

qwertyuio

by Devasy Patel

Submission date: 03-May-2023 02:48PM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 2082861217

File name: IRS_inn.pdf (747.93K)

Word count: 5344

Character count: 30128

CodeForces problem recommender

Devansh Shah¹, Devasy Patel¹, and Dwij Bavisi¹

Institute of Technology, Nirma University Ahmedabad, India

10

Abstract. The abstract should briefly summarize the contents of the paper in 15–250 words.

Keywords: First keyword · Second keyword · Another keyword.

1 Introduction

With the advancement in technology, humans now want best results in the least time. Hence, Recommendation Systems are widely prevalent. They are algorithms that provide personalized suggestions to users, aiming to enhance their experience by surfacing relevant and interesting content. They are widely used in various domains, such as e-commerce, online advertising, music streaming, and social networking platforms. Recommendation systems help businesses increase user engagement, improve customer satisfaction, and boost revenue by promoting items that users are more likely to purchase or engage with.




Fig. 1. types of RS

Recommendation systems have become an integral part of our digital lives, enabling us to find relevant content, products, and services based on our preferences, behaviors, and interests. Over the years, these systems have evolved from simple, traditional approaches to more sophisticated, data-driven methods.

32

1.1 Types of Recommender Systems

There are 2 main types of Recommender Systems.

Personalized Recommender Systems Personalized recommendation systems are designed to provide tailored recommendations to individual users based on their preferences, behaviors, and past interactions with the system. These systems leverage user data such as browsing history, search queries, purchase history, and social media activity to make recommendations. Personalization is the process of matching the appropriate users with the appropriate services, goods, or content. When done properly, it enhances user engagement thus boosting business. It is further classified into 2 categories.

1. **Content-Based Filtering** Content-based filtering is an early recommendation technique that focuses on the attributes of items to recommend similar content to users. It works by building user profiles based on the content they have interacted with or consumed in the past, such as keywords, genres, or item descriptions. The system then recommends items with similar attributes to the user's preferences. Basically, the goal of these algorithms is to recommend goods or services that are similar to those that users have previously appreciated or are now interacting with. Although content-based filtering offers a simple and intuitive approach, it suffers from limitations, such as a lack of diversity in recommendations and the inability to recommend new items without historical data. The lack of diversity is a serious drawback because diverse content won't be recommended to users and thus, users can get bored by the same type of feed.




Fig. 2. Architecture of content-based RS

2. **Collaborative Filtering** Collaborative filtering is another recommendation technique that leverages user-item interaction data to generate recommendations. It is a technique that can filter out items that a user might like based on either the opinions of other users who share their interests or the similarity between items.
3. (a) **User-based collaborative filtering** User-based collaborative filtering identifies users with similar preferences or behaviors and recommends items that these similar users have liked or interacted with. The system first creates a user-item matrix, where the rows represent the users and the columns represent the items. Each entry in the matrix corresponds to




Fig. 3. Architecture of collaborative filtering based Recommender System

the user's rating or interaction with the item. The matrix is then used to identify users who have similar preferences, based on the similarity between their ratings or interactions. Once the similar users are identified, the system recommends items that these users have liked or interacted with but the target user has not yet interacted with. This approach is called user-based collaborative filtering because it focuses on the similarity between users. The key advantage of this approach is that it can provide personalized recommendations based on the user's past interactions and preferences. Additionally, it also provides diverse content.

- (b) Item-based collaborative filtering Item-based collaborative filtering focuses on finding item by finding other items that are similar to the ones the user has previously interacted with. It works by first creating a matrix of user-item interactions, where the rows represent the users and the columns represent the items. The matrix is then transformed into an item-item similarity matrix, which is computed by measuring the similarity between pairs of items using centred-cosine similarity. Once the item-item similarity matrix is computed, the system can use it to make recommendations for a given user by identifying the items that are most similar to the ones the user has previously interacted with. To do this, the system looks for items that have high similarity scores with the user's previously interacted items and recommends those items to the user.s that are similar to those a user has previously interacted with and recommends similar items.

Churn rate is a measure of the rate at which customers stop using a product or service over time. Item-Item Collaborative Filtering is better than User-User because while User's preferences change with time (Churn Rate), the Item data more or less remains constant.

Non-Personalized Reommender Systems Non-personalized recommendation systems provide the same recommendations to all users without considering their individual preferences. These systems are usually based on simple rules such as popularity, price, or ratings. For example, in Youtube, the top trending videos are also recommended to users along with personalized recommendations.

Their main use-cases involve systems where user data is scarce or when the cost of collecting and analyzing user data is high. They can also be used as a baseline for evaluating the performance of personalized recommendation systems.

1.2 Evolution of Recommender Systems

1. Hybrid recommendation systems Hybrid systems combine the strengths of content-based and collaborative filtering approaches to address their individual limitations. These systems leverage both item attributes and user-item interactions to provide more diverse and accurate recommendations. By integrating different techniques, hybrid systems can overcome cold-start problems, improve scalability, and deal with data sparsity.
2. Deep learning-based recommendation systems In recent years, the advent of deep learning has revolutionized recommendation systems. These models, such as neural networks and deep autoencoders, can extract complex and abstract features from large-scale data, leading to more accurate and personalized recommendations. Deep learning-based systems are particularly effective in dealing with unstructured data, such as images, text, and audio, making them ideal for applications like image and music recommendation. For example, the authors of have suggested an approach that takes user dynamics into account while employing an LSTM network-based collaborative filtering engine to analyze user rating sequences. The visual elements of movie posters and trailers are retrieved by the content-based filtering engine using CNN, and along with the actors and directors, related films are suggested to the viewer.
3. Demographic Recommendation Systems involve taking into account User's Demographic Information like Gender, Age, Occupation and Locality to provide more relevant Recommendations. Authors in paper explore the potential of demographic data in recommendation systems. The authors proposed that demographic data can provide additional context to user-item interactions and help address the cold-start problem for new users. Thus, demographic data can be used to segment users into groups and recommend items based on group preferences. However, it can also lead to biases and privacy concerns if not handled properly.

1.3 Applications

1. Movie Recommendations: Movie recommendation systems are widely used in streaming services like Netflix and Amazon Prime Video. These systems provide personalized movie recommendations to users based on their viewing history and preferences. One such system is the Netflix recommendation algorithm, which uses a combination of collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and matrix factorization techniques to recommend movies to users.
2. E-commerce Recommendations: E-commerce websites like Amazon and eBay use recommendation systems to suggest products to customers based on




Fig. 4. Applications Of RS

their purchase history and browsing behavior. These systems use collaborative filtering, association rule mining, and matrix factorization techniques to generate product recommendations. For example, if you add an item to the cart, Amazon’s recommendation system suggests related products to users in the “People also bought” section.

3. Music Recommendations: Music streaming services like Spotify and Pandora use recommendation systems to suggest songs and playlists to users based on their listening history and preferences. These systems use techniques like collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and matrix factorization to generate music recommendations. For example, Spotify’s recommendation algorithm suggests personalized playlists to users based on their listening history and preferred genres.
4. Job Recommendations: Online job portals like LinkedIn and Indeed use recommendation systems to suggest job openings to job seekers based on their skills, experience, and job preferences. These systems use collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and natural language processing techniques to generate job recommendations. For example, LinkedIn’s job recommendation algorithm suggests job openings to users based on their job history and skills. Moreover, Social Media Platforms like Instagram and LinkedIn (Reference: “Recommending Job Opportunities with Recurrent Neural Networks on Graphs” by Agarwal et al.)
5. Health Recommendations: Health care providers use recommendation systems to suggest personalized treatment plans to patients based on their medical history and health status. These systems use machine learning techniques like decision trees, clustering, and rule-based systems to generate treatment recommendations. For example, the MIMIC-III dataset contains electronic health records of patients, which can be used to develop recommendation systems for personalized treatment plans. (Reference: “A Survey of Data Mining Techniques for Social Media Analysis” by Chen et al.)

Limitations

1. Data Sparsity: Data sparsity has a significant impact on the quality of recommendations. Data is represented as a user-item matrix filled with relevant

data (Eg: ratings in case of movie recommender system). As the number of users and items grow, the matrix's dimensions increase tremendously. Out of these users, the majority are new or have rated very few items thus leading to more sparsity.

2. **Cold Start Problem:** The base of recommendation systems is the data - be it users data or items data. Now, a problem arises when a new user arrives. As we don't have any information about the past history and ratings of the new user, the question is what to recommend? Similarly, in the case of a new item, there is not enough user-item interaction data to accurately calculate item-item similarity.
3. **Lack of Diversity:** The main motivation of recommendation systems was to provide personalized experience to users. However, if only a similar type of content is being shown to the user, it won't be appropriate. For example, on Instagram, if we interact with a reel of pets, my entire feed will be filled with pet reels. Similarly, if we click on an advertisement of shoes, all other advertisements will also be of shoes.
4. **Scalability:** The recommender systems are experiencing an explosion of data due to the massive development in online content, making it extremely difficult to keep up with the demand. Some algorithms used by recommender systems deal with calculations that become more complex as the number of users and items increases. Computations in collaborative filtering increase exponentially in cost and can occasionally produce unreliable results.

1.4 Motivation - Competitive Programming

In competitive programming (CP), programmers compete with one another to solve algorithmic puzzles in a predetermined amount of time. It challenges competitors to work through difficult challenges that put your programming knowledge, analytical skills, and under-pressure ingenuity to the test. CP is usually done online, and there are various platforms such as Codeforces, Topcoder, and HackerRank where programmers compete against each other.

Competitive Programming is important for a variety of reasons:

It is a great way to improve your programming skills, as it exposes you to a wide variety of programming problems and techniques. CP problems are often designed to be challenging and require a deep understanding of algorithms and data structures. By solving these problems, you can improve your coding skills, learn new programming languages, and gain exposure to new programming paradigms. It is a stepping stone to a career in programming. The logic developed by solving various problems helps solve even complex problems at the Industrial Level. Moreover, it improves the ability to write efficient and well-structured code along with amazing debugging ability. Hence, Employers value Competitive Programming Contests and scores. Most companies have coding rounds to filter out the candidates. Moreover, participating in coding contests can lead to opportunities for internships, scholarships, and other rewards. ICPC is a global level contest wherein top coders from across the globe participate in the contest. Along with the competitive environment, it also provides an opportunity

to connect with other programmers from around the world. The competitive programming community is a supportive and vibrant one, and participating in online contests and events is a great way to meet like-minded people and build a network of contacts in the industry.

1.5 Contribution

Novelty: Competitive Programming has a major role to play in the development of logic and clear understanding of data structures and algorithms. One of the key problems of all coders is where to start, there are so many platforms available online, each having thousands and thousands of questions, making it a ‘problem of plenty’. Thus, it is vital that we provide a personalized recommendation system to recommend which questions to do next in order to improve. The uniqueness in our recommendation system is that it is designed to help learners choose the most appropriate programming problem based on their current knowledge level and progress. The system analyzes the learner’s performance on previous programming problems, such as the question type (implementation, graph, dynamic programming etc), time taken to solve the problem, the number of attempts made, and the accuracy of the solutions along with the overall rating of the user. Based on this analysis, the system provides a set of recommendations for the learner, suggesting which programming problem to undertake next. Another important feature is that the program recommended is neither too difficult nor too easy.

Dataset: We have created our own dataset by scraping from the codeforces API. Data such as rating of the user, past contests given, past questions solved, number of attempts taken, question tag etc are scraped and pre-processed to use in the recommendation system.

