

Devansh Tripathi  
Lecturer:

August 10, 2024

---

## Lecture 1: Theory of Groups and Rings

**Definition 1.** *Fibre of  $f$  over  $b$ :* For a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the pre-image of  $b \in B$  is called the fibre of  $f$  over  $b$ .

**Definition 2.** *Equivalence class of  $a \in A$  is defined to be  $\{x \mid xRa\}$ . The elements of equivalence class of  $a \in A$  are said to be equivalent to  $a$  and any element of this class is called the representative of this class. They are denoted by  $[a]$ .*

**Lemma 1.** *Any two equivalence classes are either disjoint or equal.*

*Proof.* Suppose, we have two equivalence classes  $[a]$  and  $[b]$  such that  $[a] \neq [b]$ . We need to prove that  $[a] \cap [b] = \phi$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $\exists x$  such that  $x \in [a] \cap [b]$ . This means that  $xRa$  and  $xRb$ . Using symmetry of equivalence relation, we have  $aRx$  and  $xRb$  and by transitivity we can say  $aRb$ . Hence,  $[a] = [b]$  which is a contradiction.

We have proved that if they are not equal then they are disjoint. Other way can be proved with similar argument.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** *Partition of  $A$ :* A partition of  $A$  is any collection  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  of non-empty subsets of  $A$  such that it follows:

1.  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i = A$ , and
2.  $A_i \cap A_j = \phi \quad \forall i, j \in I \text{ and } i \neq j$ .

**Remark.** *The notion of an equivalence relation on  $A$  and a partition of  $A$  are the same.*

For a set  $A$ , every equivalence relation on  $A$  induces the partition on set  $A$  using equivalence classes. In other words, every equivalence class associated with an equivalence relation forms a partition of  $A$ .

If  $R$  is the equivalence relation on  $A$  then the induced partition  $P$  will be

$$P = \{\{b \mid bRa, \forall b \in A\} \mid a \in A\}$$

Also, with the given partition  $P$ , we can define relation  $R$  as

$$R = \{bRa \mid \exists p_i \in P \text{ such that } a, b \in p_i \quad \forall i \in I\}$$

We can say a partition  $P$  is made up of equivalence classes  $p_i$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty set.*

1. *If  $R$  defines an equivalence relation on  $A$  then the set of equivalence classes of  $R$  forms a partition of  $A$ .*
2. *If  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a partition of  $A$  then there is an equivalence relation on  $A$  whose equivalence classes are sets  $A_i, i \in I$ .*

---

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $P$  is a set of equivalence classes of  $R$ , defined as

$$P = \{\{b \mid bRa, b \in A\} \mid a \in A\}$$

We need to prove that  $P$  defines partition of  $A$ . For some  $p_i \in P$ , it will be nonempty since it will have atleast  $a$  which is related to itself. Now, using lemma 1, we can say that  $p_i \cap p_j = \phi$  for some  $p_i, p_j \in P$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  given that  $i \neq j$ .

Also, we know that every point of set  $A$  will be in some equivalence class (reflexivity so atleast in the equivalence of itself). If we take union of all those classes we will get  $A$  as each point has a atleast a equivalence class.

2. Given the collection of sets,  $Q = \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  as a partition of  $A$ , we need to show that there exists an equivalence relation on  $A$  with equivalence classes as sets  $A_i, i \in I$ .

We can define relation  $R$  as

$$R = \{(a, b) \mid bRa \text{ and } \exists A_i \in Q \text{ such that } a, b \in A_i\}$$

It is reflexive (obvious), symmetric (obvious) and transitive ( $aRb$  such that  $a, b \in A_i$  and  $bRc$  such that  $b, c \in A_i \implies aRc$  such that  $a, c \in A_i$ ). Hence, it is a equivalence relation and the corresponding equivalence class for some  $i$  will be

$$q_i = \{b \mid bRa_i, \forall b \in A \text{ \& } a_i \in A\}$$

Since with the same arguments given in proof of part (1) that  $q_i, i \in I$  is nonempty, disjoint and exhausts the set  $A$ , we can say it forms the partition of  $A$  and since every equivalence relation induces a unique partition, we can also say that  $q_i, i \in I$  are precisely the sets  $A_i, i \in I$ . □

## Properties of Integers

**Property 1.** *Well Ordering of  $\mathbb{Z}$ : If  $A$  is any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , there is some element  $m \in A$  such that  $m \leq a, \forall a \in A$ .  $m$  is called the minimum element of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Proof for well ordering property of  $\mathbb{Z}$  i.e. existence and uniqueness of minimal element.

