

## Grading Rubric for Team Presentations

	<b>D</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>Length Limit</b>				one page, single spaced per team member
<b>Content Specification</b>	C paper, but omits 50% of assigned topics	B paper, but omits 25% of assigned topics	is a rough draft, with spelling and grammar issues, but otherwise complete	treats the written assignment as a full-blown APA paper
<b>Spoken Communications</b>	slides omit critical content present the assignment or in the paper; bullets and presentation are out of sync	slides omit critical bullet points, causing the presenter to fumble, improvise and present clumsily, albeit with effort	slides contain bullets, which enable the speaker to elucidate many points, while missing others	slides contain well worded phrases which the speaker speaks fluidly, with no hesitation
<b>Team manifest</b>	team contains 25% equality in the presentation or relies upon one speaker	team contains 50% equality in the presentation	team contains 75% equality in the presentation	team shares tasks equally, covers bases of the assignment equally

## Grading Rubric for Written Communications

Criterion	Weight	Rubric
APA Mechanics are present	2	Given Purdue's standards, commonly accepted essay features
Academic Honesty	4	Citations of sources, inline per APA standard
Scholarly Substance	4	Scholarly substance, reliance upon prior publications with merit, accredited sources used, cited per APA standard
Quantitative Analysis	15	Graphs and other quantitative outputs are present. Original analysis is presented through the use of attributed data sets or original data.
Strategic Value of Work Product	75	Overall usability of content, per assignment guidelines. Is it useful to the reader? Does it provide value beyond other sources? Implies original synthesis of prior research/ information and the yield of new views, opinions or concepts. (Answers assigned questions = C, +25), (C+ Proposes useful questions = B, +50), (B + Answers useful questions beyond instructor's assignment = +75