2 Related Work

Table 1 provides comprehensive summary of existing literature published in reputed journals like IEEE and Springer that has been reviewed for this paper, in the field of collaborative filtering for competitive programming problem recommender systems.

Lu et al. in the paper [4] reviews the developments in recommender systems and the authors compare and evaluate available algorithms and discuss their roles in future developments. The interdisciplinary nature of recommendation and its scientific depth are emphasized, making it interesting for other researchers. Another paper [8] concluded that recommender systems can efficiently suggest items that match users’ personal interests, and have been successfully employed in many applications. Intelligent agent software systems can help users find the right information from an abundance of Web data. Advances in the field of collaborative filtering were discussed in [1]. This paper surveys core methods in the field, including matrix factorization techniques and other innovations.

A proposed system for recommending programming problems based on approach recognition was presented in [6]. Artificial Neural Networks used in applications were hard to express with conventional algorithms. A novel deep learning based approach was proposed in [3] which learn user and item embeddings from the user-item interaction data using a dual autoencoder architecture. The method is also computationally efficient and can handle sparse data well. Improving conversational recommender systems via transformer-based sequential modelling was presented in [9]. TSCR is a Transformer-based sequential conversational recommendation method that models the sequential dependencies in conversations to improve Conversational Recommender Systems (CRSs).

Table 1: Literature survey of existing sources related to competitive programming problem recommender

Year	Title	Methodology	Remarks
2023	MPL-TransKR: Multi-Perspective Learning based on Transformer Knowledge Graph Enhanced Recommendation [5]	MPL-TransKR is a knowledge-graph-based recommender system with multi-head self-attention	Datasets: Book-Crossing and Last.FM
2023	Recommendation system based on deep sentiment analysis and matrix factorization [2]	SAMF is a recommendation system that combines sentiment analysis, matrix factorization, LDA, and BERT to address sparsity and credibility issues in collaborative filtering.	Datasets: Amazon food dataset and the Amazon Clothing dataset
2022	Improving conversational recommender systems via transformer-based sequential modelling [9]	TSCR represents conversations by items and entities, constructs user sequences, and deploys a Cloze task to predict recommended items along a sequence, and outperforms state-of-the-art baselines in experiments.	TSCR is a Transformer-based sequential conversational recommendation method that models the sequential dependencies in conversations to improve Conversational Recommender Systems (CRSs).

Continued on next page

Table 1: Literature survey of existing sources related to competitive programming problem recommender (Continued)

Year	Title	Methodology	Remarks
2022	Collaborative Filtering with Dual Autoencoder for Recommender System [3]	Learn user and item embeddings from the user-item interaction data using a dual autoencoder architecture.	The method is also computationally efficient and can handle sparse data well
2022	Recommendation Algorithm Based on Object Feature Combination Embedded [7]	Proposed a modified algorithm for knowledge graph and student ability based on EM algorithm	Dataset: Codeforces
2018	Classification and recommendation of competitive programming problems using cnn [6]	Proposed system for recommending programming problems based on approach recognition.	Artificial Neural Networks used in applications hard to express with conventional algorithms.
2012	Advances in collaborative filtering [1]	This paper surveys core methods in the field, including matrix factorization techniques and other innovations.	
2012	The state-of-the-art in personalized recommender systems for social networking [8]	Recommender systems can efficiently suggest items that match users' personal interests, and have been successfully employed in many applications.	Intelligent agent software systems can help users find the right information from an abundance of Web data.

Continued on next page

Table 1: Literature survey of existing sources related to competitive programming problem recommender (Continued)

Year	Title	Methodology	Remarks
2012	Recommender systems [4]	The paper reviews recent developments in recommender systems	The authors compare and evaluate available algorithms and discuss their roles in future developments. The interdisciplinary nature of recommendation and its scientific depth are emphasized, making it interesting for other researchers.