For a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , we can prove this by induction. Suppose  $A = \{a_1\}$  then  $a_1 \leq a_1$  hence  $a_1$  is the minimal element. Suppose there exists a minimal element  $m$  in the set  $A$  have  $n$  element  $a_1, a_2, \dots a_n$ .

For the case when  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_{n+1}\}$ , we already have  $m$  as the minimal element for  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots a_n\}$  hence there are three cases: if  $a_{n+1} = m$ ,  $a_{n+1} > m$  and  $a_{n+1} < m$  and in all three cases there exists a minimal element in set  $A$ .

For uniqueness of minimal element, suppose for contradiction there exists two minimal elements in set  $A$ , say  $m_1, m_2$  such that  $m_1 \neq m_2$ . Then there are two possibilities  $m_1 > m_2$  and  $m_1 < m_2$ . If  $m_1 > m_2$  then assume  $m_1$  to be the minimal element hence this case is not possible. Similarly,  $m_1 < m_2$  is also not possible assuming  $m_2$  be the minimal element. Hence, contradiction. Therefore,  $m_1 = m_2$ . □

**Definition 4.**  $a|b = a$  divides  $b$ .  $b = ac$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

---

**Definition 5.** For some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $l = \text{lcm}(a, b)$  then  $dl = ab$ .

**Definition 6.** The Division Algorithm: If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then there exists a unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = bq + r$  where  $0 \leq r < |b|$ . And  $q$  is called quotient and  $r$  is called remainder.

**Definition 7.** The Euclidean Algorithm: Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we can use this algorithm to find the gcd of these two number in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_0b + r_0 \\ b &= q_1r_0 + r_1 \\ r_0 &= q_2r_1 + r_2 \\ &\vdots \\ r_{n-2} &= q_{n+1}r_{n-1} + r_n \\ r_{n-1} &= q_{n+2}r_n \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_n$  is the gcd of  $(a, b)$ . Such an  $r_n$  exists because  $|b| > |r_0| > |r_1| \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of strictly positive integers hence it cannot go on to infinite elements.

$\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ : For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that we can write  $\gcd(a, b)$  as linear combination of  $x, y$

$$\gcd(a, b) = ax + by$$

.

**Theorem 1.** Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n > 1$ , then  $n$  can be factored uniquely into the product of primes, i.e. there are distinct primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  and positive integers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s$ , such that

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$$

This factorization is unique in the sense that the set of  $p_i$ 's is unique and no other set of primes and the exponent can generate the same number.

*Proof.* We will use induction to prove the first part that every  $n > 1$  can be written as the product of primes.

For  $n = 2$  it is true as  $2 = 2^1$ . Suppose for all the numbers less than  $n$  can be written as the product of primes. Now, for  $n$  we can have two cases:

**Case: 1** If  $n$  is prime then it is obvious that it's true.

**Case: 2** If  $n$  is composite then  $n$  can be written as  $n = ab$  where  $0 < a, b < n$  by definition of composite numbers. And by our assumption for induction it is true that  $a, b$  can be written as product of primes as they are less than  $n$ . Hence,  $n = ab$  can also be a product of primes.

Now, about the uniqueness of the primes factors. Let's assume that there exists some primes  $q'_i$ s and the exponents  $\beta'_i$ s such that

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s} = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_s^{\beta_s}$$

Since  $p_1$  divides the left side, it should also divide the right side. Hence,  $p_1 | q_i$  for some  $i$ . But  $p_1$  and  $q_i$  are primes  $\implies p_1 = q_i$ . WLOG, we can choose  $i = 1 \implies p_1 = q_1$ .

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s} = p_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_s^{\beta_s}$$

Now, we can have  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and we can cancel  $p_1^{\beta_1}$  from both sides.

$$p_1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s} = q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_s^{\beta_s}$$

But observe that now  $p_1$  divides left side but not the right side. Hence  $\alpha_1 \not> \beta_1$ . Similar argument for  $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ .

