

Maulana Ala Hadrami vs Union Of India on 6 August, 2020

Bench: A.M. Khanwilkar, Dinesh Maheshwari

ITEM NO.4 Court 3 (Video Conferencing) SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 600/2020

MAULANA ALA HADRAMI & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION IA No. 58426/2020 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 604/2020 (X)
(FOR FOR impleading party ON IA 68528/2020 FOR
INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

W.P.(C) No. 603/2020 (X)
(FOR ADMISSION)

W.P.(C) No. 605/2020 (X)
(FOR FOR impleading party ON IA 68558/2020
FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

Date : 06-08-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(s)	Mr. Chander Uday Singh, Sr. Adv.
	Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR
	Ms. Ila Sheel, Adv.
	Mr. Abdul Qadir, Adv.
	Mr. Ibad Mushtaq, Adv.
	Ms. Ashima Mandla, Adv.
	Mr. Salman Khurshid, Sr. Adv.
	Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR
	Mr. Ibad Mushtaq, Adv.
	Ms. Ashima Mandla, Adv.
	Mr. Zafar Khurshid, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Dr. Menaka Guruswamy, Sr. Adv.

Digitally signed by
GULSHAN KUMAR
ARORA
Date: 2020.08.06
15:58:56 IST
Reason:

Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR
Mr. Abdul Qadir, Adv.
Mr. Ibad Mushtaq, Adv.
Ms. Ashima Mandla, Adv.
Mr. Kareem Ansari, Adv.
2

For Respondent(s)	Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv. Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv. Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv. Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, AOR
Uttar Pradesh	Ms. Garima Prashad, AOR
T.Nadu	Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR
M.P.	Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AAG Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR Ms. Rati Tandon, Adv.
Assam	Mr. Debojit Borkakati, AOR Mr. Nalin Kohli, AAG
Andaman & Nicobar	Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Ms. G. Indira, AOR
Karnataka	Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR
Haryana	Mr. Anil Grover, AAG Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv. Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR
Bihar	Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR Mr. Harpreet Singh, Ad. Mr. Keshav Mohan, Adv. Mr. Rishi Kumar Awasthi, Adv. Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv. Mr. Piyush Vatsa, Adv. Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR Mr. Shivam Singh, Adv. Mr. Udian Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Jaideep Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Raveen, Adv.

Mr. Manish Singh, AOR

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, AOR
Ms. Pratishtha Vij, Adv.
Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.

Kerala Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv.
Mr. G.Prakash, AOR

3

Chhattisgarh Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, AOR
Ms. Neha Rathi, Adv.
Mr. Jatin Bhardwaj, Adv.

Goa Mr. Arun Pedneker, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

Maharashtra Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR
Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv.

Gujarat Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR

Uttarakhand Mr. Atul Sharma, Adv.

Tripura Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Mizoram Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.
Ms. Arshiya Ghosh, Adv.

Manipur Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shresth Sharma, Adv.

Tripura Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Rajasthan Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, Adv.

West Bengal Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv.
Mr. Vishaal Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.

For PLR Chambers & Co.

Punjab	Ms. Uttara Babbar, Adv. Ms. Bhavana Duhoon, Adv. Mr. Manan Bansal, Adv.
A.P.	Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.
Puducherry	Mr. V.G. Pragasaam, AOR Mr. s. Praburamasubramanian, Adv.
Nagaland	Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
R.9	Mr. Rizwan Merchant, Adv. Mr. S. Hariharan, Adv. Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, AOR

4

Crl.M.P.59475/20	Mr. Shakil Ahmad Syed, Adv. Mr. Mohd. Parvez Dabas, Adv. Mr. Uzmi Jameel Husain, Adv. Mr. Daanish Ahmed Suyed, Adv.
R.10	Mr. Faisal Farook, Adv. Mr. subail Farook, Adv. Mr. Ranjay Dubey, Adv. Ms. Yati Sharma, Adv. Mr. Kshitij Kumar, Adv. Ms. Priya Puri, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Solicitor General of India, on instructions, submits that the look out notices issued against the petitioner(s) before this Court stand withdrawn. In other words, the concerned petitioner(s) will be free to leave India subject to any other pending proceedings including order passed by the Court requiring his/her presence in the stated proceedings. We place the statement on record.

Learned Solicitor General has also, in all fairness, submitted that if the concerned petitioners tender apology, as envisaged by the Madras High Court in the concerned criminal case, the said petitioners can be permitted to leave India despite the pendency of the criminal case but subject to such orders that may be passed by the concerned Trial Court.

The learned Solicitor General has also fairly submitted that as of today only ten petitioners have decided to contest the criminal cases pending against them and are not willing to exercise the option

of plea bargaining.

In that case, we deem it appropriate that the criminal cases concerning these ten petitioners pending in different Trial Courts in the NCT of Delhi be brought before the same Court hearing Criminal Case arising out of FIR No.63 of 2020, so that all the cases can be disposed of expeditiously. Accordingly, we direct transfer of stated cases to the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, South-East Delhi, Saket Court Complex, Saket as suggested and direct the said Court to dispose of all the cases expeditiously preferably within eight weeks from today.

Copy of this order be forwarded to the concerned court and the Registrar General of the High Court of Delhi for information and necessary action.

List after eight weeks.

Liberty to approach this Court, if required.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
AR-CUM-PS

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER