

# Densities of the Fat Cantor Set

Desmond Doran

April 2020

## 1 Introduction

In this project I will investigate the densities of Fat Cantor set.

I examine Fat Cantor sets which are built by symmetric intersection of intervals and which have positive measure.

Let the fat Cantor set be defined on  $[0, 1]$ , the complement to the fat Cantor set is a countable union of open intervals and hence is open. Therefore the density of every point in the complement of the fat Cantor is 0.

The fat Cantor sets contains no interior points. However we can split the points of the fat Cantor set into two disjoint sets.

1) Those which are on the closure of some removed interval (set A).

2) Those which are not. (set B)

Let  $k$ -voids be refer to the intervals removed on the  $k^{th}$  iteration of constructing the fat Cantor set.

Let  $C_k$  denote one of the  $2^k$  intervals left over after the  $k^{th}$  iteration for  $k > 0$  and let  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ . Let  $C'_k$  denote a  $C_k$  unioned with its neighbouring  $k$ -void.

Let  $V_k$  for  $k \geq 1$  denote one of the  $2^{k-1}$  voids which are removed on the  $k^{th}$  iteration.

Let  $D_k$  denote the disjoint union of all possible  $C_k$ .

Let  $U_k$  denote the disjoint union of all possible  $V_k$ .

## 2 Opening Lemmas

### Lemma 2.1.

Given  $p \in A$ , and if there exists  $q \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p, q \in C_n$ , with  $p$  an endpoint of  $C_n$ . Let  $E_i$  denote some  $C_i$  or a null set. Then there exists a sequence  $(E_i)_{i=n}^\infty$  s.t.  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$F \cap (p, q - \delta) \subset \bigcup_{i=n}^M E_i \cap F \subset F \cap (p, q)$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality say that  $p$  is a left endpoint (symmetry).

Also without loss of generality say that  $n$  is the largest integer such that  $p, q \in C_n$ .

Build the sequence. For each  $m$  choose  $E_i$  and  $C_M$  such that  $\forall M < n, M \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\bigcup_{i=n+1}^M E_i \cap F \subset F \cap (p, q) \subset \left( \bigcup_{i=n+1}^M E_i \cap F \right) \cup (C_M \cap F)$$

This can be show to be true by induction.

Base case: Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (let  $p_n = p$ ) and  $C_n$  so  $F \cap (p, q) \subset F \cap C_n$  (one of the endpoints of  $C_n$  is  $p$ ) and there is no such  $C_{n+1}$  for which this is true (this must be true for some  $n$ ). Therefore there exists a  $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$  (with  $C_{n+1}^1 \subset C_n$  the other interval) s.t.  $F \cap C_{n+1} \subset F \cap (p, q)$  and  $F \cap (p, q) \subset (F \cap C_{n+1}) \cup (F \cap C_{n+1}^1)$  and let  $p_{n+1}$  be defined such that  $(F \cap (p, q)) \setminus F \cap C_{n+1} = F \cap (p_{n+1}, q)$ , this implies that  $p_{n+1}$  is an endpoint of  $C_{n+1}^1$ . Set  $E_i = C_i$

Inductive Step:

Assume for  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  (1)-

$$\bigcup_{i=n+1}^M E_i \cap F \subset F \cap (p, q) \subset \left( \bigcup_{i=n+1}^M E_i \cap F \right) \cup (C_M \cap F)$$

and (2)-

$$R_M = (F \cap (p_n, q)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=n+1}^M E_i \cap F \subset F \cap (p_M, q)$$

where  $p_M$  is an endpoint of some  $C_M^1 \neq E_M$  and

(3)- $F \cap (p_M, q) \subset C_M^1$ .

With those assumptions, want to show the same 3 points for  $M+1$ .

Assuming some  $C_{M+1}$  completely contains  $R_M$ , let  $E_{M+1} = \emptyset$ ,  $C_{M+1}^1 = C_{M+1}$ , then  $p_M = p_{M+1}$  and the original points still hold for  $M+1$ .

