

The State Of Uttar Pradesh vs Shahzad Ali on 21 January, 2020

ITEM NO.82

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 41694/2019

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHAHZAD ALI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.193548/2019-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA
No.193549/2019-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 21-01-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

None appeared for the petitioner when called. The Hon'ble Court vide order dated 6.1.2020 was pleased to pass the following order -

“We are not satisfied with the explanation forthcoming for the inordinate delay in preferring the Special Leave Petition as from para 3 it is apparent that a six months' period of time was taken for the law department to process the matter. We direct that the responsibility should be fixed and action taken against the concerned person and an affidavit filed explaining the same. Subject to the aforesaid, let notice issue on the application for condonation of delay as well as the 14:22:04 IST Reason: Special Leave Petition.” However, spare copies have not been filed in terms of Chapter XIV Clause 2(a) of Handbook on Practice and Procedure and Office Procedure, 2017. Therefore, notice could not be issued.

Since none appeared for the petitioner, Registry to process the matter for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for orders.

ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE Registrar