Using induction we can show that both sides are equivalent. Hence, primes and their coefficients are unique.  $\square$

We can also define lcm and gcd using fundamental theorem of arithmetic as:

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} p_2^{\min(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \dots$$

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} p_2^{\max(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \dots$$

**Definition 8.** Euler  $\phi$ - function: For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  let  $\phi(n)$  be the number of positive integers  $a \leq n$  with  $(a, n) = 1$ . For primes  $p$ ,  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ , and more generally,  $\forall a \geq 1$  we have

$$\phi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$$

The function  $\phi$  is multiplicative in the sense that  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$  if  $(a, b) = 1$ . So for some  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}) = \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1}) \phi(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \dots \phi(p_s^{\alpha_s}) \\ &= p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} (p_1 - 1) p_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} (p_2 - 1) \dots p_s^{\alpha_s - 1} (p_s - 1) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** If  $n$  is composite then there are integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $n | ab$  but  $n \nmid a$  or  $n \nmid b$ .

*Proof.* Since  $n$  is composite then  $n = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots y_1^{n'_1} y_2^{n'_2} \dots$  where  $x, y$  are primes  $\in (0, n)$  and  $n'_i \geq 1 \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have to prove the existence of the integers  $a, b$  such that  $n | ab$  but  $n \nmid a$  or  $n \nmid b$ .

We can construct such integers given the prime factorization of  $n$ . If we define  $a = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots$  and  $b = y_1^{n'_1} y_2^{n'_2} \dots$  then we have satisfied the needed conditions.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** If  $p$  is a prime then  $\sqrt{p}$  is not a rational number.

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction,  $\sqrt{p}$  is a rational number. Then there exist  $x, y$  such that  $\sqrt{p} = \frac{x}{y}$  and  $(x, y) = 1$ . Then  $p = (\frac{x}{y})^2$  but  $p$  is a prime hence the only factorization it has is  $p = p \times 1$  and factorization is unique by fundamental theorem of arithmetic. Hence contradiction,  $\sqrt{p}$  is an irrational number.  $\square$

---

**Ques.** If  $p$  is a prime then prove that there do not exist nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a^2 = pb^2$ .

**Ans.** If  $a^2 = pb^2$  then  $a = \pm\sqrt{p}b$  and using theorem 3, we can say  $\sqrt{p}$  is an irrational number and the product of an irrational and an integer can never be an integer. Hence, there does not exist nonzero  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a^2 = pb^2$ .

---

## Lecture 2

**$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  : Integers modulo  $n$**  Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. Define a relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as

$$aRb \text{ iff } n \mid (b - a)$$

$R$  is the equivalence relation as can be verified. We call  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , (read as:  $a$  is congruent to  $b \pmod{n}$ ) if  $aRb$ .

The equivalence class of  $a$  is denoted by  $\bar{a}$  and called *congruent class or residue class of  $a \pmod{n}$* .

$$\begin{aligned} n \mid (b - a) &\implies b - a = nk \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &\implies b = a + kn \text{ and } b \in \bar{a} \end{aligned}$$

For example:  $\bar{0}$  = perfectly divisible by  $n$ . These residue classes partitions the  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The set of all these equivalence classes under this equivalence relation will be denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , called *integers modulo  $n$*  or *integer mod  $n$* .

The process of finding the equivalence class  $\pmod{n}$  of some integer  $a$  is referred to as *reducing  $a \pmod{n}$* .

**Addition and multiplication for elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ :**

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$$

This means that we can take any *representative* element from class  $\bar{a}$  and any *representative* element from class  $\bar{b}$  and then do usual addition (or multiplication) then find the class in which the result lies.

**For example:** If we take  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , then we have two classes  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}$  (it's 0 to  $n - 1$ ,  $n = 2$  here) then we can take 4 and 7 from  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$  respectively.  $4 + 7 = 11$  and 11 lies in  $\bar{1}$  class hence  $\bar{0} + \bar{1} = \overline{4 + 7} = \bar{1}$ .

The result is well defined and does not depend upon the choice of representatives as shown by the theorem below.

**Theorem 4.** *The operation of addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  defined above are well defined i.e. they do not depend on the choice of representative for the classes involved. More precisely, if  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\bar{a}_1 = \bar{b}_1$  and  $\bar{a}_2 = \bar{b}_2$ , then  $\overline{a_1 + a_2} = \overline{b_1 + b_2}$  and  $\overline{a_1 a_2} = \overline{b_1 b_2}$ , i.e. if*

$$a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$$

then

$$a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$$

---

*Proof.* Since  $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$  that means  $n \mid b_1 - a_1$  and  $b_1 = a_1 + nt$ . Similarly, for  $a_2$ , we have  $b_2 = a_2 + ns$ . On adding the equations, we get  $b_1 + b_2 = a_1 + a_2 + n(t + s)$  and  $b_1 b_2 = n(nst + a_1 t + a_2 s) + a_1 a_2$ . Hence,  $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n}$  and  $a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 9.** A subset residue classes of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with multiplicative inverse lies in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  itself:

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid \exists \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \bar{a} \cdot \bar{c} = 1\}$$

**Proposition 2.** Any representative  $\bar{a}$  is coprime to  $n$ .

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid (a, n) = 1\}$$

If  $a$  is integer which is coprime to  $n$  then we can write  $ax + ny = 1$  using Euclidean algorithm for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \implies 1 - ax = ny$  that means  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \implies \bar{a}\bar{x} = \bar{1}$  hence  $\bar{x}$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $\bar{a}$ . Efficient way of calculating multiplicative inverse.

**Ques.** Prove that the distinct equivalence classes in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  are precisely  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}$ .

**Ans.** Division algorithm says that for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have unique  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $b = aq + r$  where  $0 \leq r < |a|$ . Hence,  $r$  can only be  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  which corresponds to equivalence classes.

**Theorem 5.** If  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , then  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

*Proof.* Since,  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , there exists  $\bar{a}'$  and  $\bar{b}'$  such that  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{a}' = \bar{1}$  and  $\bar{b} \cdot \bar{b}' = \bar{1}$ . If we multiply both the equations then  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{a}' \cdot \bar{b} \cdot \bar{b}' = \bar{1}$ , Assume  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  then we get  $\bar{c} \cdot \bar{a}' \cdot \bar{b}' = \bar{1}$ . Hence, there exist  $\bar{c}' = \bar{a}' \cdot \bar{b}'$  such that  $\bar{c} \cdot \bar{c}' = \bar{1}$ . Therefore  $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

---

## Lecture 3

**Definition 10.** Binary operation: A binary operation  $*$  on a set  $G$  is a function  $* : G \times G \rightarrow G$ . For any  $a, b \in G$ , we can write  $a * b$  for  $*(a, b)$ .

If  $*$  is an binary operation on  $G$  and  $H$  is a subset of  $G$ . If restriction of  $*$  on  $H$  is a binary operation on  $H$  i.e.  $a, b \in H \implies a * b \in H$  then  $H$  is closed under  $*$ .

If  $*$  is associative (or commutative) on  $G$  then it will be associative (or commutative) on  $H$  also.

**Definition 11.** Group: A group is an ordered pair  $(G, *)$  where  $G$  is a set and  $*$  is a binary operation on  $G$  satisfying following axioms.  $G$  should be closed under the binary operation.

1.  $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c), \forall a, b, c \in G$  i.e.  $*$  is associative.
2. There exists an element  $e$  in  $G$ , called identity of  $G$ , such that for all  $a \in G$  we have  $a * e = e * a = a$ .

- 
3. for each  $a \in G$  there is an element  $a^{-1}$  of  $G$ , called an inverse of  $a$ , such that  $a * a^{-1} = a^{-1} * a = e$ .

The group  $G$  is called an abelian (or commutative) if  $a * b = b * a$  for all  $a, b \in G$ .  $G$  is called finite group if it is a finite set.

**Example:**

1.  $(V, +)$  where  $V$  is a vector space and  $+$  is vector addition, is an additive group since operation defined is  $+$ . It is abelian group since  $+$  is commutative.
2. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a group under operation  $+$  with  $\bar{0}$  as identity and for  $\bar{a}$  inverse is  $\overline{-a}$ , such that  $\bar{a} + \overline{-a} = \bar{0}$ . And we can prove that  $+$  is an associative operation.
3. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the set  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  of equivalence classes  $\bar{a}$  which have multiplicative inverses (mod  $n$ ) is an abelian group under multiplication of residue classes. We assume here that multiplication is well defined and associative. (We can prove that). Identity will be  $\bar{1}$  and by the definition of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  inverse exists in the set itself.

**Definition 12.** *Direct Product: If  $(A, *)$  and  $(B, @)$  are two groups, then  $A \times B$  is called direct product, whose elements are those in the Cartesian product*

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and whose operations are defined component-wise

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1 * a_2, b_1 @ b_2)$$

The new set  $A \times B$  will also be a group.

