

Udiddit, a social news aggregator -By Dev Jadhav

## Introduction

Udiddit, a social news aggregation, web content rating, and discussion website, is currently using a risky and unreliable Postgres database schema to store the forum posts, discussions, and votes made by their users about different topics.

The schema allows posts to be created by registered users on certain topics, and can include a URL or a text content. It also allows registered users to cast an upvote (like) or downvote (dislike) for any forum post that has been created. In addition to this, the schema also allows registered users to add comments on posts.

Here is the DDL used to create the schema:

```
CREATETABLEbad_posts (
    id SERIALPRIMARYKEY,
    topic VARCHAR(50),
    username VARCHAR(50),
    title VARCHAR(150),
    url VARCHAR(4000) DEFAULTNULL,
    text_content TEXTDEFAULTNULL,
    upvotes TEXT,
    downvotes TEXT
);

CREATETABLEbad_comments (
    id SERIALPRIMARYKEY,
    username VARCHAR(50),
    post_id BIGINT,
    text_content TEXT
);
```

## Part I: Investigate the existing schema

As a first step, investigate this schema and some of the sample data in the project's SQL workspace. Then, in your own words, outline three (3) specific things that could be improved about this schema. Don't hesitate to outline more if you want to stand out!

- 1. There should be a Users table. The current schema is unfortunately not normalized which is due to the lack of this Users table. There should be an ID associated with each username and should also be unique.
- 2. The lack of normalization means that there are no foreign keys. In order for the data to be normalized, we not only need to split up the schema into multiple tables, but there should be some foreign key relationships to relate to the main table. For example, a comment can't exist without a post.
- 3. Upvotes and downvotes should definitely not be of type TEXT. They should be of type INTEGER. A user should be able to select between +1 or -1. Under the current schema, a user can set anything in the upvotes and downvotes fields when they should specifically be INTEGER instead.

## Part II: Create the DDL for your new schema

Having done this initial investigation and assessment, your next goal is to dive deep into the heart of the problem and create a new schema for Udiddit. Your new schema should at least reflect fixes to the shortcomings you pointed to in the previous exercise. To help you create the new schema, a few guidelines are provided to you:

- 1. Guideline #1: here is a list of features and specifications that Udiddit needs in order to support its website and administrative interface:
  - a. Allow new users to register:
    - i. Each username has to be unique
    - ii. Usernames can be composed of at most 25 characters
    - iii. Usernames can't be empty
    - iv. We won't worry about user passwords for this project
  - b. Allow registered users to create new topics:
    - i. Topic names have to be unique.
    - ii. The topic's name is at most 30 characters
    - iii. The topic's name can't be empty
    - iv. Topics can have an optional description of at most 500 characters.
  - c. Allow registered users to create new posts on existing topics:
    - i. Posts have a required title of at most 100 characters
    - ii. The title of a post can't be empty.
    - iii. Posts should contain either a URL or a text content, **but not both**.
    - iv. If a topic gets deleted, all the posts associated with it should be automatically deleted too.
    - v. If the user who created the post gets deleted, then the post will remain, but it will become dissociated from that user.
  - d. Allow registered users to comment on existing posts:
    - A comment's text content can't be empty.
    - ii. Contrary to the current linear comments, the new structure should allow comment threads at arbitrary levels.
    - iii. If a post gets deleted, all comments associated with it should be automatically deleted too.
    - iv. If the user who created the comment gets deleted, then the comment will remain, but it will become dissociated from that user.
    - v. If a comment gets deleted, then all its descendants in the thread structure should be automatically deleted too.
  - e. Make sure that a given user can only vote once on a given post:
    - i. Hint: you can store the (up/down) value of the vote as the values 1 and -1 respectively.
    - ii. If the user who cast a vote gets deleted, then all their votes will remain, but will become dissociated from the user.

- iii. If a post gets deleted, then all the votes for that post should be automatically deleted too.
- 2. Guideline #2: here is a list of queries that Udiddit needs in order to support its website and administrative interface. Note that you don't need to produce the DQL for those queries: they are only provided to guide the design of your new database schema.
  - a. List all users who haven't logged in in the last year.
  - b. List all users who haven't created any post.
  - c. Find a user by their username.
  - d. List all topics that don't have any posts.
  - e. Find a topic by its name.
  - f. List the latest 20 posts for a given topic.
  - g. List the latest 20 posts made by a given user.
  - h. Find all posts that link to a specific URL, for moderation purposes.
  - i. List all the top-level comments (those that don't have a parent comment) for a given post.
  - j. List all the direct children of a parent comment.
  - k. List the latest 20 comments made by a given user.
  - I. Compute the score of a post, defined as the difference between the number of upvotes and the number of downvotes
- 3. Guideline #3: you'll need to use normalization, various constraints, as well as indexes in your new database schema. You should use named constraints and indexes to make your schema cleaner.
- 4. Guideline #4: your new database schema will be composed of five (5) tables that should have an auto-incrementing id as their primary key.

