Concurrency optimization for data processing

Performance comparison (wall-clock time, ms)

Range scan speedups

WorldBank: vector 8T vs vector 1T ≈ 3.5×; vector 8T vs

map $1T \approx 2.7 \times$

AirNow: vector 8T vs vector 1T ≈ 2.7×; vector 8T vs map

1T≈2.1×

Aggregates (sum/min/max) are already sub-millisecond to low-millisecond; parallelism provides little benefit there due to short critical sections and memory-bound behavior.

Summary of Experiment

Moving to a vectorized layout while allocating only dataset-relevant fields reduced memory waste and improved throughput. On real runs, the vectorized backend delivered 2.6–3.5× faster range scans on WorldBank and ~2.7× on AirNow under parallel execution, with competitive serial performance and minimal overhead for global aggregates (min/max/yearly sums).

	Cor	mpari	son	of To	otal	Tim	e Ac	ross	Th	rea	ads		
	12000												
	10000												
ns)	8000												
Total Time (ms)	6000											Implementation Type Vector (faster, higher memo Map (slower, memory-efficie	
7	4000												
	2000												
	0		1			4		-	3				
					Thre	eads							

Implementation	Threads	Load Time (ms)	Load Memory (MB)	Query Time (ms)	Query Memory (MB)	Total Time (ms)	Memory Efficiency
Vector	1	10,709	177.2	79.8	316.5	10,789	177.2 MB
Vector	4	10,966	177.1	75.0	310.0	11,041	177.1 MB
Vector	8	10,793	177.1	68.6	316.4	10,862	177.1 MB
Мар	1	11,033	92.6	83.2	293.9	11,116	92.6 MB
Мар	4	12,008	72.6	105.0	293.2	12,113	72.6 MB
Мар	8	11,191	92.5	102.4	293.9	11,293	92.5 MB