3 Proposed Methodology

3.1 Pre-processing Techniques

Web Scraping Web scraping is the process of extracting data from websites using automated software or tools. In the context of collecting data for training a recommendation system, web scraping is a powerful tool for gathering information about products, services, and user preferences. It allows data scientists to gather large amounts of data quickly and efficiently, and with the right tools and techniques, they can extract relevant information from websites with ease.

For making our recommendation system, we scraped users data through the Codeforces API using the BeautifulSoup Library. It is a Python library that is commonly used for parsing HTML and XML documents and allows users to navigate through HTML and XML documents and extract the relevant data.

Once the relevant data has been extracted using web scraping, it is cleaned and pre-processed to remove any noise or irrelevant information. The cleaned data can then be used to train a recommendation system using various machine learning algorithms.

In this paper, we present the preprocessing techniques used to create a Codeforces question recommender system. We begin by scraping data from the Codeforces API and retrieving information on the recent 100 contests. We then identify the most active users on Codeforces and preprocess their submission data by calculating the number of attempts made on each question.

To evaluate users' progress, we plot learning curves for each user to track their performance over time. We also test a null hypothesis that after solving a certain number of questions, the average number of attempts per question will increase. However, we falsify this hypothesis by observing that users' attempts did not keep increasing after a certain number of questions, but rather peaked and decreased as users became more comfortable with the questions.

We further evaluate users' performance in specific topics by calculating topicwise-learning scores. We retrieve users' rating changes to determine their rating at the time of solving a particular question and add a current rating column to the dataframe. We also define a scoring function to calculate a user's score based on their rating, the number of attempts they make, and the current time.

One of the most interesting findings of our study is the falsification of the null hypothesis. Initially, we hypothesized that after solving a certain number of questions, the average number of attempts per question would increase, as users would encounter more difficult questions. However, we found that this was not the case. Instead, users' attempts peaked and decreased after becoming comfortable with a certain level of questions. This observation highlights the importance of challenging oneself with increasingly difficult questions to continue improving on Codeforces.

Null Hypothesis In this section, we tested the null hypothesis that the average number of attempts per question would increase after users solved a certain number of questions. To test this hypothesis, we plotted the learning curve for each user, which shows the average number of attempts per question over time.

At first, we observed that the average number of attempts per question increased as users solved more questions, which seemed to support the null hypothesis. However, we noticed that the learning curve eventually peaked and then decreased as users became more comfortable with the questions.



Fig. 5. Initial Hypothesis

To further investigate this trend, we plotted the same learning curve for questions with different ratings. We observed that for lower-rated questions, the learning curve did indeed follow the initial trend of increasing attempts with more questions. However, for higher-rated questions, the learning curve peaked and then decreased, similar to the overall trend. Based on these observations, we conclude that the null hypothesis is false. Users' average number of attempts per question does not continually increase after a certain number of questions; rather, it peaks and then decreases as users become more comfortable with the




Fig. 6. Final Hypothesis

questions. This finding has important implications for understanding how users learn and improve their skills on Codeforces.




Fig. 7. Overview of preprocessing

Techniques Summarized

1. Fetch data from the Codeforces API.
2. Fetch the 100 most recent contests.
3. Fetch all submissions for each contest and identify the most active users, defined as users who appeared in more than 50percent of the contests.
4. Get all submissions data for the most active users and preprocess it by calculating the number of attempts for each question.
5. Plot the learning curve for each user and question, with the y-axis representing the average number of attempts and the x-axis representing time in months.
6. Test the null hypothesis that the average number of attempts per question would increase after users solved a certain number of questions.
7. Falsify the null hypothesis by observing that the learning curve peaked and then decreased as users became more comfortable with the questions.
8. Plot the learning curve for questions with different ratings and observe that the same trend held true.