It can be prove easily as  $A$  and  $B$  both contains the inverse and identity element.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $G$  is a group under the operation  $*$ , then*

1. the identity element of  $G$  is unique.
2. for each  $a \in G$ ,  $a^{-1}$  is uniquely determined.
3.  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$  for all  $a \in G$ .
4.  $(a * b)^{-1} = (b^{-1}) * (a^{-1})$
5. for any  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in G$  the value of  $a_1 * a_2 \cdots * a_n \in G$  is independent of how the expression is bracketed (generalised associativity).

*Proof.* 1. Suppose for contradiction, there are two identities  $e_1, e_2$  such that  $e_1 \neq e_2$ . Then  $e_1.e_2 = e_2$  (if  $e_1$  is identity) and  $e_1.e_2 = e_1$  (if  $e_2$  is identity). But the result of  $e_1.e_2$  should be same as left hand side is same for both equations. Hence  $e_1 = e_2$ .



2. Assume there exists two inverse of  $a$ , say  $b, c$ . If  $e$  is the identity element then we have  $a * b = e$  and  $a * c = e$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} c &= c * e \\ c &= c * (a * b) \\ c &= (c * a) * b \\ c &= e * b \\ c &= b \end{aligned}$$

3. For some  $a \in G$  inverse will be  $(a)^{-1} \in G$  such that  $aa^{-1} = e$  ( $e$  is identity). Now, interchanging the position of the elements  $a^{-1}a = e$ , we have inverse of  $a^{-1}$  is  $a \implies (a^{-1})^{-1} = a$
4. Assume  $c = (a * b)^{-1}$ . Since  $c \in G$ , using property of inverse we have

$$\begin{aligned} c * (a * b) &= (a * b)^{-1}(a * b) = e \\ (c * a) * b &= e \end{aligned}$$

Right multiply  $b^{-1}$  on both sides

$$\begin{aligned} (c * a) * (b * b^{-1}) &= e * b^{-1} \\ c * a &= b^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Right multiply  $a^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} c * (a * a^{-1}) &= b^{-1} * a^{-1} \\ c &= b^{-1} * a^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 4.** Let  $G$  be a group and let  $a, b \in G$ . The equations  $ax = b$  and  $ya = b$  have unique solutions for  $x, y \in G$ . In particular, the left and right cancellation laws hold in  $G$ , i.e.

1. if  $au = av$ , then  $u = v$
2. if  $ub = vb$ , then  $u = v$

*Proof.* We can solve  $ax = b$  by multiplying both sides on the left by  $a^{-1}$  to get  $x = a^{-1}b$ . The uniqueness of  $x$  follows from the uniqueness of the inverse. Similarly, for  $ya = b$ , multiplying  $a^{-1}$  from right we get  $y = ba^{-1}$ . For  $au = av$ , if we multiply  $a^{-1}$  from left we get  $u = v$ . Similarly, the right cancellation law holds. □

**Definition 13.** For a group  $G$ , consider an element  $x$  in  $G$ , we define the order of  $x$  to be the smallest positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = 1$ , and denote this integer by  $|x|$ . If no positive power of  $x$  is the identity then the order of  $x$  is infinite.

**Examples:**

1. In the additive groups  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$  every nonidentity element has infinite order.
2. In the multiplicative groups  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ , the element  $-1$  has order 2 rest all non identity elements have infinite order.

**Definition 14.** Let  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$  be a finite group with  $g_1 = 1$ . The multiplication table or group table of  $G$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $i, j$  entry is the group element  $g_i g_j$ .

---

**Ques.** Prove that  $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{-1} = a_n^{-1} a_{n-1}^{-1} \dots a_1^{-1}$  for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in G$ .

**Ans.** Assume  $c = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{-1}$  then by definition of inverse we have

$$(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) c = e$$

Multiply both sides by  $a_1$  on the left and use associativity

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{-1} (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) c &= a_1^{-1} e \\ (a_1^{-1} a_1) (a_2 \dots a_n) c &= a_1^{-1} e \\ (e a_2 \dots a_n) c &= a_1^{-1} e \end{aligned}$$

Keep doing the left multiplication, finally we will get

$$c = (a_n^{-1} a_{n-1}^{-1} \dots a_1^{-1})$$

**Remark.** Let  $x$  be an element in  $G$  and  $|x| = n$  then  $x^{-1} = x^{n-1}$ .  
Also,  $x$  and  $x^{-1}$  have same order.

