

# Angeline Randolph Pereira vs Suyog Industrial Estate Premises Co ... on 7 December, 2020

ITEM NO.7  
SECTION IX

REGISTRAR COURT. 1 THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 12570/20

ANGELINE RANDOLPH PEREIRA & ORS.

Petitioner

VERSUS

SUYOG INDUSTRIAL ESTATE PREMISES CO OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD. & ORS.  
Respondent(s)

Date : 07-12-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Shally Bhasin, Adv.  
Ms. Neha Agarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Vaishali Kalera, Adv.  
Ms. Saloni, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AOR  
Mr. Raghvendra Pratap Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Jasvir Singh Sabharwal, Adv.  
M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR  
Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the  
Court made the following

O R D E R

Respondent No.1 has filed counter affidavit. Opportunity to respondent Nos.3, 7, 8 and 13 to file counter affidavit has already been declined.

Application filed for substituted service in respect of respondent No.10 is allowed. Proof of

publication be filed within four weeks.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that it has wrongly been mentioned in the office report that the petitioner has not filed application for substituted service in respect of respondent No.9. According to her, application for substituted service has been filed in respect of respondent No.9. Registry to verify and process accordingly.

Respondent No.6 has been deleted vide order dated 25.4.2019.

Service is complete on respondent Nos.4, 5, 11 and 12 but none has entered appearance.

It appears from the office report that vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed on 23.10.2018 but counter affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the said respondent. It is not made clear whether opportunity to file counter affidavit has already been declined in respect of respondent No.2. Nonetheless, since vakalatnama has been filed on 23.10.2018 counter affidavit has not been filed till today and since there is neither written application for extension of time to file pleadings in terms of Order V Rule 1(22), Supreme Court Rules, 2013 nor is there oral request to that effect, it appears that the said respondent is not willing to file counter affidavit and, therefore, opportunity to file counter affidavit stands declined.

List again on 14.1.2021.

ANIL LAXMAN PANSARE Registrar