G. Palani vs R. Ramrajan on 8 January, 2020

Bench: N.V. Ramana, V. Ramasubramanian

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.24 OF 2020 (Arising out of SLP(Crl.)No.9965/2019)

G. PALANI

Versus

R. RAMRAJAN

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The instant appeal, by way of special leave, is directed against order dated 12.04.2019 passed the by Judicature at Madras in Crl.R.C.No.1393 of 2018 whereby the High Court dismissed the Criminal Revision Petition appellant and upheld the findings given by the lower courts.

The appellant — G.Palani was a Building Contractor. He was known to the complainant-respondent. Therefore,

(Rupees ten lakhs) was taken on interest free loan by the appellant from the respondent on 13.11.2013. The said loan was given for six months and post dated cheque of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs)

was provided to the respondent. Even after repeated requests

G. Palani vs R. Ramrajan on 8 January, 2020

respondent, the amount was not paid and, therefore, the cheque was Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by SATISH KUMAR YADAV Date: 2020.01.13 17:13:31 IST

deposited

Reason:
on 15.05.2014. The said cheque stood

16.05.2014. Thereafter, the respondent filed a pri

under Section 200 Cr.P.C. with the Judicial Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Ambattur. The Judicial Magistrate vide order dated 10.10.2017 found the appellant guilty and convicted him under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and sentenced him to undergo months simple imprisonment directed him seven and to Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs), the cheque amount as compensation to the respondent-complainant within one month's time and default, to undergo further two months simple imprisonment Aggrieved by the said order, the appellant preferred Criminal Appeal No.153 of 2017, which was dismissed by the 2 nd Addl.District Judge, Poonamallee vide order dated 04.10.2018. Feeling dissatisfied with the of Addl.District Judge, prefe order the the appellant Revision Petition before the High Court, which as discussed above,

0n 30.09.2019, when the listed before matter was learned Chamber Judge, it was informed by the learned counsel for the appellant that against Rs.10,00,000/-(Rupees sum of а lakhs), Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) had already been deposited with the Trial Court and it was undertaken to deposit the balance of

was dismissed by the High Court.

Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) within three weeks. Subject to depositing the amount of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) with the Registry of this Court within a period of two weeks from that date, the application for exemption from surrendering was allowed for six weeks and the matter was directed to be listed before the Court.

On 08.11.2019, when the matter was listed before us, directed the appellant to pay Rs.20,00,000/- (Rupees twenty lakhs) to the respondent altogether.

3

On 15.11.2011, when the matter was listed before the Court for hearing, it was represented by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant that his client wanted to settle the dispute with the respondent by paying another amount of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) towards compensation and Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees towards legal expenses.

Today, learned counsel for the appellant has handed over a Demand Draft of Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees six lakhs) bearing No.957653, dated 11.12.2019, drawn on Karur Vysya Bank Ltd., to the learned counsel for the respondent in the Court. Learned counsel for the respondent satisfied with the amount. is said As the amoun deposited by the appellant before the trial court as also this Court has been withdrawn by the respondent and another amount of Rs.6,00,000/- (Rupees six lakhs) has been handed over by the learned counsel for the appellant to the learned counsel for the respondent today in the Court by way of demand draft, we allow the appeal and aside the conviction and sentence the Judicial set awarded by Magistrate, Fast Track Court, Ambattur in C.C.No.158 of 2017 vide

lakh)

one

G. Palani vs R. Ramrajan on 8 January, 2020

order dated 10.10.2017, as affirmed by the 2 nd Addl.District Judge,

Poonamallee and the High Court.

.....J.

(N.V.RAMANA)

.....J.

(V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN)

NEW DELHI;

JANUARY 08, 2020.

4

ITEM NO.17 COURT NO.2 SECTION II-C

SUPREMECOURTOF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s).24/2020 @ SLP(Crl.)No.9965/2019

(From the judgment and order dated 12.04.2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras in Crl.R.C.No.1393 of 2018)

G. PALANI Appellant(s)

VERSUS

R. RAMRAJAN Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 146947/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 08-01-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR

Ms. Sonakshi Malhan, Adv. Ms. Suriti Chowdhary, Adv. Ms. Mrinal Kanwar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anish R. Shah, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following $$\rm O\ R\ D\ E\ R$$

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV) (RAJ RANI NEGI) AR-CUM-PS ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (Signed order is placed on the file)