

# COL-870 Assignment 0

Office DEVANCA MAHESH  
Date 2017 EE10093

## 1) Linear Algebra

### 1.1 SVD

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$
$$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

We know B is obtained by rotating matrix A clockwise.  
Mathematically, it can be written as:-

$$B_{n \times m} = P_{m \times n}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \triangleq C$$

$$\boxed{B_{n \times m} = A^T C} \quad (1)$$

Using the SVD of matrix A, we can write

$$A = UDV^T \quad (2)$$

where U and V are orthogonal matrices and D is a diagonal matrix with the diagonal element being the singular value.

Putting (2) in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (UDV^T)^T C \\ &= V D^T U^T C \\ &= V D^T [U^T C] \end{aligned}$$

Here  $V$  is an orthogonal matrix,  $D^T$  is a diagonal matrix, If we prove that  $[U^T C]$  is orthogonal as well, the above expression will be the SVD of matrix  $B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (U^T C) \cdot (U^T C)^T &= U^T C \cdot C^T U \\ &= U^T U \quad [\because \text{It can be shown } C^T C = I] \\ &= I \quad [\because U \text{ is orthogonal}] \end{aligned}$$

∴ We prove that  $B = V D^T (U^T C)$  is the SVD of matrix  $B$ . The singular values of  $B$  are the elements of diagonal matrix  $\underline{D^T}$ .

As  $D$  is a diagonal matrix, the diagonal elements of  $D$  are equal to the diagonal elements of  $D^T$ .

Hence Singular values of  $A$  = Diagonal elements of  $D$   
= Diagonal elements of  $D^T$   
= Singular values of  $B$

(2)

## 1.2 Norms

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

$$n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_m \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) We know

$$\|n\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^m |n_i|$$

Let  $j$  be the index for which  $|n_j|$  maximises ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ )

$$\therefore \|n\|_\infty = |n_j|$$

$$\|n\|_2 \triangleq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |n_i|^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{|n_j|^2 + c}$$

$$\|n\|_2 = \sqrt{|n_j|^2 + c} \geq \sqrt{|n_j|^2}$$

$$\geq |n_j|$$

$$\geq \|n\|_\infty$$

Where  $n_j$  is the  $|n_j|$  maximising index and  
 $c = \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^m |n_k|^2$  and  $[c \geq 0]$

$$\Rightarrow \|n\|_\infty \leq \|n\|_2$$

$$(b) \|u\|_2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|^2}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m |u_j|^2}$$

[where  $u_j$  is element of  $u$  s.t.  $|u_j|$  is maximum]

$$\leq \sqrt{m} |u_j|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{m} \|u\|_\infty$$

$$\left[ \because \|u\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^m |u_i| \right. \\ \left. = |u_j| \right]$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\|u\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|u\|_\infty}$$

(c)  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$$

Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ , then  $Ay \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$

We know that for a matrix  $A$ ,

$$\|A\|_p = \max_{\substack{\text{for} \\ \|y\|_p \neq 0}} \frac{\|Ay\|_p}{\|y\|_p}$$

As we take suprema,

$$\|A\|_p > \frac{\|Ay\|_p}{\|y\|_p} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, y \neq 0^{n \times 1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\|Ay\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|y\|_p} \quad \begin{array}{l} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \\ y \neq 0^{n \times 1} \end{array}$$

(1)

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A\mathbf{y}\|_\infty &\leq \|A\mathbf{y}\|_2 \quad [\because A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty < \|\mathbf{u}\| \text{ for vectors}] \\
 &\leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \quad [\text{using (1)}] \\
 &\leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 (\sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty) \quad [\because \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty] \\
 &\leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty + \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \mathbf{y} \neq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|A\mathbf{y}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty} \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 + \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \mathbf{y} \neq 0$$

As the inequality is true for  $\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \mathbf{y} \neq 0$ , it will be true for every ~~max~~ value of L.H.S

$$\max \left( \frac{\|A\mathbf{y}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty} \right) \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{A}\|_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\|\mathbf{A}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{A}\|_2}$$

$$(d) \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$$

$$y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \quad Ax + y \in \underline{\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \quad [\because \text{for } l \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1} \\ &\quad \|l\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|l\|_\infty] \\ &\leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_\infty \quad (\text{using (i)}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_2 \quad [\because \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 + \epsilon] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty + y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \quad y \neq 0^{n \times 1}$$

