Q1 2025 Compliance Audit Report

DOMAIN:

PREPARED BY: COMPLIANCE AUDIT TEAM

Table of Contents

Abstract:	2
Overview	2
Summary of Section Findings	2
Section 1: Data Cleaning & Processing	2
Section 2: Data Storage, Security & Privacy	2
Section 3: Compliance & Accountability Measures	2
Section 4: Continuous Improvement & Compliance Culture	2
Final Score Summary	3
Recommendations- Quick Guide	4
Final Acknowledgements	5
Sign-Off and Approval	5
Appendix	6
A. Interview Questions	6
B. Preliminary (Self-Check) Form	9
C. Compliance Frameworks Referenced	9

Abstract:

Overview

Summary of Section Findings

Section 1: Data Cleaning & Processing

Score:

Compliance Status/Rating:

- Strengths:
- Weaknesses

Section 2: Data Storage, Security & Privacy

Section 3: Compliance & Accountability Measures

Section 4: Continuous Improvement & Compliance Culture

Final Score Summary

Section	Max	Achieved	Status
Data Cleaning & Processing			
Data Storage, Security & Privacy			
Compliance & Accountability			
Continuous Improvement & Compliance Culture			
Total			

Compliance Score (%)	Final Rating
90 - 100%	✓ Compliant – No major risks, minor improvements suggested.
70 - 89%	▲ Partially Compliant – Some risks identified; action needed.
Below 70%	X Non-Compliant − Does not meet requirements, immediate action required.

Recommendations- Quick Guide

***See notes below

Audit Finding	Recommended Action	Priority	Owner

Final Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by the Compliance Audit Team following structured interviews, documentation reviews, and collaborative input from the Data Cleaning domain. The team acknowledges the effort and cooperation of all domain members throughout the process.

Sign-Off and Approval

Name	Role	Signature	Date
[Audit Lead]	Compliance Audit Team Lead		
[Compliance Lead]	Compliance Team Lead		
[Reviewer Name]	Domain Representative		

Appendix

A. Interview Questions

Section 1 (PART 2): Data Cleaning & Processing (To be answered by Data Cleaning <u>ONLY)</u>

Data Integrity & Quality Control		C	omments
1.Is there a structured data cleaning process			
that is version controlled or documented for			
F, ·	□ Yes/ □	No	
2. Are scripts or automated tools used to			
check data quality (e.g., duplicates, missing	_		
· unuse, or remaining resures).	□ Yes/ □	No	
3. How is missing data handled? Are nulls,			
blanks, and duplicates properly identified and			
managed?			
4. After data cleaning, is the output reviewed			
manually or validated using tools to ensure			
	□ Yes/ □	No	
5. How is potentially compromised or illegal			
data handled during cleaning (e.g., flagged,			
quarantined, discarded)?			
6. Are cleaning rules reassessed regularly			
based on feedback, new patterns, or issues			
found?	□ Yes/ □	N.	
	□ Yes/ □	N0	
7. Are there performance bottlenecks or			
known limitations in the current cleaning			
workflow?	□ Yes/ □	No	
	□ res/ □		
Section 2: Data Storage, Secu	ırity 8	z Privac	CV
8 /	J		V
Security & Risk Awareness			Comments
1.If your team handles compromised or leaked da	ta how		Comments
is risk managed during acquisition and storage. (3			
max)	pts		
	19 (24	□ Yes/ □	
2. Are regular vulnerability assessments conducte	ar (3pts		
max)		No	
3.Is there a centralized repository for finalized scr collected information or datasets? How is version		□ Yes/ □	
managed? (3 pts max)	COIIITOI	No	
manaoen/ Linis max i			

4. Are backup systems in place for scripts, scraped information or datasets? Are they encrypted and sto offsite or in a secure cloud location? (4 pts max) 5. Is there a process for revoking access when a team member leaves or changes roles? (2 pts max) 6. Are there clear policies for retention and secure disposal? (3 pts max) 7. If datasets are stored across different platforms (e. Teams, GitHub, local machines), how is cross platforms consistency ensured, so people are not working on outdated information? (3 pts max) 8. Are you aware of whether members have standard protections in place? Multi-Factor Authentication (1 etc.? (4 pts max))	No No No No No No No	/es/			
Section 3: Compliance & Acco	untabili	ty Mea	sures		
Breach & Incident Reporting 1. Are formal data breach reporting processes in	□ Yes/ □	Comn	nents		
place? 2. Any compliance violations or incidents before this audit? 3. Is there a documented incident response plan in case of a data breach or unauthorized access? If	No □ Yes/ □ No				
yes, who is responsible for executing it? 4. Has your team encountered any challenges in storing or organizing data securely (e.g., decentralized files, missing logs, lost local data)? 5. Is there a dedicated person responsible for	□ Yes/ □ No				
ensuring your team remains up to date on compliance obligations?	□ Yes/ □ No				
Section 4: Continuous Improv	ement &	. Comp	oliance	Cultur	e
Transparency 1. Has your team finalized a document outlining the roles and responsibilities of each subgroup or team member? 2. What specific improvements (if any) has your team made in the past 3–6 months to strengthen	□ Yes/ □ No	Comme	nts		
data practices and compliance? 3.Do you conduct internal reviews or debriefs after completing major collection or cleaning cycles?	☐ Yes/				

4. When was the last time your team reviewed or	
discussed compliance expectations in a meeting or	
formal setting?	
5. What tools or support do you feel would help your team become more compliant or secure?	

B. Preliminary (Self-Check) Form

Preliminary self-check forms completed by both domains. Responses informed about the focus areas of the interviews and scoring methods. It covered topics such as team responsibilities, data sensitivity, access controls, and compliance awareness. The full form can be viewed at the following link:

https://forms.office.com/r/KzA5nxSAAG

C. Compliance Frameworks Referenced

 $Barbados\ Data\ Protection\ Act-\ https://www.privacylaws.com/media/4517/data-protection-act-2019-29.pdf$

EU AI Act- https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52021PC0206

GDPR- https://gdpr-info.eu/

NIST AI RMF- https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework