Hem Singh vs The State Of Uttar Pradesh on 24 January, 2020

Bench: R. Banumathi, A.S. Bopanna

1

ITEM NO.21 COURT NO.5

INDIA

SECTION X

1

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Criminal)

No(s). 11/2020

HEM SINGH

Petitio

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH Respondent(s) (FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.5140/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING 0.T.)

Date: 24-01-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nishant Singla, Adv.

Ms. Rohini V., Adv.

Mr. Tahir Majid Shamsi,Adv. Mr. Narender Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following $O\ R\ D\ E\ R$

The petitioner is before this Court in this petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution of India seeking relief alleging that the petitioner apprehends threat from one Anand Lal Banerjee and allied U.P. police officers. Further writ of mandamus has been sought in the manner indicated in the petition.

Having considered the same, we are of the opinion that the petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution of India for such relief need not be entertained by this Court as the petitioner 17:11:15 IST Reason:

has the remedy to approach the High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and/or under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Reserving such liberty to the petitioner, the writ petition shall stand disposed of accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MADHU BALA) COURT MASTER (SH) (BEENA JOLLY) BRANCH OFFICER