As it is true for  $x$ , it will be true for the maximum as well.

$$\max \left( \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \right) \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty$$

$$\boxed{\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \|A\|_\infty}$$

(4)

## 3) Probabilities

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{y=-\infty}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X &\sim N(\mu, 1) \\ Z &\sim N(0, 1) \end{aligned} \quad ] \text{ Independent}$$

$$I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z < x \\ 0 & \text{if } z \geq x \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad \mathbb{E}[I|X=u] &= 1 \cdot P(z < x|X=u) + 0 \cdot P(z \geq x|X=u) \\ &= P(z < u) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz \\ &= \Phi(u) \quad [\text{from (1)}] \end{aligned}$$

2)  $X$  is a R.V.  $\phi(x)$  is a function of a R.V / hence  
also a R.V.

$$\begin{aligned} E[\phi(x)] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n) \cdot p(x=n) dn \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{y=-\infty}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(n-H)^2}{2}} dn \end{aligned}$$

L (2)

This part is Continued in  
Next Page

Let  $p(x, z)$  be the joint pdf of  $X$  and  $Z$

$$p(x=n, z=z) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} \right)$$

$\because X, Z$  are independent

$$p(x=n, z=z) = p(x=n) \cdot p(z=z)$$

$$p(z < x) = \int_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z=-\infty}^{x} p(x, z) dz dn$$

$$= \int_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z=-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^2} dz dn$$

$$= \int_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{z=-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^2} dn$$

(3)

Comparing (2) and (3), we get

$$\boxed{E[\phi(x)] = p(z < x)}$$

3) As  $X$  is normally distributed  
and  $Z$  is also normally distributed

$(Z - X)$  will also be normally distributed

Let  $(Z - X) \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$E(Z - X) = E(Z) - E(X)$$

$$\boxed{\mu = -\mu}$$

$$\text{Var}(Z - X) = \text{Var}(Z) + \text{Var}(-X) + 2 \text{cov}(Z, X)$$

$(\because \text{Independent})$

$$\sigma^2 = 1 + 1$$

$$= 2$$

$$\therefore (Z - X) \sim N(-\mu, 2)$$

Using transformation on  $(Z - X)$

$$k = \frac{(Z - X) + \mu}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$k \sim N(0, 1)$  (Standard normal distribution)

$$\boxed{Z - X < 0 \Rightarrow k < \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\phi(x)] &= P(Z < x) = P\left(k < \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

## ii) Machine Learning

4.1 PCA  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$   $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$

$$\max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} \tilde{V}[u^T x]$$

$$= \max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (u^T x)^2$$

$$= \max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (u^T x) \cdot (u^T x)^T \quad [\because u^T x \text{ is scalar}, u^T x = (u^T x)^T]$$

$$= \max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u^T x x^T u$$

$$= \max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} u^T \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x x^T \right)}_{\Sigma} u$$

$$\boxed{= \max_{u: \|u\|_2=1} u^T \Sigma u}$$

$$\boxed{\text{where } \Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x x^T}$$

$$\Delta x \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1} \quad x^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times p}$$

$$x x^T \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$$

2)

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_i\|_2^2$$

$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_i$  will be a scalar. Let it be equal to  $k$

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}k\|_2^2$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}k)^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}k)$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i^T - k \cdot \mathbf{u}^T) (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}k)$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u})k - k(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_i) + k^2 \cdot 1)$$

$$(k = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u})$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i - k^2 - \mathbf{x}_i^T + \mathbf{x}_i^T)$$

