

## Laws (or) Properties (or) Logical Equivalences:

|   | Rules   | Name               |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | $p \vee p \cong p$  | Idempotent law ✓   |
|   | $p \wedge p \cong p$  |                    |
| 2 | $p \vee q \cong q \vee p$                                   | Commutative law ✓  |
|   | $p \wedge q \cong q \wedge p$                               |                    |
| 3 | $p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$                | Associative law ✓  |
|   | $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge r$        |                    |
| 4 | $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$   | Distributive Law ✓ |
|   | $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ |                    |
| 5 | $\sim (p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$               | De Morgan's Law ✓  |
|   | $\sim (p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$               |                    |
| 6 | $p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q$                      | Implication law ✓  |

## Laws (or) Properties (or) Logical Equivalences:

|    | Rules   | Name  |
|----|---|---|
| 7  | $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ | Bi-implication law<br>$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$ |
| 8  | $p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$<br>$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$        | Absorption law  |
| 9  | $p \wedge t \equiv p$<br>$p \vee f \equiv p$                            | Identity law  |
| 10 | $\sim(\sim p) \equiv p$   | Double Negation (or) Involutory law   |
| 11 | $p \vee \sim p \equiv t$<br>$p \wedge \sim p \equiv f$                  | Negation law (or) Complements (or) Inverse law  |
| 12 | $p \vee t \equiv t$<br>$p \wedge f \equiv f$                            | Domination law  |

**Eg:**

**Q.** The propositional function  $p \vee (q \vee \sim p)$  is

- a) ~~tautology~~
- b) contradiction
- c) contingency
- d)  $p \wedge q$

Q. The propositional function  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \sim q)$  is

- a) tautology
- ☒ b) contradiction
- c) contingency
- d) None

Q. If A and B are two propositions and '\*' is a binary operator defined as

| A | B | A * B |
|---|---|-------|
| T | T | T     |
| T | F | T     |
| F | T | F     |
| F | F | T     |

Then the compound proposition  $(A \wedge B) \equiv$

- a)  $\sim A * B$
- b)  $\sim A * \sim B$
- c)  $\sim (A * \sim B)$
- d)  $\sim (\sim A * B)$



Q. The proposition  $p \wedge (\sim p \vee q)$  is

GATE – 93

(a) a tautology

☒ (b)  $\Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q)$

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow (p \vee q)$

(d) a contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (\sim p \vee q) &\equiv (p \wedge \sim p) \vee (p \wedge q) \\ &\equiv f \vee (p \wedge q) \\ &\equiv p \wedge q \\ &\Leftrightarrow p \wedge q \end{aligned}$$

Q.  $\boxed{p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)} \Leftrightarrow \equiv$

a)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow \sim r$

b)  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$

c)  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow \sim r$

d)  $\checkmark (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

$$\checkmark p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (\sim q \vee r)$$

$$\equiv \sim p \vee (\sim q \vee r)$$

$$\equiv (\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee r$$

$$\equiv \sim (p \wedge q) \vee r$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

$$\sim x \vee y \equiv x \rightarrow y$$

Q. Which of the following is/are tautology? **GATE - 92**

(a)  $(a \vee b) \rightarrow (b \wedge c)$

(b)  $(a \wedge b) \rightarrow (b \vee c)$

(c)  $(a \vee b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$

(d)  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$

$(a \rightarrow T) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow F)$

$T \rightarrow F$

$F$

NOT a tautology

(b)

(a)  $(a \vee b) \rightarrow (b \wedge c)$

$T \vee F$

$(T \vee F) \rightarrow (F \wedge T/F)$

$T \rightarrow F = \text{False}$

$= \text{NOT a Tautology}$

(b)  $(a \wedge b) \rightarrow (b \vee c)$

$T \wedge T$

$(T \wedge T) \rightarrow (T \vee T/F)$

$T \rightarrow T = \text{True} = \text{Tautology}$



Q. If the proposition  $\sim p \Rightarrow q$  is true, then the truth value of the proposition  $\sim p \vee (p \Rightarrow q)$ , where ' $\sim$ ' is negation, ' $\vee$ ' is inclusive or and ' $\Rightarrow$ ' is implication is

**GATE – 95**

- (a) true
- ~~(b) multiple-valued~~
- ~~(c) false~~
- (d) cannot be determined

$$\sim p \rightarrow q = \text{True}$$

$$\sim(\sim p) \vee q = \text{True}$$

$$\boxed{p \vee q = \text{TRUE}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim p \vee (p \rightarrow q) \\ \equiv & \sim p \vee (\sim p \vee q) \\ \equiv & (\sim p \vee \sim p) \vee q \\ \equiv & \boxed{\sim p \vee q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q = \text{True} \\ T \vee F \checkmark \\ F \vee T \\ T \vee T \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \sim p \vee q \\ F \vee F \end{array}$$

| P   | q | $p \vee q$ | $\sim p \vee q$ |
|-----|---|------------|-----------------|
| T   | T | T ✓        | T ✓             |
| T ✓ | F | T          | F ✓             |
| F ✓ | T | T          | T               |

when  $p \vee q = \text{True}$  then  
 $\sim p \vee q$  can be True (or) False  
 so we cannot determine one truth value

Q. Let  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  be propositions and the expression  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$  be a contradiction. Then, the expression  $(r \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q$  is **(GATE-17-Set1)**

- MCA
- (a) a tautology
  - (b) a contradiction
  - (c) always TRUE when  $p$  is FALSE
  - ☒ (d) always TRUE when  $q$  is TRUE

$$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$$
$$T/F \rightarrow T = \text{True}$$

