## The State Of Haryana vs Keshav Chand on 8 January, 2020

## Bench: Sanjay Kishan Kaul, K.M. Joseph

ITEM NO.34 COURT NO.12 SECTION IV-

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  ${\tt I} \;\; {\tt N} \;\; {\tt D} \;\; {\tt I} \;\; {\tt A}$ 

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s).12587-12588/2019

1

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-11-2017 in RFA Nos.2502/2009 and 1303/2009 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

STATE OF HARYANA Petitioner(

VERSUS

KESHAV CHAND Respondent(

Date: 08-01-2020 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

 $\hbox{HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL}\\$ 

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR

Mr. Abhinash Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil Mittal, Adv.

Mr. Vibhuti Sushant Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Kalra,Adv. Mr. Ram Naresh Yadav, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  $O\ R\ D\ E\ R$ 

The effect of the impugned order and the other orders is that the valuation for the land fixed in 1985 has effectively been taken for the year 2002 with 12% escalation per year through a process of different orders passed. Whether this can be so done or not, the matter requires examination.

Leave granted.

Insofar interim relief is concerned, in the present case we are concerned with one acre of acquisition and thus we permit the respondent to withdraw the compensation on furnishing indemnity bond for restitution.

Prayer for interim relief stands disposed of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA) COURT MASTER (ANITA RANI AHUJA) COURT MASTER