**Dihedral Groups** *Rigid motions:* A rigid motion is the distance preserving transformation, such as rotation, a reflection and a translation, and it is also called an *isometry*. A point in a plane can be uniquely identified by its distance from three noncollinear points.

A dihedral group is denoted by  $D_{2n}$ . (We follow this notation, some other books may follow  $D_n$ ). Size of  $D_{2n}$  is  $2n$ . Order of  $D_{2n}$  is  $2n$ .

There are  $n$  rotations in  $D_{2n}$  given by  $1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{n-1}$  since rotation has order  $n$ .

The  $n$  reflections in  $D_{2n}$  are given by  $s, rs, r^2s, \dots, r^{n-1}s$ . All non rotations are reflection in  $D_{2n}$ . Order of reflections is 2 since  $s^2 = 1$ .

$$D_{2n} = \{1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{n-1}, s, rs, \dots, r^{n-1}s\}$$

In a general setting, we will use  $r$  to be the rotation by  $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ , where  $n$  is the number of sides in the regular polygon and  $s$  be the reflection along the line passing through the vertex 1 and the origin.

1.  $1, r, r^2, \dots, r^{n-1}$  are distinct and order of  $r$  is  $n$ .
2. Order of  $s$  is 2 i.e.  $s = s^{-1}$ .
3.  $r^i \neq s$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
4.  $sr^i \neq sr^j$  for all  $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$  with  $i \neq j$  i.e. each element can be written uniquely in the form of  $s^k r^i$  for some  $k = 0$  or  $1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ .
5.  $rs = sr^{-1}$ , hence  $D_{2n}$  is non-abelian group.
6.  $r^i s = sr^{-i}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  (generalised).

**Generators** A subset  $S$  of  $G$  is called a generator of  $G$  if all the elements of  $G$  can be written as a finite product of elements of  $S$  and their inverses. Then  $G = \langle S \rangle$ .

**Example:**

1. 1 is the generator for additive group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers since every element of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the finite summation of  $+1$ 's and  $-1$ 's (its inverse).
2.  $D_{2n} = \langle r, s \rangle$ .

---

**Relations** Any equations in a general group  $G$  that the generator satisfy are called relations.

**Example:** For  $D_{2n}$ , the relations are  $r^n = s^2 = 1$  and  $r^i s = s r^{-i}$ .

Any other relation that the elements of the group satisfy can be derived by these three relations. In general, if the group  $G$  is generated by the some subset  $S$  and there is some collection of relations, say  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m$ , such that any relation among the elements of  $S$  can be deduced from these relations then, the *presentation* of  $G$  is defined as

$$G = \langle S \mid R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m \rangle$$

**Ques.** Assume  $H$  is a nonempty subset of  $(G, *)$  which is closed under binary operation on  $G$  and is closed under inverses i.e. for all  $h$  and  $k \in H$ ,  $hk$  and  $h^{-2} \in H$ . Prove that  $H$  is a group under the operation  $*$  restricted to  $H$ , called subgroup of  $G$ .

**Ans.** For a group, three axioms should be followed:

1. Associativity.
2. Existence of identity.
3. Existence of inverse.

Since,  $H \subseteq G$  and associativity is there for all elements of  $G$ , in particular it will be followed for the elements of  $H$  also.

$H$  is closed under inverse means for some  $h \in H \exists h^{-1} \in H$  such that  $h * h^{-1} = e$  and  $H$  is also closed under binary operation hence the identity will lie in  $H$ . Existence of inverse is obvious since  $H$  is closed under inverses.

**Ques.** Prove that if  $x$  is an element of the group  $G$  then the set  $A = \{x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  (called cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $x$ ).

**Ans.** For some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i \neq j$ , we have  $x^i x^j = x^{i+j}$  since  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \implies i+j \in \mathbb{Z}$  therefore  $x^{i+j} \in A$ . Hence, it is closed under binary operation. For existence of inverse, since  $\mathbb{Z}$  are symmetric about 0 means for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \exists -i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $i + (-i) = 0$ . Hence, for every  $x^i \in A$  we have  $x^{-i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x^i x^{-i} = x^{i+(-i)} = x^0 = e$ . Hence, inverse exists.

**Remark.** 1.  $A \times B$  is abelian iff  $A$  and  $B$  both are abelian.

2.  $A \times B$  forms a group.