

Hip Bar Private Limited vs The State Of Karnataka on 3 March, 2020

Bench: A.M. Khanwilkar, Dinesh Maheshwari

1

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.7

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 4293-4294/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-01-2020 in IA No. 2/2019 16-12-2019 in IA No. 3/2019 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bengaluru)

HIP BAR PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.28673/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 03-03-2020 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Neeeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vivek Raja, Adv.
Mr. Kaushik Poddar, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, Adv
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rakshit Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Bansal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for exemption from filing official translation is allowed.

Heard counsel for the parties.

We are not inclined to interfere with the DEEPAK SINGH impugned order(s) as passed by the Division Bench of the High Court. The special leave petition(s) are accordingly dismissed.

As Writ Appeal NO.3834/2019 is still pending before the High Court, it would be open to the petitioner to request the High Court to take up the appeal expeditiously.

We hope and trust that the High Court will consider the said request, if made, appropriately.

After the aforesaid order is passed, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Court may permit the petitioner to withdraw the appeal so that the High Court can be persuaded to decide the appeal on its own merits in accordance with law.

We allow the petitioner to withdraw these special leave petitions.

The disposal of these special leave petitions, however, will not come in the way of the petitioner to make representation to the State Government for issuing appropriate licence and permit the petitioner to carry on the proposed activity. That representation/application be considered by the competent authority on its own merits in accordance with law.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
COURT MASTER

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER