neg \neg \phi$ and ϕ ,
- Use the equivalence between $\neg(\phi \land \psi)$ and $\neg \phi \lor \neg \psi$,
- Use the equivalence between ¬(φ V ψ)and ¬φ Λ ¬ψ

Q: are there any preconditions for nnf :: Form -> Form?

A: input formula is arrowfree

Q: are there any postconditions for nnf :: Form -> Form?

CNF (cont'd)

Step 2: conversion to negation normal form (only atoms may be negated)

- Use the equivalence between $\neg \neg \phi$ and ϕ ,
- Use the equivalence between $\neg(\phi \land \psi)$ and $\neg \phi \lor \neg \psi$,
- Use the equivalence between ¬(φ V ψ)and ¬φ Λ ¬ψ

Q: are there any preconditions for nnf :: Form -> Form?

A: input formula is arrowfree

Q: are there any postconditions for nnf :: Form -> Form?

A: formula is arrowfree; only atoms are negated in the formula Logically equivalent to input formula

Q: what are the pre/postconditions for nnf.arrowfree?

Parser (cont'd)

```
Success if we parse a closed parenthesis:
```

```
parseForms :: Parser Token [Form]
parseForms (TokenCP : tokens) = succeed [] tokens
parseForms tokens =
 [(f:fs, rest) | (f,ys) <- parseForm tokens, (fs,rest) <- parseForms ys ]
We parse implications and equivalences differently (these are infix operators):
parseImpl :: Parser Token Form
parseImpl (TokenImpl : tokens) =
 [(f,ys) | (f,y:ys) < - parseForm tokens, y == TokenCP]
parseImpl tokens = []
parseEquiv :: Parser Token Form
parseEquiv (TokenEquiv : tokens) =
 [(f,ys) | (f,y:ys) < - parseForm tokens, y == TokenCP]
parseEquiv tokens = []
Finally:
parse :: String -> [Form]
parse s = [f | (f, ) < -parseForm (lexer s)]
```