

Implementation v1

Below is a **precise implementation blueprint**.

If you follow this step-by-step, you will end with a complete hackathon-ready product called **OmniQuant**.

This is structured like an engineering spec.

◆ OMNIQUANT — IMPLEMENTATION BLUEPRINT

⚠ Mandatory Legal & Ethical Disclaimer (Must Be In App + PPT)

You MUST include this in:

- App landing page
- README
- Final slide

OmniQuant is a research and educational arbitrage detection simulator.

All opportunities shown are theoretical and generated under simulated market conditions.

No trades are executed. No financial returns are guaranteed.

Users are responsible for independent verification before making financial decisions.

Never skip this.

PHASE 0 — Define Scope (Non-Negotiable Constraints)

You are building:

- ✓ Arbitrage detection engine
- ✓ Multi-hop cycle detection
- ✓ Profit simulation
- ✓ Risk scoring
- ✓ AI explanation layer
- ✓ Clean UI

You are NOT building:

- ✗ Real trade execution
 - ✗ Blockchain interaction
 - ✗ API key trading
 - ✗ Live capital deployment
-

PHASE 1 — SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

Final Architecture:

Market Data (Simulated or API Pull) ↓ C++ Arbitrage Core ↓ Python Bridge (pybind11) ↓ Profit & Risk Simulator ↓ AI Explanation Layer ↓ Web Dashboard (FastAPI + Frontend)

PHASE 2 — C++ CORE ENGINE

Step 1: Create Graph Model

Each token = Node

Each exchange rate = Directed edge

Weight formula:

$$w = -\log(R \cdot (1 - \text{fee}))$$

Where:

- R = exchange rate
- fee = exchange fee %

Why?

Multiplicative arbitrage becomes additive in log space.

Step 2: Implement Bellman-Ford

You must:

1. Initialize distances
2. Relax edges $|V|-1$ times
3. Check for negative cycle

4. Extract cycle path

Output format:

```
{ path: ["BTC", "ETH", "USDT", "BTC"], raw_profit: 0.0042 }
```

Step 3: Optimize for Performance

- Use adjacency list
- Use double precision
- Pre-allocate memory
- Avoid unnecessary object copying

Judges like clean memory handling.

PHASE 3 — Python Bridge

Use:

pybind11

Expose C++ function:

```
std::vector<std::string> detect_arbitrage(Graph g)
```

In Python:

```
import omniquant_core cycles = omniquant_core.detect_arbitrage(data)
```

PHASE 4 — Market Simulator (Python)

This is where realism happens.

Step 1: Slippage Model

Basic model:

effective_rate=rate×(1−volume/liquidity)
effective_rate = rate \times (1 - volume / liquidity)
effective_rate=rate×(1−volume/liquidity)

Step 2: Fee Model

Include:

- Trading fee
 - Simulated withdrawal fee
 - Latency penalty %
-

Step 3: Capital Constraint

Simulate:

- If user capital = \$100
 - Liquidity only supports \$60
 - Adjust final return
-

Step 4: Risk Score

Create simple scoring function:

Risk=f(volatility,liquidity,path_length)
Risk = f(volatility, liquidity,
path_length)Risk=f(volatility,liquidity,path_length)

Example:

- Path length > 3 → increase risk
- Low liquidity → increase risk
- High volatility → increase risk

Output:

```
{ expected_return: 0.18%, risk_score: 62/100, confidence: 74% }
```

PHASE 5 — AI EXPLANATION LAYER

You are NOT building financial advice.

You are translating math into plain language.

Input:

```
Cycle: BTC → ETH → USDT → BTC Return: 0.18% Risk: Medium Confidence: 74%
```

Output example:

OmniQuant detected a temporary pricing imbalance across simulated exchanges.
Under current conditions, a \$100 theoretical allocation may return approximately \$100.18 after fees.
Risk level: Moderate due to liquidity and volatility factors.

Do NOT output:

- “Guaranteed”
 - “Safe”
 - “Risk-free”
-

PHASE 6 — Backend API (FastAPI)

Create endpoints:

1. /scan

Triggers detection.

2. /results

Returns structured JSON:

```
{ cycles: [...], best_opportunity: {...}, timestamp: ... }
```

PHASE 7 — Frontend (Keep It Elegant)

Dashboard sections:

1 Live Arbitrage Opportunities

Table:

- Path
- Expected Return

- Risk
- Confidence

2 AI Explanation Box

Human-readable summary.

3 Market Graph Visualization (Optional Flex)

Use:

- Network graph
- Highlight negative cycle

That looks impressive.

PHASE 8 — Data Source

For hackathon:

Option A (Safe):

- Simulated price generator

Option B (Better):

- Pull public API price data from exchanges
- Only read prices
- No trading API keys

Safer for demo.

PHASE 9 — Final Polish Features (High Impact)

Add:

- ◆ **Confidence Meter (Animated gauge)**
- ◆ **Opportunity Heat Index**
- ◆ **Capital Allocation Suggestion (Simulated)**

- ◆ **Dark Quant-Themed UI**

Keep UI minimal and sharp.

PHASE 10 — README Structure

Your README must include:

1. Problem Statement
2. Mathematical Model
3. Architecture Diagram
4. Risk Disclaimer
5. Future Work

That makes it serious.

WHAT MAKES THIS MIT-LEVEL

Not UI.

Not hype.

It's:

- Log-space arbitrage modeling
 - Negative cycle detection
 - Realistic slippage simulation
 - Structured architecture
 - Clear financial disclaimers
 - Deterministic system design
-

Realistic Hackathon Timeline

Day 1:

- C++ core
- Graph detection
- Python bridge

Day 2:

- Simulator
 - API
 - Frontend
 - AI explanation layer
 - Final polishing
-

Biggest Mistakes To Avoid

- ✗ Calling it guaranteed
 - ✗ Ignoring slippage
 - ✗ No risk model
 - ✗ Only 2-exchange comparison
 - ✗ No math slide
-

Final Result

If implemented correctly, OmniQuant becomes:

A Graph-Theoretic Arbitrage Detection & Simulation Engine with Explainable Intelligence Layer.

That is technically impressive and product impressive.