

Chandra Mohan Bhatnagar vs The State Of Maharashtra on 10 February, 2023

Author: M.M. Sundresh

Bench: M.M. Sundresh

1

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.7

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 22639/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-08-2022 in PILP No. 37/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

CHANDRA MOHAN BHATNAGAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 191836/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 206044/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 206043/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES
IA No. 191835/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

WITH

Diary No(s). 2305/2023 (IX)

(IA No.14095/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.14092/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.14093/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 10-02-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Kavin Gulati, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Avi Tandon, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Garg, Adv.
Ms. Meghna Tandon, Adv.
Ms. Vanshika Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Srinivas Vishven, Adv.
Mr. Ami Tandon, Adv.
Mr. Anish Agarwal, AOR

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, AOR

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Mahesh P. Shinde, Adv.
Ms. Rucha A. Pande, Adv.

Digitally signed by
BABITA PANDEY
Date: 2023.02.13
10:58:16 IST
Reason:

Mr. M. Veera Ragavan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

M/S. D.s.k. Legal, AOR
2

Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yash Momaya, Adv.
Mr. Akhil Anand, Adv.
Mr. Samit Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Saloni Shah, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Vij, Adv.
Ms. Sayali Diwadkar, Adv.
Mr. Vinamra Kopariha, Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Astha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Dhaiya, Adv.

Ms. Bindi Girish Dave, Adv.
Mr. Ieshan Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Aman Raj Gandhi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

We do not find any good ground and reason to interfere with the impugned judgment and hence, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a lack of green spaces/area and open area for the use of people at large are being converted into areas/space for the construction of buildings. We clarify that we have not examined the said aspect. If the petitioners have any grievance in this regard, it will be open to the petitioners to take recourse of appropriate remedies in accordance with law. We further clarify that we have not made any comments on the merits of the submission. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(BABITA PANDEY)

(R.S. NARAYANAN)

