## Corporation Bank vs Sandhya Shenoy . on 15 January, 2020

## Bench: Mohan M. Shantanagoudar, R. Subhash Reddy

ITEM NO.105 COURT NO.13 SECTIO

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 7987/2009

CORPORATION BANK Appella

**VERSUS** 

SANDHYA SHENOY . & ANR.

Respond

1

(FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 2/2009 FOR ON IA 91568/2008 FOR ON IA 69379/2009 FOR ON IA 113217/2012 FOR CHANGE OF ADVOCATE ON RECORD ON IA 3/2012)

Date: 15-01-2020 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anshu Mahajan, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shanthkumar V. Mahale, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Sawkar, Adv. Mr. Syed Faizan Ali, Adv. Mr. Rajesh Mahale, AOR

Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, AOR Mr. Akshat Agarwal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  $$\mathsf{O}$\ \mathsf{R}$\ \mathsf{D}$\ \mathsf{E}$\ \mathsf{R}$$ 

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the records, we do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned judgment.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA) (R.S. NARAYANAN) COURT MASTER COURT MASTER Reason:

(Signed order is placed on the file) IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7987 OF 2009 CORPORATION BANK ... Appellant(s) VERSUS SANDHYA SHENOY & ANR. ... Respondent(s) O R D E R Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the records, we do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned judgment.

Hence, the appeal is dismissed. T	There shall be no order as to costs.
	,J. (Mohan M. Shantanagoudar)
	,J. (R. Subhash Reddy) New Delhi;
January 15, 2020.	