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u})^2)$$

Independent of  $\mathbf{u}$ , can be ignored

$$= \min_{\mathbf{u}: \|\mathbf{u}\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (-(\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u})^2)$$

$$= \max \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u})^2 \quad [ \text{Absorbing negative sign} \\ \min \rightarrow \max ]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{\|u\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (u^T x_i) (x_i^T u) \\
 &= \max_{\|u\|_2=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N u^T (x_i x_i^T) u \\
 &= \max_{\|u\|_2=1} u^T \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T \right)}_S u \\
 &\quad = \Sigma \text{ (as before)} \\
 &= \boxed{\max_{\|u\|_2=1} u^T \Sigma u}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times P}$

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T$$

the covariance

$$\Sigma = ((\bar{x} - \bar{x})^T)^T$$

so  $\Sigma = (\bar{x} - \bar{x})^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T$

## (7)

### 4.2 Bias - Variance Tradeoff

$$h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) = E_D[h_\theta(w)]$$

$$\text{bias} = h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) - y$$

$$\text{Variance} = E_D[(h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) - h_\theta(w))^2]$$

$$E_D(J(\theta)) = E_D((y - h_\theta(w))^2)$$

$$= E_D(y^2 + (h_\theta(w))^2 - 2y h_\theta(w))$$

$$= y^2 + E_D(h_\theta(w)^2) - 2y \underbrace{E(h_\theta(w))}_{h_{\bar{\theta}}(w)}$$

$$= y^2 - 2y h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) + E_D(h_\theta(w)^2)$$

$$= y^2 - 2y h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) + (h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2 - (h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2 + E_D((h_\theta(w))^2)$$

$$= \underbrace{(h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) - y)^2}_{(\text{bias})^2} + \underbrace{[E((h_\theta(w))^2) - (h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2]}_{\text{Variance (from (1))}}$$

$$= (\text{bias})^2 + \text{Variance}$$

$$\text{Variance} = E_D((h_{\bar{\theta}}(w) - h_\theta(w))^2)$$

$$= E_D((h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2 + (h_\theta(w))^2 - 2h_{\bar{\theta}}(w)h_\theta(w))$$

$$= (h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2 + E((h_\theta(w))^2) - 2h_{\bar{\theta}}(w)h_\theta(w)$$

$$\boxed{\text{Variance} = E((h_\theta(w))^2) - (h_{\bar{\theta}}(w))^2} \quad \boxed{\because E(h_\theta(w)) = h_{\bar{\theta}}(w)} \rightarrow (1)$$

Now adding noise.

$$\begin{aligned} J(\theta) &= E_D[(y - h_\theta(w))^2] \\ &= E_D[(\delta(w) + \varepsilon - h_\theta(w))^2] \\ &= E_D[\delta(w)^2 + \varepsilon^2 + (h_\theta(w))^2 - 2\varepsilon h_\theta(w) \\ &\quad + 2\delta(w)(\varepsilon - h_\theta(w))] \\ &= \underbrace{\delta(w)^2}_{\text{bias}^2} + E_D(\varepsilon^2) + E_D((h_\theta(w))^2) - 2E_D(\varepsilon) \cdot E(h_\theta(w)) \\ &\quad + 2\delta(w)[E_D(\varepsilon) - E(h_\theta(w))] \\ &\quad \because \text{IID} \\ &\quad \because \sim N(0, 1) \\ &= \underbrace{\delta(w)^2 + E_D(\varepsilon^2)}_{\text{previous expression} = (\text{bias})^2 + \text{variance}} + E_D(h_\theta(w))^2 + 2\delta(w)E_D(h_\theta(w)) \\ &\quad + E_D(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= (\text{bias})^2 + \text{variance} + E_D(\varepsilon^2) \quad [\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)] \\ &= \text{bias}^2 + \text{variance} + \sigma^2 \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{var} = E(\varepsilon^2) - (E(\varepsilon))^2 \\ \sigma^2 = E((\varepsilon)^2) - 0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

