# **ASSIGNMENT** 5

### DEVANSH TRIPATHI IMS22090

ABSTRACT. This document contains solution for assignment 5 of General Topology course.

## Sol. 1.

( $\iff$ ) Assume for any  $x \in X$  and any open set  $U_x$  containing x, there exists open set V containing x such that  $\overline{V}$  is compact and  $\overline{V} \subset U_x$ . Let  $C = \overline{V}$  be the compact set containing x and a neighbourhood V around x. Since x is arbitrary, it is true for all x. Therefore, X is locally compact.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Assume X is locally compact and Hausdorff then there exists a compact Hausdorff space Y such that X is subspace of Y and  $Y-X=\{\infty\}$ . For each  $x\in X$  and a neighbourhood U of x, since U is open in X, it is open in Y which implies C=Y-U is closed and compact (closed subset of compact space).

Since Y is Hausdorff then for any  $x \in X \subset Y$  and a compact  $C \subset Y$  there exists two disjoint open sets V and W in Y such that  $x \in V$  and  $C \subset W$ . Since,  $V \cap W = \phi$  implies  $\overline{V} \cap W = \phi$  (if  $\overline{V} \cap W \neq \phi$  then  $x \in W$  and either  $x \in V$  or  $x \in V'$  (V' is limit point set of V).  $x \in V$  will contradict  $V \cap W$  trivially. For  $x \in V'$ , then for any neighbourhood S around x we have  $S \cap V \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$  and since W is open set containing x implies it contains S that will again contradict  $V \cap W = \phi$ ).

 $\overline{V}$  is closed in Y therefore it is compact and  $\overline{V} \cap W = \phi$  implies  $\overline{V} \cap C = \phi$ . Since, C = Y - U hence we have  $\overline{V} \subset U$ .

#### Sol. 2.

(In this question, we have to assume X is Hausdorff.)

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For any  $x \in X$  and an open neighbourhood U of x, there exists an open neighbourhood V of x such that  $\overline{V} \subset U$  and  $\overline{V}$  is compact (from question 1). Let  $A \subset X$  be **open** in X. Take arbitrary  $x \in A$  and an open neighbourhood  $U \cap A$  of x which is open in A (since U is open in X). Also,  $U \cap A$  is open in X (both U and A are open in X). This implies  $U \cap A$  is open in Y which is one point compactification of X. Let  $C = Y - (U \cap A)$ , it is closed in Y hence compact. Since Y is Hausdorff, there exists an open set V and W in Y containing X and X, respectively such that  $X \cap W = \emptyset$  which implies  $\overline{V} \cap C = \emptyset$  (argument for this is in question 1). Therefore,  $\overline{V} \subset U \cap A$  and since  $\overline{V}$  is closed in Y hence compact in Y implies compact in X (since X is subspace of Y). Since,  $X \in A$  is arbitrary, from question 1 we have X is locally compact.

Let  $A \in X$  be closed. Since, X is locally compact. For each  $x \in X$  there exists a compact set  $C_x$  containing x and its neighbourhood  $U_x$ . Since,  $C_x \cap A$  is closed in  $C_x$ , its compact in  $C_x$  which implies it is compact in X (in subspace topology). Also,  $U_x \cap A$  is open in A (subspace topology) and contained in  $C_x \cap A$  (since  $U_x \subset C$ ). Hence, A is locally compact.

## Sol. 3.

(i). Suppose for contradiction that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is metric space and  $d: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a metric. For some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , open balls will be  $B(x,r): = \{y \mid d(x,y) < r,r \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ . Open balls are open sets in metric spaces. Hence,  $\mathbb{R} - B(x,r)$  should be finite.

$$\mathbb{R} - B(x,r) = \{ z \mid d(x,z) \ge r, r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \}$$

which is not finjite. This shows contradiction. Hence,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is not a metric space.

(ii). Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  be an open cover of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$ . This means  $\mathbb{R} - U_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in I$ , is finite, say  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . Take  $U_1$  containing  $x_1$ ,  $U_2$  containing  $x_2$  and so on (they exists since  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  is an open cover). Then  $\{U_{\beta}, U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$  is a finite subcover. Hence,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is compact.

**Remark 1.** In fact, in above argument there is nothing specific to  $\mathbb{R}$ . This also shows that any set having cofinite topology is compact.

(iii). Since compactness implies limit point compactness implies that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is also limit point compact.

(iv). Let  $(x_n) \in X$  be a sequence. Let  $A = \{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  be a set.

<u>Case 1:</u> If A is finite then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $x \in A$  such that  $x_n = x$  for all n > N. Hence, there exists a constant subsequence that is trivially convergent.

Case 2: If A is infinite then there exists a limit point of A since  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is limit point compact. Since every convergent sequence is bounded implies A is bounded and then by Bolzano-Weierstrass, we have a convergent subsequence in A.

Therefore,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{cof})$  is sequentially compact.