Assuming no  $C_{M+1}$  completely contains  $R_M$ , let  $C_{M+1}$  denote the cantor interval in  $C_M^1$  which is completely contained and also has  $p_M$  an endpoint. Letting  $E_{M+1} = C_{M+1}$  and  $C_{M+1}^1$  be the other Cantor interval in  $C_M$  and defining  $p_{M+1}$  to be the endpoint of  $C_{M+1}^1$  nearest  $p$  and the original points still hold for  $M+1$

Thus inductively the proposition is true for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$

Let  $I$  denote the set s.t.  $i \in I \iff E_i = C_i$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following statements are true:*

$$(a) \frac{m(F)}{2^i m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(b) \frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

*Proof.*

(a)

$$D_k \setminus D_{k+1} = U_{k+1}$$

$$\text{But } F = D_k \setminus \bigcup_k^\infty U_{k+1}$$

$$\text{Where } D_k \subset \bigcup_k^\infty U_{k+1}$$

$$\text{Therefore by measurability: } m(F) = m(D_k) - m\left(\bigcup_k^\infty U_{k+1}\right)$$

$$m(D_k) = m(F) + m\left(\bigcup_k^\infty U_{k+1}\right)$$

$$2^k m(C_k) = m(F) + \sum_k^\infty m(U_{k+1}) \rightarrow m(F) \text{ as sum from 0 to infinity is finite.}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{m(F)}{2^k m(C_k)} \rightarrow 1$$

(b)

$$\frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} = \frac{m(C_i) + m(V_i)}{m(C_i)}$$

$$\frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} = \frac{m(D_i) + 2m(U_i)}{m(D_i)} \text{ multiplying above and below by } 2^i$$

But  $m(D_i) \geq m(F) > 0$  whereas  $m(U_i) \rightarrow 0$

$$\text{Therefore } \frac{m(D_i) + 2m(U_i)}{m(D_i)} \rightarrow 1$$

$$\frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1$$

□

### 3 Body

#### 3.1 Look at set A

By symmetry all  $C_k^{(i)} \cap F$  for a fixed  $k$  are merely translates of one another.

**Lemma 3.1.**

Let  $p \in A$ ,

$$\lim_{q \downarrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} = 1 \text{ if } p \text{ is a left endpoint.}$$

$$\lim_{q \uparrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} = 1 \text{ if } p \text{ is a right endpoint.}$$

*Proof.*

Suppose WLOG that  $p$  is a left endpoint.

Therefore need only show

$$\lim_{q \downarrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} = 1$$

Assume for contradiction:

$$\lim_{q \downarrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} \neq 1$$

$\exists$  sequence  $(q_n) \downarrow p$  s.t.  $\frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))} \not\rightarrow 1$

It is obvious that  $\frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} \leq 1$

Importantly, we can assume without loss of generality that  $q_n$  is not in a void as if any  $q_n$  is in a void we can make the sequence at least as extreme in distance from 1 (each  $\frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))}$  no bigger) by moving  $q_n$  to the right hand side of the void it is in.

Let  $n$  be large enough so that  $q_m \in C_p, \forall m > n$  where  $C_p$  is the largest Cantor interval for which  $p$  is an endpoint.

Let  $k_n$  be the largest number s.t.  $\exists C_{k_n} \supset \{p, q_n\}$  ( $k_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). This means  $p$  is an endpoint of  $C_{k_n}$

Let  $E_i$  denote some  $C_i$  or a null set.

$\forall \delta > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for some sequence of  $E_i$

$$F \cap (p, q_n - \delta) \subset \bigcup_{k_n}^M E_i \cap F \subset F \cap (p, q_n) \text{ by Lemma 2.1.}$$

Let  $I$  denote the set s.t.  $i \in I \iff E_i = C_i, i \leq M$

$$\bigcup_{k_n}^M E_i \cap F = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i \cap F$$

$$m(\bigsqcup_{k_n}^M E_i \cap F) = m(\bigsqcup_{i \in I} C_i \cap F) = \sum_{i \in I} m(C_i \cap F) = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{m(F)}{2^i} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{m(C_i)m(F)}{m(C_i)2^i}$$

$$\text{but } \frac{m(F)}{2^i m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by Lemma 2.2.}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by Lemma 2.2.}$$

Therefore  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  can pick  $N$  s.t.