Once you've taken the time to think about your new schema, write the DDL for it in the space provided here:

```
-- Part II

-- Drop any of the new tables we propose if they
exist DROP TABLE IF EXISTS

"users",
"topics",
"posts",
"comments",
"votes";
```

```
id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
username VARCHAR (30) NOT NULL,
last login TIMESTAMP,
```

URL. CREATE INDEX ON "posts" ("url" VARCHAR PATTERN OPS);

- When commenting, we should know which post we commented on as well as which user wrote the comment
- The comment should correspond to the post it was written to, so we should have a foreign-key / primary-key relationship where the post ID references the ID of the posts table.
- Should the post get deleted (removed from the posts table), we should delete all comments associated with this post.
- The comment should also correspond to the user who authored it, so we should have a foreign-key / primary-key relationship where the user
- Should the user get deleted (removed from the users table), we should be able to keep all of the comments, but simply set the author of the comments to pull

```
CREATE TABLE "comments"
(
```

text content TEXT NOT NULL

created on TIMESTAMP,

post\_id INTEGER REFERENCES "posts" ON DELETE CASCADE,

parent\_comment\_id INTEGER REFERENCES "comments" ON DELETE CASCADE

CONSTRAINT "non\_empty\_text\_content" CHECK(LENGTH(TRIM("text\_content")) > 0
);

- -- e. Make sure that a given user can only vote once on a given post: /\* Design guidelines:
  - Each vote should have a unique ID and should autoincrement upon insertion
  - We should keep track of which user voted for which post
  - The vote should either be +1 for upvote or -1 for downvote and should never be null
  - The vote should also correspond to the user who voted for the post, so we should have a foreign-key / primary-key relationship where the user ID references the ID of the users table.
  - Should we delete the user from the users table, we should still keep the votes made by this user intact, so simply set the user ID in the votes table to null
  - There should only be one vote per user for a post, so to ensure this we will enforce a unique composition of user ID and post ID so that we should

```
not see more than one vote for any one user and any one post

*/

CREATE TABLE "votes"
(
id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
    user_id INTEGER REFERENCES "users" ON DELETE SET NULL,
    post_id INTEGER REFERENCES "posts" ON DELETE CASCADE,
    vote SMALLINT NOT NULL,
    CONSTRAINT "vote_plus_or_min" CHECK("vote" = 1 OR "vote" = -1),
    CONSTRAINT "one_vote_per_user" UNIQUE (user_id, post_id)
);
```

## Part III: Migrate the provided data

Now that your new schema is created, it's time to migrate the data from the provided schema in the project's SQL Workspace to your own schema. This will allow you to review some DML and DQL concepts, as you'll be using INSERT...SELECT queries to do so. Here are a few guidelines to help you in this process:

- 1. Topic descriptions can all be empty
- 2. Since the bad\_comments table doesn't have the threading feature, you can migrate all comments as top-level comments, i.e. without a parent
- 3. You can use the Postgres string function **regexp\_split\_to\_table**to unwind the comma-separated votes values into separate rows
- 4. Don't forget that some users only vote or comment, and haven't created any posts. You'll have to create those users too.
- 5. The order of your migrations matter! For example, since posts depend on users and topics, you'll have to migrate the latter first.
- Tip: You can start by running only SELECTs to fine-tune your queries, and use a LIMIT to avoid large data sets. Once you know you have the correct query, you can then run your full INSERT...SELECT query.
- 7. **NOTE**:The data in your SQL Workspace contains thousands of posts and comments. The DML queries may take at least 10-15 seconds to run.

Write the DML to migrate the current data in bad\_posts and bad\_comments to your new database schema:

```
-- Part III

/* To insert the usernames from the bad schema into the good schema, we should have
    a look at all of the usernames from the bad posts, bad comments,
    as well as all of the users who ever voted for any posts, both upvotes
    and downvotes and insert all of them into the new users database. This can
    be achieved by concatenating all of the users together into a single
    column and choosing the unique ones from this column.

*/

INSERT INTO "users"("username")

SELECT DISTINCT username

FROM bad_posts
UNION

SELECT DISTINCT username

FROM bad_comments
UNION
```

```
SELECT DISTINCT regexp split to table(upvotes, ',')
 FROM bad posts
 SELECT DISTINCT regexp split to table(downvotes, ',')
FROM bad posts;
INSERT INTO "topics"("name")
SELECT DISTINCT topic FROM bad posts;
INSERT INTO "posts"
 "topic id",
SELECT
users.id,
 LEFT (bad posts.title, 100),
bad posts.url,
```

```
bad_posts.text_content
FROM bad posts
JOIN users ON bad posts.username = users.username
JOIN topics ON bad posts.topic = topics.name;
SELECT
posts.id,
users.id,
FROM bad comments
JOIN posts ON posts.id = bad comments.post id;
```

```
SELECT t1.id, users.id,
1 AS vote_up
FROM (SELECT id, REGEXP_SPLIT_TO_TABLE(upvotes,',')
AS upvote_users FROM bad_posts) t1
JOIN users ON users.username=t1.upvote_users;
INSERT INTO "votes"
SELECT t1.id, users.id,
-1 AS vote_down
FROM (SELECT id, REGEXP_SPLIT_TO_TABLE(downvotes,',')
AS downvote_users FROM bad_posts) t1
JOIN users ON users.username=t1.downvote users;
```