

Sunair Hotels Ltd. vs State Nct Of Delhi on 4 January, 2021

Bench: Ashok Bhushan, R. Subhash Reddy, M.R. Shah

ITEM NO.23

Court 6 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)

No(s). 6421/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-11-2020
in CRLMC No. 3244/2005 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New
Delhi)

SUNAIR HOTELS LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR I.R. and IA No.130178/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE
IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 6480/2020 (II-C)

(IA No.131280/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT and IA No.131284/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT)

Date : 04-01-2021

These petitions were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tusshar Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jatin Sethi, Adv.
Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.

6421/2020

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.
Mr. Jai Allagh, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR

6480/2020

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
MEENAKSHI KOHLI
Date: 2021.01.04

16:37:05 IST

Reason:

Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

Ms. Deepeika Kalia, Adv.

Mr. Kapish Seth, Adv.

Mr. Mritunjay Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jai Allagh, Adv.

1

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR

Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.

Ms. Abhilasha Bharti, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

These special leave petitions have been filed against interlocutory order dated 19.11.2020 passed by the High Court. The order of the High Court indicates that the matter is already fixed for 21.01.2021.

Mr. Jayant Bhushan, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the High Court has stayed the criminal proceedings without giving appropriate reasons. Be that as it may, since the matter has been filed against an interlocutory order, we are not inclined to entertain these special leave petitions.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed. We, however, request the High Court to consider and take a decision finally on both the criminal miscellaneous cases at an early date preferably within a period of three months or in event of not finally deciding the cases, take a decision as to whether the stay of criminal proceeding be continued or vacated.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RENU KAPOOR)
BRANCH OFFICER