$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r = \text{contradiction}$

| True                |   | False |  |
|---------------------|---|-------|--|
| $(p \rightarrow q)$ |   | $r$   |  |
| $T \rightarrow T$   | ✓ | F     |  |
| $F \rightarrow F$   | ✓ | F     |  |
| $F \rightarrow T$   | ✓ | F     |  |

\*  $(r \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q$

|                     |               |     |     |       |
|---------------------|---------------|-----|-----|-------|
| $(F \rightarrow T)$ | $\rightarrow$ | $T$ | $=$ | True  |
| $(F \rightarrow F)$ | $\rightarrow$ | $F$ | $=$ | False |
| $(F \rightarrow F)$ | $\rightarrow$ | $T$ | $=$ | True  |





Q. Choose the correct choice(s) regarding the following propositional logic assertions:

$$S: \left[ ((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R) \right] \rightarrow \left[ ((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)) \right] \quad (\text{GATE-21-Set2})$$

☒ (a) S is a tautology

☒ (b) The antecedent of S is logically equivalent to the consequence of S

☐ (c) S is a contradiction

☐ (d) S is neither a tautology nor a contradiction



$$\underbrace{[(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R]}_{\text{antecedent}} \rightarrow \underbrace{[(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)]}_{\text{consequent}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consequent : } & (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) \\ \equiv & (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (\sim Q \vee R) \\ \equiv & \sim(P \wedge Q) \vee (\sim Q \vee R) \\ \equiv & (\sim P \vee \sim Q) \vee (\sim Q \vee R) \\ \equiv & \sim P \vee (\sim Q \vee \sim Q) \vee R \\ \equiv & \sim P \vee \sim Q \vee R \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \sim(P \wedge Q) \vee R$$

$$\equiv (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$$

$$\equiv \text{antecedent} \checkmark$$

Tautology

$$\begin{array}{cc} X & \rightarrow & Y \\ T & & T \\ F & & F \end{array}$$

Q. “If X then Y unless Z” is represented by which of the following formulas in propositional logic? (“~” is negation, “^” is conjunction, and “→” is implication)

GATE – 2002

(a)  $(X \wedge \sim Z) \rightarrow Y$

(b)  $(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow \sim Z$

(c)  $X \rightarrow (Y \wedge \sim Z)$

(d)  $(X \rightarrow Y) \wedge \sim Z$

*If x then y unless z  
⇒ If x is TRUE and z is False then y will be TRUE  
=  $(X \wedge \sim Z) \rightarrow Y$*



Q. Determine whether each of the following is a tautology a contradiction, or neither (" $\vee$ " is disjunction, " $\wedge$ " is conjunction. " $\rightarrow$ " is implication, " $\sim$ " is negation and " $\leftrightarrow$ " is biconditional (if and only if). **GATE – 2002**

(i)  $A \leftrightarrow (A \vee A)$

①  $A \leftrightarrow (A \vee A)$

(ii)  $(A \vee B) \rightarrow B$

$A \leftrightarrow A$   
 $T \leftrightarrow T = t$   
 $F \leftrightarrow F = t$

Tautology

(iii)  $A \wedge (\sim (A \vee B))$

③  $A \wedge (\sim A \wedge \sim B)$   
 $\equiv (A \wedge \sim A) \wedge \sim B$   
 $\equiv f \wedge \sim B = f$  contradiction

②  $(A \vee B) \rightarrow B$   
 $T \vee T \rightarrow T = T \checkmark$   
 $T \vee F \rightarrow F = F \checkmark$   
 Contingency

(or)  $(A \vee B) \rightarrow B \equiv (\sim A \vee B) \wedge (\sim B \vee B)$   
 $\sim (A \vee B) \vee B \equiv (\sim A \vee B) \wedge t$   
 $\equiv (\sim A \wedge \sim B) \vee B \equiv \sim A \vee B$   
 = Contingency



Q. (a) Show that the formula  
 $[(\sim p \vee q) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow p)]$  is not a tautology.

GATE - 99

(b) Let A be tautology and B be any other formula, Prove that  $(A \vee B)$  is a tautology.

(a)  $[(\sim p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)]$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{--- T ---} \\ (\sim p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p) \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{--- T ---} \\ (\sim p \vee q) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{--- F ---} \\ (q \rightarrow p) \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{--- T ---} \\ (F \rightarrow T) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{--- F ---} \\ (T \rightarrow F) \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{c} \text{--- T ---} \\ T \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{--- F ---} \\ F \end{array} = \text{False.}$

Not a tautology

(b)  $A = \text{Tautology} = \text{True} = t$

$A \vee B$

$T \vee T/F = \text{True}$

$= \text{Tautology}$

Q. Let  $a, b, c, d$  be propositions. Assume that the equivalences  $a \leftrightarrow (b \vee \sim b)$  and  $b \leftrightarrow c$  hold. Then the truth value of the formulae  $(a \wedge b) \rightarrow ((a \wedge c) \vee d)$  is always

GATE – 2000

(a) True

(b) False

(c) Same as truth value of  $b$

(d) Same as truth value of  $d$

Given equivalences

i)  $a \leftrightarrow (b \vee \sim b)$

$a \cong b \vee \sim b$

$a \cong \text{True}$

ii)  $b \leftrightarrow c$

$b \cong c$

$(a \wedge b) \rightarrow [(a \wedge c) \vee d]$

$(a \wedge b) \rightarrow [(a \wedge b) \vee d]$

$(a \wedge b) \rightarrow [(a \wedge b) \vee d]$

$x \rightarrow x \vee d$   
 $T \rightarrow T \vee d = T$   
 $= \text{Tautology}$

$x \cong y$

$x \leftrightarrow y$

True                      False.  
 $X \longrightarrow Y$   
 $T \longrightarrow T$   
 $F \longrightarrow T/F$

