

# The State Of Jammu And Kashmir vs Shahnaza Parveen on 16 March, 2022

**Author: Hrishikesh Roy**

**Bench: Hrishikesh Roy**

ITEM NO.1711

Court 10 (Video Conferencing)

SECT

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Civil Appeal Nos. 7395-7397/2021

THE STATE OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR & ORS.

Appellant

VERSUS

SHAHNAZA PARVEEN & ORS.

Respondent

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.149108/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING  
C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 18136/2022 - INTERVENTION  
APPLICATION)

Date : 16-03-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ashish Madaan, Adv.  
Ms. Taruna Ardhendumauli Prasad, AOR  
Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Muzaffar Iqbal Khan, Adv.  
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, AOR  
Mr. Devanshu Yadav, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. Nos. 37061/2022 and 18136/2022 Mr. Muzaffar Khan, learned counsel for the applicants, submits that the 84 and 45 applicants respectively in these two interlocutory applications were empanelled under the Rehbar-e-Taleem scheme of the Government of Jammu and Kashmir and although some of those empanelled with the applicants were engaged, the applicants were left out due to CA Nos. 7395-7397/2021 pending litigations in the High Court and the Supreme Court.

Therefore, the applicants seek intervention in the Civil Appeal Nos. 7395-7397/2021 filed by the Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir.

Mr. Ashish Madaan, learned counsel appearing for the Advocate-on-record for the Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir, on instructions, submits that the intervention applications are not opposed.

Accordingly, the prayer in the two applications stands allowed. Necessary steps be taken.

(NIDHI AHUJA)  
AR - cum - PS

(RENU KAPOOR)  
BRANCH OFFICER