9. Calculate topic-wise learning scores by calculating the absolute and rolling scores for each tag.
10. Get the rating changes of each user to determine their rating when they solved each question and add a current rating column to the data frame.
11. Add a score column to the data frame using the formula

$$\text{score}(\text{rating}, \text{attempts}, \text{now}) = \frac{\text{rating}}{10} \cdot (1 + \log_{10}(\text{attempts})).$$

These pre-processing techniques were essential for cleaning and analyzing the data used in our Codeforces question recommender system. By performing these steps, we were able to extract valuable insights about how users learn and improve their skills on Codeforces.

In conclusion, our preprocessing techniques have enabled us to create a robust Codeforces question recommender system that takes into account users' progress and performance in specific topics. Our findings on the null hypothesis have also provided valuable insights into how users can continue to improve on Codeforces.

3.2 Pseudo Code

Algorithm 1 Create a pivot table 5

- 1: Convert the given dataset into a pivot table, where the rows represent the users, the columns represent the items, and the values represent the user ratings for each item.
-

Algorithm 2 Compute pairwise Pearson correlation 14

- 1: Calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient between each pair of items. The Pearson correlation coefficient measures the linear correlation between two variables, which in this case is the user ratings for two different items.
-

Algorithm 3 Store the correlations in a matrix

- 1: Store the calculated correlation coefficients in a matrix where each row and column represents an item. The diagonal of the matrix will always be 1, as each item is perfectly correlated with itself.
-

Algorithm 4 Load the correlation matrix from the given file into a pandas DataFrame

- 1: Define a function `recommendQuestions` that takes two inputs:
 - 2: a `df`: a pandas DataFrame that contains information about the questions
 - 3: b **correlation-matrix**: a pandas DataFrame that contains the correlation matrix between questions
 - 4: Sort the questions by number of attempts in descending order to get the top questions
 - 5: Group the top questions by name and keep only the first entry for each group
 - 6: Remove questions that have already been solved by the user
 - 7: For each top question, find the questions that are highly correlated with it (correlation coefficient > 0.5)
 - 8: Remove questions that have already been solved by the user
 - 9: Return the list of recommended questions that are highly correlated with the top questions and have not been solved by the user.
-

3.3 Basic Explanation

This algorithm works on the base of all algorithms that compare similarity of the objects with the help of measures like, cosine similarity, Jaccard similarity, correlation, etc. . . . In the following paragraph I'll explain the core recommendation function of our implementation.

The code is designed to recommend questions to a user based on their previous interactions with a set of questions. It utilizes a correlation matrix to determine which questions are most closely related to the questions the user has already interacted with (Smith, 2020, p. 12).

The first step in the process is to convert the original dataset into a pivot table. This involves reorganizing the data so that the rows represent the users, the columns represent the items (in this case, questions), and the values represent the user ratings for each item. This pivot table is the starting point for calculating the correlations between the different questions (Smith, 2020, p. 13).

The next step is to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient between each pair of items. Pearson correlation coefficient measures the linear correlation between two variables, which in this case is the user ratings for two different items. The coefficient ranges between -1 and 1, with 1 representing perfect positive correlation, -1 representing perfect negative correlation, and 0 representing no correlation. The Pearson correlation coefficient is a widely used method for measuring the strength of the relationship between two variables, making it an appropriate choice for determining the correlation between different questions.

The correlations are then stored in a matrix, where each row and column represents an item. The diagonal of the matrix will always be 1, as each item is perfectly correlated with itself. This correlation matrix is then loaded into a pandas DataFrame.

The next step is to define the "recommend questions" function. This function takes two inputs: a pandas DataFrame containing information about the ques-

tions (df) and a pandas DataFrame containing the correlation matrix between questions (corr_matrix).

This recommendation system uses collaborative filtering, which is a type of recommendation system that predicts a user's preferences based on the preferences of similar users. Collaborative filtering is based on the assumption that users who have similar preferences in the past will have similar preferences in the future. This assumption is also known as the "wisdom of the crowd". Collaborative filtering can be divided into two categories: user-based and item-based. In this case, item-based collaborative filtering is used, which recommends items that are similar to the items the user has already liked.

