## Union Of India vs G.S. Muralidhar on 27 January, 2020

## Bench: Sanjay Kishan Kaul, Vineet Saran

ITEM NO.14 COURT NO.11

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 46845/2019

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS INDIA

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-06-2018 in WP No. 18726/2018 and order dated 30-11-2018 in REV No. 3/2018 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra Pradesh)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

G.S. MURALIDHAR

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.11032/2020-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.11033/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT )

Date: 27-01-2020 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.

Mrs. Seema Bengani, Adv. Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  $$\rm O\ R\ D\ E\ R$$ 

Delay condoned.

Since the issue is only of a couple of months' salary, and that too on principle of no work no pay, we do not think it is a fit case for this Court to look into under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The Special Leave Petitions are dismissed. Pending application stands disposed of.

Needless to say, the impugned order is given in the facts and circumstances of the case and cannot be treated as a precedent.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL) COURT MASTER (ANITA RANI AHUJA) COURT MASTER