

Make Up Class 4/10/24 (by Zoom)

HW 7 - #2

Recursive list-ref

pre: l is a list, and j is an index into that list

post: (list-ref l j) returns the ~~index~~ jth of l

idea: defer cdr - we'll have a wrapper, but the recursive function will assemble a stack of cdrs.

For example:

$$(car(cdr(cdr(cdr '(1 2 3 4 5)))) = 4 \quad (\text{index 3 elt})$$

(define (rec l j)

$$(cond (zero? j) (car l))$$

```
(else (cdr (rec ?? ...)))
```

Looks wrong. So let's try moving can to the wrapper:

```
[define (rec 2 j)
```

$$(\text{cond} ((\text{zero? } j) \quad 1))$$

```
(cond ((zero? j) l)
      (else (cdr (rec l (- j 1) 1))))
```

{ want to return $\text{cdr}(\text{cdr} \dots (\text{cdr } l) \dots)$
j cdrs

What are we recursing on? clearly not l !

So - j.

→ $\&C$: to apply `cdr` j times to l ,
we apply `cdr` to $(\text{cdr}^{j-1} l)$.

We need a wrapper:

```
(define (my-listref l j)
  (car (rec l j)))
```

Thus we return the index j element of l .

Check: has the 0-based indexing been correctly worked in?

Yes - by induction on j . { work this out! }

[Notice that `rec` itself does not `cdr` down l in the usual sense -
`rec` returns the result of applying `cdr` j times to l .]

Let's take another look at this problem. A problem from A&S ch 1 asked us to write a higher order function which inputs 2 composable functions f and g and which returns the composed function

lambda $\rightarrow \lambda x. f(g(x))$ { math notation

For example

(compose square cube)

would be called

((compose square cube) 2)

giving 64

; pre: f and g are composable

(define (compose f g)

(lambda (x) (f (g x))))

; post: returns $\lambda x. f(g(x))$.

And a related problem is (iirc)

Write a function repeated which works

$$(\text{repeated square } 2) 5 = 625$$

(define (repeated f n)

(cond ((zero? n) (lambda (x) x))

(else (compose f (repeated f (- n 1))))))

Pf by induction on n



{ Note That
(repeated f n)
is a function.

You should rework your solutions to HW 7 using repeated! It might not apply to all of those problems, but it certainly applies to a number of them.

Let's reconsider the recursive list-ref ---
we have

(define (rec l j)

(cond ((zero? j) l)

(else (cdr (rec l (- j 1))))))

(define (alt-rec l j)
 (repeated cdr j))

This returns the function
 $\lambda x. (\text{cdr } j \text{ } x)$ ↔ sloppy but
intuitive
notation

which is not what rec did. Can
we change the wrapper to make this
compute list-ref?

How about you all working this out?