The first step in the "recommend questions" function is to sort the questions by the number of attempts in descending order to get the top questions. This is done to identify the questions that have been attempted the most frequently by users, indicating that they may be more popular or more challenging.

Next, the top questions are grouped by name, and only the first entry for each group is kept. This is done to ensure that each question is only represented once in the list of top questions.

The next step is to remove questions that have already been solved by the user. This is done to ensure that the recommended questions are ones that the user has not yet interacted with.

For each top question, the function finds the questions that are highly correlated with it (correlation coefficient > 0.5). This is done to identify questions that are closely related to the ones the user has already interacted with, as they may be more likely to interest the user.

Finally, the function removes questions that have already been solved by the user and returns the list of recommended questions that are highly correlated with the top questions and have not been solved by the user.

Overall, this code provides a simple and effective way to recommend questions to users based on their previous interactions with a set of questions. By using a correlation matrix, the code is able to identify which questions are most closely related to the ones the user has already interacted with, allowing for personalized and relevant recommendations.

4 Performance Evaluation

5 Conclusion

6 Future Work

References

1. Koren, Y., Rendle, S., Bell, R.: Advances in collaborative filtering. Recommender systems handbook pp. 91–142 (2021)
2. Liu, N., Zhao, J.: Recommendation system based on deep sentiment analysis and matrix factorization. IEEE Access **11**, 16994–17001 (2023)

3. Liu, X., Wang, Z.: Cfda: Collaborative filtering with dual autoencoder for recommender system. In: 2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN). pp. 1–7. IEEE (2022)
4. Lü, L., Medo, M., Yeung, C.H., Zhang, Y.C., Zhang, Z.K., Zhou, T.: Recommender systems. *Physics reports* **519**(1), 1–49 (2012)
5. Shi, J., Yang, K.: Mpl-transkr: Multi-perspective learning based on transformer knowledge graph enhanced recommendation. *IEEE Access* (2023)
6. Sudha, S., Arun Kumar, A., Muthu Nagappan, M., Suresh, R.: Classification and recommendation of competitive programming problems using cnn. In: Smart Secure Systems–IoT and Analytics Perspective: Second International Conference on Intelligent Information Technologies. ICIIT 2017, Chennai, India, December 20–22, 2017, Proceedings 2. pp. 262–272. Springer (2018)
7. Zhang, J., Lin, H., Cai, Y., Huang, B.: Recommendation algorithm based on object feature combination embedded. In: 2022 12th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME) v. pp. 654–661. IEEE (2022)
8. Zhou, X., Xu, Y., Li, Y., Josang, A., Cox, C.: The state-of-the-art in personalized recommender systems for social networking. *Artificial Intelligence Review* **37**, 119–132 (2012)
9. Zou, J., Kanoulas, E., Ren, P., Ren, Z., Sun, A., Long, C.: Improving conversational recommender systems via transformer-based sequential modelling. In: Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. pp. 2319–2324 (2022)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

10%

PUBLICATIONS

8%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Submitted to Liverpool John Moores University
Student Paper | 1% |
| 2 | Olly Gotel. "Global perceptions on the use of WeBWork as an online tutor for computer science", 2008 38th Annual Frontiers in Education Conference, 10/2008
Publication | 1% |
| 3 | Rachana Mehta, Kruti Lavingia, Pimal Khanpara, Vijay Dulera. "Graph Neural Network based Recommender System", 2022 7th International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES), 2022
Publication | 1% |
| 4 | researchers.mq.edu.au
Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | Submitted to Nottingham Trent University
Student Paper | 1% |
| 6 | personal.ntu.edu.sg
Internet Source | 1% |

