

# Union Of India vs R.S. Kadian on 16 March, 2021

**Bench: N.V. Ramana, Surya Kant, Aniruddha Bose**

SLP(C).....36389/19

1

ITEM NO.10

Court 2 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) .....Diary No(s).36389/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 12-04-2019  
in WP(C) No.19014/2006 and WP(C) No.3010/2010 passed by the High  
Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.ETC.

VERSUS

Petiti

R.S. KADIAN

Respo

I.A.Nos.164458/2019 and 164460/2019

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing SLP and exemption  
from filing certified copy)

IA No.128220/2020 - EARLY HEARING APPLICATION

IA No.116529/2020 - EARLY HEARING APPLICATION

Date : 16-03-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG  
Ms. B.Sunita Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.  
Mr. Indira Bhakara, Adv.  
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mrs. V.Mohana, Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Garima Bajaj, AOR  
Ms. Nikita Capoor, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Bamal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing. Delay condoned.

Heard learned Additional Solicitor General appearing on behalf of the petitioners as also the learned senior counsel Date: 2021.03.16 17:02:34 IST appearing on behalf of the respondent. Reason:

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed. As a sequel to the above, pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

However, it is made clear that any of the observations made by the High Court in the impugned order on the legal issue cannot be treated as a precedent while deciding the other cases.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV) (RAJ RANI NEGI) DEPUTY REGISTRAR DEPUTY REGISTRAR