$$n > N \Rightarrow \left| \frac{m(F)}{2^i m(C_{k_n})} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \text{ and } \left| \frac{m(C'_{k_n})}{m(C_{k_n})} - 1 \right| < \epsilon \text{ and } \left| \frac{m(C_{k_n})}{m(C'_{k_n})} - 1 \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(\bigsqcup_{k_n}^M E_i \cap F) &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{m(C_i)m(F)}{m(C_i)2^i} > \sum_{i \in I} m(C_i)(1 - \epsilon) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{m(C_i)m(C'_i)}{m(C'_i)}(1 - \epsilon) > \sum_{i \in I} m(C'_i)(1 - \epsilon)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$m(F \cap (p, q_n)) \geq m(\bigsqcup_{k_n}^M E_i \cap F) > \sum_{i \in I} m(C'_i)(1 - \epsilon)^2 > m([p, q_n - \delta])(1 - \epsilon)^2$$

recalling that no  $q_n$  is in a void

take  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , then:

$$m(F \cap (p, q_n)) \geq m(p, q_n)(1 - \epsilon)^2$$

$$\frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m(p, q_n)} \geq (1 - \epsilon)^2$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $(1 - \epsilon)^2 \rightarrow 1$

$$\text{therefore } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))} \geq 1$$

$$\text{but } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))} \leq 1$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))} = 1$$

Contradiction, therefore

$$\lim_{q \downarrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} = 1$$

□

This means that for every  $p \in A$ , the upper density of  $A$  at  $p$  is 1.  
For every  $p \in A$ , the lower density of  $A$  at  $p$  is 0, as  $p$  is right next to a void of positive length.  
Therefore for every  $p \in A$ , the balanced density of  $A$  at  $p$  is  $1/2$ .

### 3.2 Look at set B

Moving to  $b \in B$  :

I will show that at every point the upper density is 1. Then at almost every point the lower density exists and is 1. Then I will outline how every there is a point with every lower density in  $(0, 1)$

Upper density: Assume for contradiction that there exist a sequence  $(r_n)$  where  $r_n \rightarrow 0$  such that,

$$\forall B_n : \text{ where } b \in B_n \text{ and } m(B_n) = r_n$$

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 : \frac{m(B_n \cap F)}{m(B_n)} < 1 - \epsilon$$

Then let  $k_n$  denote the smallest integer such that there exists a  $C_{k_n}$  such that  $m(C_{k_n}) > r_n$ . Let  $B_n$  have one endpoint which is at the nearest endpoint of  $C_{k_n}$  to  $b$  and it extends out from there in the direction of  $b$ . From how we defined  $n_k$ ,  $b \in B_n$  and  $B_n \subset C_{k_n}$ , however if  $\frac{m(B_n \cap F)}{m(B_n)}$  does not go to 1 then  $p = 0$  and  $q_n = r_n$  would give a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q_n))}{m((p, q_n))} \neq 1$  as  $m(F \cap (p, q_n)) = m(F \cap B_n)$  by symmetry (of  $C_{k_n}$ ). Therefore  $\frac{m(B_n \cap F)}{m(B_n)} \rightarrow 1$  - a contradiction. Therefore the upper density at all  $p \in B$  is 1.

Now consider lower density. We must first prove a Lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.**

Assuming  $B \setminus (\cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1-a} U_k)$  non-empty for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $b \in B \setminus (\cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1-a} U_k)$ :

$$\delta(b; F) \geq a$$

*Proof.*

Let  $C_{N-1}$  contain  $b$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  be small enough so that  $b$  is a distance larger than  $r$  from both endpoints of  $C_{N-1}$ , this is possible as  $b$  is an interior point. Given any such  $r$ , let  $M$  be an largest integer such that  $m(C_M) > r$ , let  $C_M$  refer to such an interval containing  $b$ .

Recall:

$$\lim_{q \downarrow p} \frac{m(F \cap (p, q))}{m((p, q))} = 1 \text{ if } p \text{ is a left endpoint.}$$

This means that there exists a lower bound,  $l_r$ , which is a function of  $r$ :

$l_r \uparrow 1$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\frac{m(F \cap (0, r))}{m((0, r))} > l_r$ . By symmetry this gives us a lower bound on the concentration of  $F$  in subintervals of  $C_M$  which start from an endpoint of  $C_M$ .

Let  $K_r$  denote an interval containing  $b$  of length  $r$ . Any such  $K_r \subset C_M$  will have concentration lower bounded by some value greater than  $2l_r - 1$ .