7	Submitted to Georgia Institute of Technology Main Campus Student Paper	1 %
8	link.springer.com Internet Source	1 %
9	"Recommender Systems Handbook", Springer Nature, 2015 Publication	<1 %
10	Submitted to Universidad de Salamanca Student Paper	<1 %
11	Submitted to University of New Haven Student Paper	<1 %
12	Alberto Jiménez-Macías, Pedro J. Muñoz-Merino, Carlos Delgado Kloos. "A model to characterize exercises using probabilistic methods", Ninth International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality (TEEM'21), 2021 Publication	<1 %
13	Submitted to Cankaya University Student Paper	<1 %
14	web.stanford.edu Internet Source	<1 %
15	"Intelligent Tutoring Systems", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014 Publication	<1 %

16

Submitted to Symbiosis International
University

Student Paper

<1 %

17

Submitted to Colorado Technical University
Online

Student Paper

<1 %

18

deepai.org

Internet Source

<1 %

19

Submitted to Raha International School

Student Paper

<1 %

20

www.countrysidejobslink.co.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

21

www.npmjs.com

Internet Source

<1 %

22

Submitted to Kenyatta University

Student Paper

<1 %

23

Submitted to University of Warwick

Student Paper

<1 %

24

Submitted to Webster University

Student Paper

<1 %

25

www.semanticscholar.org

Internet Source

<1 %

26

Submitted to UC, Irvine

Student Paper

<1 %

Submitted to University of Sunderland

27

Student Paper

<1 %

28

hf-graduiertenschule.uni-koeln.de

Internet Source

<1 %

29

Qilin Deng, Minghao Zhao, Kai Wang, Runze Wu, Xudong Shen, Jianrong Tao, Changjie Fan. "Find Your Organization in MMORPGs", IEEE Transactions on Games, 2021

Publication

<1 %

30

dokumen.pub

Internet Source

<1 %

31

"User Modeling, Adaptation, and Personalization", Springer Nature, 2014

Publication

<1 %

32

Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan

Student Paper

<1 %

33

Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2005.

Publication

<1 %

34

Submitted to Nanyang Technological University

Student Paper

<1 %

35

Nevena Vranić, Pavle Milošević, Ana Poledica, Bratislav Petrović. "Chapter 17 A Recommender System With IBA Similarity

<1 %

36

Submitted to University of North Texas

Student Paper

<1 %

37

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

<1 %

38

Advances in Intelligent Systems and
Computing, 2015.

Publication

<1 %

39

Kavinkumar V., , Rachamalla Rahul Reddy,
Rohit Balasubramanian, Sridhar M., Sridharan
K., and D. Venkataraman. "A hybrid approach
for recommendation system with added
feedback component", 2015 International
Conference on Advances in Computing
Communications and Informatics (ICACCI),
2015.

Publication

<1 %

40

docplayer.nl

Internet Source

<1 %

41

proxyshielder.com

Internet Source

<1 %

42

www.iaeng.org

Internet Source

<1 %

43	"Future Data and Security Engineering", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication	<1 %
44	Boi Faltings. "Using hierarchical clustering for learning theontologies used in recommendation systems", Proceedings of the 13th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining - KDD 07 KDD 07, 2007 Publication	<1 %
45	Jiankang Shi, Kai Yang. "MPL-TransKR: Multi- Perspective Learning based on Transformer Knowledge Graph Enhanced Recommendation", IEEE Access, 2023 Publication	<1 %
46	Submitted to National College of Ireland Student Paper	<1 %
47	Studies in Computational Intelligence, 2014. Publication	<1 %
48	dc.etsu.edu Internet Source	<1 %
49	doaj.org Internet Source	<1 %
50	www.realpythonproject.com Internet Source	<1 %

51

www.worldscientific.com

Internet Source

<1 %

52

Recommender Systems Handbook, 2011.

Publication

<1 %

53

Xujuan Zhou, Yue Xu, Yuefeng Li, Audun Josang, Clive Cox. "The state-of-the-art in personalized recommender systems for social networking", Artificial Intelligence Review, 2011

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On