## 4.3 kernelising the Perceptron

(8)  
=

$$1) \theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \alpha [y^{(t+1)} - h_{\theta}(x^{(t+1)})] x^{(t+1)}$$

given we start with  $\theta^0 = \beta$ ,  
even in higher dimension,  $\theta^0$  will be a zero vector

for  $i \neq 0$ , we can write

$$\theta^i = \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k x^{(k)} \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{where } \beta_k \\ \text{expression} \\ \text{prediction} \end{array} \right]$$

were for solving the  
recursively and then

In the transformed space,

$$\boxed{\theta^i = \sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k \phi(x^{(k)})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2) \quad h_{\Theta^T}(\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)}) &= g(\Theta^T \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)})) \\
 &= g\left(\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})}_{\hookrightarrow \text{using the } \Theta \text{ expressed in Part 1}} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)})\right) \\
 &= g\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k \underbrace{\phi(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \phi(\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)})}_{k(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i+1)})}\right) \\
 &= \boxed{g\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \beta_k k(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i+1)})\right)} \xrightarrow{\text{kernel}}
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) The update is simple

$$\theta^{(i+1)} = \theta^{(i)} + \alpha [y^{(i+1)} - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})] \phi(x^{(i)})$$

calculated in  
part 2

Comparing with  $\theta^{(i+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \beta_{ik} \phi(x^{(k)})$

$$= \theta^i + (\beta_{i(i+1)}) \phi(x^{(i+1)})$$

We set  $\beta_{i(i+1)} = \alpha [y^{(i+1)} - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})]$

$$\boxed{\theta^{(i+1)} = \theta^i + \beta_{i(i+1)} \phi(x^{(i+1)})}$$

## (Q2) Subgradients :-

$$(a) f(n) = \max_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} (a_i^T n + b_i)$$

For a given point  $n$ , let  $\underline{k}$  be the index of  $\underline{k}$  st.

$$f(n) = a_{\underline{k}}^T n + b_{\underline{k}}$$

Then  $a_{\underline{k}}$  will be the subgradient at that  $n$ .

If we multiply  $k$ 's st.  $a_{\underline{k}}^T n + b_n = f(n)$ , then we can choose any value b/w the highest and lowest  $a_k$

$$(b) f(n) = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} |a_i^T n + b_i|$$

for a given point  $n$ , let  $k$  be the index s.t.

$$f(n) = |a_k^T n + b_k|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } a_k^T n + b_k \geq 0 \text{ then subgradient} &= \boxed{a_k} \\ a_k^T n + b_k < 0 \text{ then subgradient} &= \boxed{-a_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$(C) \quad p(t) = n_1 + n_2 t + \dots + n_{n-1} t^{n-1}$$

$$f(n) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} p(t)$$

For a given  $n$ , find  $\vec{t}^*$  st.  $f(n) = p(\vec{t})$

then  $\vec{t}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ t_1^* \\ t_2^* \\ \vdots \\ t_{n-1}^* \end{bmatrix}$  is the sub-gradient of  $n$ .

$$(d) f(n) = n_{c_1} + n_{c_2} + \dots + n_{c_k}$$

For this case for a given  $n$ , we will use only a ~~few~~ subset of few indices  $i \in n$ .

Let  $A = \{j\}$  s.t.  $j$  is among  $k$  largest indices.

Then subgradient at  $n$  will be  $g$  s.t.

$$g_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in A \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

$$(C) \quad f(n) = \inf_{\Delta j \leq \Delta} \|n - j\|^2$$

For a given  $n$ , let  $j^*$  be the point for which  $\|n - j\|^2$  is minimum. We move infinitesimally from  $n \rightarrow n + \Delta n$

$$f(n + \Delta n) = \inf_{\Delta j^* \leq \Delta} \|n + \Delta n - j^*\|^2$$

$$= \inf_{\Delta j^* \leq \Delta} (\|n - j^*\|^2 + 2\Delta n \|n - j^*\|_1 + \text{small terms})$$

$$= \inf_{\Delta j^* \leq \Delta} (\|n - j^*\|^2 + 2\Delta n \|n - j^*\|_1) \quad | \text{ norm}$$

We know  $\inf(A+B) \geq \inf(A) + \inf(B)$

$$\Rightarrow f(n + \Delta n) \geq \inf(\|n - j^*\|^2) + \inf(2\Delta n \|n - j^*\|_1)$$

$$\geq \inf(\|n - j^*\|^2) + \underbrace{2(n - j^*) \Delta n}_{\text{gradient}}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Gradient} = 2(n - j^*)}$$