The interesting  $K_r$  are those which are not subsets of  $C_M$  but go off the sides. Let  $V_O$  be one of the voids neighbouring to the which can be reached by such a  $K_r$ , therefore  $O > N - 1$ . WLOG suppose  $V_O$  is to the left of  $b$ . Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  denote the left and right endpoints of  $K_r$  respectively. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  denote the left and right endpoints of  $V_O$  respectively. Want to find the  $K_r$  with the lowest concentration of  $F$ . Therefore  $v_1 \geq k_1$ .  $(\frac{1}{1-a})m(V_O) \leq r = m(K_r)$ . But as the segment from  $k_1$  to  $v_1$  is contained in another  $C_M^1$  then (interpret  $\frac{0}{0}$  as 0)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(F \cap K_r)}{m(K_r)} &= 0 + \frac{m((k_1, v_1) \cap F)}{m((k_1, v_1))} \frac{m((k_1, v_1))}{m(K_r)} + \frac{m((k_2, v_2) \cap F)}{m((k_2, v_2))} \frac{m((k_2, v_2))}{m(K_r)} \\ &\geq l_r \frac{m((k_1, v_1)) + m((k_2, v_2))}{m(K_r)} = l_r \frac{m(K_r) - m(V_O)}{m(K_r)} \geq l_r a \end{aligned}$$

Therefore given  $r$ , any  $K_r$  which contains  $b$  has  $\frac{m(F \cap K_r)}{m(K_r)} \geq \min(l_r a, 2l_r - 1)$ . Taking  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{m(F \cap K_r)}{m(K_r)} \geq l_r a \uparrow a$ . So this proves that

$$\delta(b; F) \geq a$$

For such a  $b \in B \setminus (\cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1-a} U_k)$ . □

Returning to general  $b \in B$  Recall  $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} m(U_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (as all  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$ ).

Let  $S_a = \{b \in B : \delta(b; F) \geq a\}$  for  $a \in [0, 1]$

Want to show  $m(S_a) = M(B), \forall a \in (0, 1)$ , this would imply  $m(S_a) = m(B)$ .

Assume  $\exists \epsilon > 0 : m(S_a) < M(B) - \epsilon$

But can pick  $N \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} m(U_k) < \epsilon(1-a)/2$ .

Let  $\frac{1}{1-a} V_k$  denote the  $V_k$  scaled from its center by a factor of  $\frac{1}{1-a}$ .

Let  $\frac{1}{1-a} U_k$  be the union of all of these scaled  $V_k$

For  $b \in B \setminus (\cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1-a} U_k)$ ,  $\delta(b; F) > a$ . By Lemma 3.2.

Therefore  $m(S_a) \geq m(B \setminus \cup_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2}{1-a} U_k) > m(B) - \epsilon$ .

Therefore  $m(S_a) = M(B), \forall a \in (0, 1)$ .

But  $S_1 = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{1-1/n}$ , therefore  $m(S_1) = M(B) = M(F)$  by upward measure continuity.

Therefore:

Upper density of  $F$  is 1 for  $\forall b \in B$ .

Lower density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all  $b \in B$ , and hence lower density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all points in  $F$ .

Therefore balanced density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all  $b \in B$ , and hence balanced density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all points in  $F$ .



## 4 Bonus!

### Lemma 4.1.

$\forall a \in (0, 1)$ , there exists infinitely many points  $b \in B$  which have lower density equal to  $a$ .

*Proof.*

Sketch:

Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}, a \in (0, 1)$

$$S_k = \frac{2}{1-a} U_k$$

$$T_{k,r} = \frac{2}{1-(a+r)} U_k \setminus \frac{2}{1-a} U_k$$

Refer to interval components of these as  $S_k^i$  and  $T_k^i$  respectively.

Recall

$$\frac{m(C'_i)}{m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{m(V_i)}{m(C_i)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

Let  $U_{k_n}$  be a sequence of void unions which is are each larger in measure than all remaining void unions (i.e.  $m(U_{k_n}) \geq U_i, \forall i_n$ ) (this must be infinite).

Choose  $N_r \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $\forall \alpha > 0$ , and  $\beta$  a bit more than 2 (function of upper limit of  $\frac{m(V_{k_i})}{m(C_{k_i})}$ , call that upper limit  $\gamma$ .  $\beta = (\frac{1+\gamma}{2})^{-1}$  should work).

$$2^{-(\text{floor}(-\log_\beta(\frac{1+(a+r)}{1-(a+r)} \frac{m(V_{k_i})}{m(C_{k_i})})))} < \alpha(\frac{2}{1-a} - \frac{2}{1-(a+r)}), \forall i > N_r$$

And also (of secondary importance, needed to limit overlap, could change  $\frac{1}{4}$  to any smaller number),

$$\frac{1}{1-a} \frac{m(V_i)}{m(C_i)} < \frac{1}{4}, \forall i > N_r$$

Significance of 1<sup>st</sup> inequality:

Let  $x = \frac{2}{1-a}$  and  $y = \frac{2}{1-(a+r)}$

Want  $z(N_r) = \frac{m(V_i)}{m(C_i)}$  small enough so that it will take a lot of iterations for any void to get close to  $\frac{2}{1-(a+r)} V_i$

Let  $r(N_r)$  denote the proportion through the neighbouring  $C_i$  that the right endpoint of  $\frac{2}{1-(a+r)} V_i$  would be

$$r(N_r) = (\frac{2}{1-(a+r)} - 1)z(N_r) = (\frac{1+(a+r)}{1-(a+r)})z(N_r)$$

$w = \text{floor}(-\log_\beta(r(N_r)))$  this is the lower bound on how many more iterations until new voids can pass left of the right endpoint of  $\frac{2}{1-(a+r)} V_i$

Want  $p(N_r) = \alpha(y - x) > 2^{(-w)}$  so that any subpart of  $T_{i,r}$  would cover completely any subpart of  $S_k$  which could come in contact with it.

Taking  $N_r \rightarrow \infty$  brings  $2^{-w} \rightarrow 0$ . So this is allowed.

This means that if given  $C_i \cap S_i^C$ , can take  $C_i \cap T_{i,r}^j$  (nonempty) such that any  $S_k, k > i$  can only cover an arbitrarily small portion of this intersection. Therefore can fit  $C_l$  in one of these intersections such that the intersection  $C_i \cap T_{i,r}^j \cap_{m=i}^{m=l-1} S_m^C \cap S_l^C$  contains a complete half of  $C_l$  less the bit taken away by  $S_l^C$ . Therefore we can repeat with  $C_l \cap T_{l,r}^{j'}$  as if we had the original  $C_i \cap S_i^C$  and again we will not run out of points. Or we could add  $S_{(l+1)}^C$  to the intersection and do the same thing again.

From this it is apparent, if we start at a sufficiently high  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  a set:

$$G = \cap_{l=M}^{\infty} H_l$$

where

$$H_l = S_l^C \text{ or } T_{l,r_l}$$

with  $r_l \rightarrow 0$  and  $H_l = T_{l,r_l}$  for infinitely many  $l$ .

Exists and is non-empty. However any point in this set will have a lower density of  $a$  (Lemma 3.2 gives lower bound and this lower bound is attained by taking a sequence intervals which have  $b$  as one endpoint and the other endpoint is the far end of a void  $V_l$  which could be deduced from the part of  $T_{l,r_l}$  which  $b$  is in. As  $l \rightarrow \infty, r_l \rightarrow 0$  and the concentration of  $F$  in the interval which contains  $b$  goes to the value " $a$ " similarly to in proof of Lemma 3.2.).

This means that  $\forall a \in (0, 1)$ , there exists infinitely many points  $b \in B$  which have lower density equal to  $a$ .

□

## 5 Conclusion

For every  $p \in A$ , the upper density of  $F$  at  $p$  is 1.

For every  $p \in A$ , the lower density of  $F$  at  $p$  is 0.

For every  $p \in A$ , the balanced density of  $F$  at  $p$  is  $1/2$ .

Upper density of  $F$  is 1 for  $\forall b \in B$ .

Lower density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all  $b \in B$ , and hence lower density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all points in  $F$ .

Therefore balanced density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all  $b \in B$ , and hence balanced density of  $F$  is 1 for almost all points in  $F$ .

$\forall a \in (0, 1)$ , there exists infinitely many points  $b \in B$  which have lower density equal to  $a$ .