

# Sumit Bindra vs State Of Delhi on 24 January, 2020

**Bench: S. Abdul Nazeer, Sanjiv Khanna**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 163 OF 2020  
(ARISING OUT OF SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 7030 OF 2019)

SUMIT BINDRA

..... APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF DELHI AND ANOTHER

..... RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

Leave granted.

2. The Delhi High Court by the impugned order dated 8 th April 2019, in the Writ Petition No. 2628/2018 has refused to record the compromise between the appellant Sumit Bindra and the second respondent Nidhi Bindra and quash FIR No. 811/2016 under Sections 498-A/406/377/354/34 of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC', for short) registered at Police Station Shakarpur, Delhi at the behest of the second respondent against the appellant and his family members, as the appellants had not taken steps for quashing the criminal case arising out of Complaint Case No. 8735/9 of 2016 pending in the court of Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant and the counsel appearing for the second respondent have jointly prayed that the present appeal may be allowed, as the High Court of judicature at Allahabad, vide order dated 18th November 2019 in Application under Section 482 No. 38069 of 2019, has quashed the criminal proceedings under Sections 406, 323, 504 and 506 of the IPC in the Complaint No. 8735/9 of 2016.

4. It is noted that pursuant to the compromise between the parties, the appellant and the second respondent have already separated pursuant to a joint petition for divorce filed by them under Section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1956.

5. In view of the statement made by the counsel for the parties, we quash the proceedings arising out of FIR No. 811/2016 registered under Sections 498-A/406/377/354/34 IPC, Police Station Shakarpur, Delhi.

6. The appeal is allowed in the aforesaid terms without any order as to costs.

.....J. (S. ABDUL NAZEER) .....J. (SANJIV KHANNA) NEW  
DELHI;

JANUARY 24, 2020.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION CRIMINAL  
APPEAL NO. 164 OF 2020 (ARISING OUT OF SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL)  
NO.10500 OF 2019) JAGDISH BINDRA AND OTHERS ..... APPELLANT(S) VERSUS STATE OF  
DELHI AND ANOTHER ..... RESPONDENT(S) ORDER Leave granted.

2. The appeal is allowed in terms of the order of the even date passed in Criminal Appeal arising out  
of Special Leave Petition (Criminal) No. 7030 of 2019 preferred by Sumit Bindra, son of appellant  
No.1 herein, against the common impugned judgment dated 8th April 2019 passed in Writ Petition  
No. 2628 of 2018.

.....J. (S. ABDUL NAZEER) .....J. (SANJIV KHANNA) NEW  
DELHI;

JANUARY 24, 2020.

ITEM NO.30

COURT NO.13

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.7030/2019 (Arising out of impugned final judgment  
and order dated 08-04-2019 in WPCRL No. 2628/2018 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New  
Delhi) SUMIT BINDRA Petitioner(s) VERSUS STATE OF DELHI & ANR. Respondent(s) WITH  
SLP(Crl) No. 10500/2019 (II-C) ( IA No.163861/2019-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)  
Date : 24-01-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today. CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE  
S. ABDUL NAZEER HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajeev  
Kumar Bansal, AOR Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Bansal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddharth Batra, AOR Mr. Gaurav Kochar, Adv.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sugandha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Kumar, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balram Das, AOR UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  
Leave granted.

The appeals are allowed in terms of signed order.

(RACHNA)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RAJINDER KAUR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Two signed orders are placed on the file)